		Page 1
ILLINOIS POLLUTION	CONTROL BOARD	
ROXANNA LANDFILL, INC.	)	
Petitioner,	)	
vs	) ) No. PCB 15-65	
VILLAGE OF CASEYVILLE, ILLINOIS, BOARD OF TRUSTEES and CASEYVILLE TRANSFER STATION, LLC,	) ) ) )	
Respondents,	) ) )	
VILLAGE OF FAIRMONT CITY, ILLINOIS,	) ) )	
Petitioner,	, ) ) No. PCB 15-69	
vs	)	
VILLAGE OF CASEYVILLE, ILLINOIS, BOARD OF TRUSTEES and CASEYVILLE TRANSFER STATION, LLC,	) ) ) )	
Respondents.	) )	
REPORT OF THE PROCE	EDINGS had at the	
hearing on a motion of the abo	ve-entitled cause	
before the Honorable CAROL WEB:	B, Hearing Officer,	
Illinois Pollution Control Boa	rd, 909 South Main	
Street, Board Room, Caseyville	, Illinois, on the	
28th day of October, 2014, at	the hour of 9:00	
a.m.		

```
Page 2
     APPEARANCES:
1
2
        CLARK HILL, PLC
        BY: MS. JENNIFER J. SACKETT POHLENZ
3
        150 North Michigan Avenue
        Suite 2700
4
        Chicago, Illinois 60601
        (312) 985-5900
5
                Appeared on behalf of the Petitioner,
6
                Roxanna Landfill, Inc.;
7
        PEDERSEN & HOUPT
        BY: MR. DONALD J. MORAN
8
        161 North Clark Street
        Suite 2700
9
        Chicago, Illinois 60601
        (312) 261-2149
10
        SPRAGUE & URBAN
        BY: MR. ROBERT J. SPRAGUE
11
        26 East Washington Street
12
        Belleville, Illinois 62220
        (618) 233-8383
13
                Appeared on behalf of the Petitioner,
14
                Village of Fairmont City;
        LIVINGSTON LAW FIRM
15
        BY: MS. PENNI S. LIVINGSTON
16
        5701 Perrin Road
        Fairview Heights, Indiana 62208
        (618) 628-7700
17
18
                Appeared on behalf of the Respondent,
                Caseyville Transfer Station, LLC;
19
        WEILMUENSTER LAW GROUP, P.C.
            MR. J. BRIAN MANION
20
        BY:
        3201 West Main
2.1
        Belleville, Illinois 62226
        (618) 257-2222
22
                Appeared on behalf of the Respondent,
23
                Village of Caseyville and Village Board
                of the Village of Caseyville;
24
```

```
Page 3
 1
     ALSO PRESENT: MR. JOHN SIEMSEN
 2
     REPORTED BY:
 3
            Steven J. Brickey, CSR
            CSR License No. 084-004675
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

			Page	4
1	I N D E X			
2	THE WITNESS: SCOTT PENNY			
3		PAGE		
4	Direct Examination by Mr. Manion	48		
5	Cross-Examination by Ms. Livingston	52		
6				
7	THE WITNESS: JOHN SIEMSEN			
8		PAGE		
9	Direct Examination by Ms. Livingston	58		
10	Cross-Examination by Ms. Sackett Pohlenz	64		
11	Cross-Examination by Mr. Moran	71		
12				
13	THE WITNESS: JOHN GILBERT			
14		PAGE		
15	Direct Examination by Ms. Livingston	94		
16	Cross-Examination by Ms. Sackett Pohlenz	119		
17	Cross-Examination by Mr. Moran	132		
18	Redirect Examination by Ms. Livingston	147		
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				

		Page	5
1	EXHIBITS		
2			
3	Marked for Identification		
4	Identification		
5	Exhibit No. J		
6	Exhibit No. C		
7	Exhibit No. D		
8	Exhibit No. E		
9	Exhibit No. 1		
10	Exhibit No. 2 56		
11	Exhibit No. 3 63		
12	Exhibit No. 4		
13	Exhibit No. 5 104		
14	Exhibit No. 6 104		
15	Exhibit No. 7 121		
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			

October 28, 2014

Page 6

1 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Good morning. 2 My name is Carol Webb and this is the hearing for 3 PCB 15-65 and 15-69 Roxanna Landfill and Fairmont 4 City versus the Village of Caseyville and 5 Caseyville Transfer Station. It is October 28th 6 and we are beginning at 9:00 a.m. At issue in 7 this case is the Village of Caseyville's decision 8 to grant the Caseyville Transfer Station siting 9 application. The site is located in Caseyville, 10 St. Clair County, and the decision deadline is December 18th. 11 12 The Pollution Control Board 13 members will make the final decision in this case. 14 My purpose is to conduct the hearing in a neutral 15 and orderly manner so that we have a clear record 16 of the proceedings. 17 This hearing was noticed 18 pursuant to the act and the Board's rules and will 19 be conducted pursuant to Section's 101.600 through 20 101.632 of the Board's procedural rules. Members 2.1 of the public, and there are some here today, may 22 make public comments at this hearing or may --23 and/or may submit written comments to the 24 Pollution Control Board's clerk in accordance with

```
Page 7
1
     Section 101.628 of the Board's procedural rules.
2
                       Public comment will be due by
3
     November 12th. At this time, I'd like to ask the
4
     parties to please make their appearance on the
5
     record beginning with Roxanna Landfill.
6
                  MS. SACKETT POHLENZ:
                                        My name is
7
     Jennifer Sackett Pohlenz, S-A-C-K-E-T-T, space,
8
     P-O-H-L-E-N-Z, and I represent participant and
9
     petitioner Roxanna Landfill, Inc.
10
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Thank you.
11
                  MR. MORAN: Donald Moran appearing
12
     on behalf of the Village of Fairmont City.
13
                  MR. SPRAGUE: Robert Sprague
14
     appearing on behalf of the Village of Fairmont
15
     City.
                  MR. MANION: Brian Manion on behalf
16
17
     of the Village of Caseyville and the Board --
18
     Village Board of the Village of Caseyville.
19
                  MS. LIVINGSTON: And Penni
20
     Livingston on behalf of the applicant Caseyville
     Transfer Station.
2.1
22
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB:
                                          Thank you.
23
     Are there any preliminary matters to discuss on
24
     the record?
```

```
Page 8
1
                  MS. SACKETT POHLENZ: Yes, there
2
          We have an agreed set of stipulations.
3
     There is three stipulations to present to the
4
     Hearing Officer. I have a document I'll tender.
5
     Do you need it marked as an exhibit or --
6
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB: No.
7
                  MS. SACKETT POHLENZ: -- just
8
     because it's -- okay. It is -- references
9
     transcripts that the parties have agreed to submit
10
     to the Hearing Officer in lieu of testimony today
     and those transcripts are Village Board Member
11
12
     Walter Abernathy; Village Board Member Carrie
13
     Davis; Village Board -- Village Clerk, excuse me,
14
     Robert Watt and Deputy Village Clerk Leslie
15
     McReynolds. I have just this morning e-mailed
16
     those transcripts and exhibits over to the Hearing
17
     Officer and all the parties so that electronically
18
     they have been provided. In addition --
19
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Did you file
20
     them electronically with the clerk?
2.1
                  MS. SACKETT POHLENZ: I did not.
22
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Okay.
23
                  MS. SACKETT POHLENZ: I did not know
24
     if --
```

# Electronic Filing - Received, Clerk's Office: 10/31/2014 October 28, 2014

	Page 9
1	HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Okay.
2	MS. SACKETT POHLENZ: that was
3	something I should do if the entire record would
4	then be filed with the clerk or online or just
5	sent by e-mail to the clerk.
6	HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Yeah. Can I
7	see the document, that first page?
8	MS. SACKETT POHLENZ: Sure. So the
9	transcripts were sent electronically and then I'm
10	also including and providing a certified copy of
11	Roxanna Landfill, Inc.'s May 29th, 2014, permit.
12	HEARING OFFICER WEBB: So we are
13	agreeing to admit into the record these deposition
14	transcripts as if read, is that correct?
15	MS. SACKETT POHLENZ: Well, the
16	exceptions on there are objections. There was an
17	offer of proof. We made the offer of proof at the
18	end and we would ask the Board to rule on that
19	offer of proof pursuant to the Hearing Officer's
20	order on that issue.
21	HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Okay.
22	MS. SACKETT POHLENZ: And then there
23	are some objections made during the course of the
24	depositions that we'd ask the Hearing Officer to

```
Page 10
1
     make rulings on and then the exhibits are also
2
     submitted and agreed to be submitted as part of
3
     that.
4
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Do you need
5
     the rulings on the depositions now?
6
                  MS. SACKETT POHLENZ:
7
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB:
                                         Okay.
8
                  MS. SACKETT POHLENZ: Obviously not.
9
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB: All right. I
10
     will accept in for filing on behalf of the
     Board -- I'm not sure what the correct terminology
11
12
     would be. I don't want to say admitted into the
13
     record when not all of it is admitted into the
     record.
14
15
                  MS. SACKETT POHLENZ: Correct.
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB: But I would
16
17
     put -- yeah, this can go ahead and be
18
     electronically filed with the Board. I can
     take -- is this all of it? I can take -- I can
19
20
     also file this paper copy, do you want --
2.1
                  MS. SACKETT POHLENZ: That paper
22
     copy is the entire --
23
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Is the entire
24
     thing?
```

```
Page 11
1
                  MS. SACKETT POHLENZ:
                                        Yeah.
2
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Okay.
                                                 Okay.
3
     We don't need to -- we'll just refer to this
4
     document as agreed stipulations.
5
                  MS. SACKETT POHLENZ:
                                        Correct.
6
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Okay.
7
     there anything --
8
                  MS. SACKETT POHLENZ:
                                        Yes.
9
                  MS. LIVINGSTON: Yes, there is
10
     something. Last night when we left the
11
     stipulations I know that there were eight proposed
12
     stipulations, but there had never been any
13
     controversy about what we had as stipulation
14
     number two, which was a stipulation about a
     meeting on February 18th, 2014, and I noticed that
15
16
     the petitioner has removed that stipulation and I
17
     certainly thought that this --
                  MS. SACKETT POHLENZ: This is --
18
19
                  MS. LIVINGSTON: -- was part of what
20
     we were stipulating to. If I could just finish
2.1
     for the court reporter, I'll stop now.
22
                  MS. SACKETT POHLENZ: This is
23
     parties agreed stipulations and they don't agree
24
     to stipulations at 6:07 p.m. while I was driving
```

October 28, 2014

Page 12 1 down to Caseyville, the stipulations that I 2 proposed on Friday morning of the -- would be the 3 24th were all of a sudden objected to. Of the 4 eight, only four I think existed. So the fact 5 that after reviewing their issues, after reviewing my case and what I'm going to present and all of 6 7 this being on such a compressed schedule and 8 having to respond to several, you know, motions 9 during the course of this that they happen such as 10 the motions for sanctions and other things that had been thrown my way. You know, the fact that 11 what ended up being agreed was three, three of 12 eight that started the discussion. They removed 13 14 four of those and we, petitioners, removed one. 15 MS. LIVINGSTON: Well, the stipulations that were removed had to do with new 16 17 documents that we had just received pursuant to a 18 subpoena duces tecum to the Village's attorney 19 Mr. John Gilbert and they also included his bills 20 with no explanation for the conversation. 2.1 thought that was prejudicial. It turns out that 22 Mr. Gilbert is available this afternoon to testify 23 and he will appear to testify since the petitioner

also asked that his deposition or that his

24

October 28, 2014

Page 13 1 testimony be taken --2 MS. SACKETT POHLENZ: I have not 3 subpoenaed Mr. Gilbert. 4 MS. LIVINGSTON: Well, they 5 subpoenaed duces tecum him a week ago, which by the way a week ago is when I got the answers to 6 7 discovery to even know that there were any issues 8 about a meeting having taken place after the 9 application and since the petitioners have raised the issue of ex parte communication, in full 10 disclosure we should know all ex parte 11 12 communication and so we intend to show you what 13 that is because we just learned about it last 14 week. MS. SACKETT POHLENZ: We're not 15 16 presenting evidence -- any evidence at hearing 17 concerning ex parte communication. Our evidence 18 at hearing is going to concern the fact that this 19 application wasn't filed on the date that they 20 claim it was filed. Our evidence at hearing is 2.1 going to concern the fundamental unfairness of the 22 hearing process itself and our evidence at 23 hearing -- well, our evidence with respect to the 24 briefing on the record below we'll reserve because

```
Page 14
1
     that's going to be presented here today.
2
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB: I will allow
3
     everybody to make an opening statement if they
4
     want one. I do have a question. What do I do
5
     with this?
                 Is this agreed by the parties or not?
6
                  MS. LIVINGSTON:
                                   It is agreed
7
    because --
8
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB:
                                         Okay.
9
                  MS. LIVINGSTON: -- it's a simple
     fact.
10
11
                  MS. SACKETT POHLENZ: Okay. What is
12
     your motion? Penni, do you have a motion?
13
                  MS. LIVINGSTON: I am merely
     pointing out the unfairness of the proceeding when
14
15
     people change things the night before.
16
                  MS. SACKETT POHLENZ: And then you
17
     did the same. I don't understand where that is
18
     going.
19
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Okay.
20
                  MS. SACKETT POHLENZ:
                                        They are the
2.1
     ones who compressed the schedule.
22
                  MS. LIVINGSTON: Where it is going
23
     is there was a meeting that took place on February
24
     18th. We had stipulated to that meeting. Now, I
```

October 28, 2014

Page 15 1 will have to present evidence about that meeting which also leads to the next issue which is she 2 3 filed a motion to quash my subpoena. 4 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Okay. Okay. 5 We'll get there. We'll get there. We don't have 6 to do the whole hearing before opening statements, 7 but this document --8 MS. LIVINGSTON: Is agreed. 9 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Okay. 10 agreed stipulation is agreed. Okay. Are there any other preliminary matters before we get to 11 opening arguments? 12 13 MS. SACKETT POHLENZ: There are. 14 There are a number of records that are not in the 15 record that was filed by the Village and were part 16 of the siting public record before -- at the 17 Village. So I can run through those documents, 18 but I did not have time to copy them and provide 19 them and I did not have time to upload them this 20 morning, but I can likely provide them -- I can 2.1 also provide them electronically. 22 The parties have reviewed these 23 and my understanding that they're in agreement to 24 it, but I will read them off for the record and

```
Page 16
1
     then they can say whether or not with respect to
2
     each one they agree.
3
                       Excluded from the record filed
4
     by the Village in this PCB petition for review was
5
     the cover letter to the site location application
6
     from Caseyville Transfer Station, LLC.
7
                  MS. LIVINGSTON: We agree it should
    be added.
8
9
                  MR. MANION: Agreed.
10
                  MS. SACKETT POHLENZ: Does anyone
     object? Let's put it -- make it easier. No
11
12
     objection. Number two, Caseyville Transfer
13
     Station, LLC, and I'll call it a siting handout
14
     and if anyone doesn't understand what that is I'll
15
     clarify it, but it was provided to the parties
16
     prior to today and it was provided by Mr. Siemsen.
17
                  MS. LIVINGSTON: Does it have an
     exhibit number?
18
19
                  MS. SACKETT POHLENZ: It does not
2.0
     have an exhibit number. I labeled it Exhibit J to
2.1
     the e-mail that I had sent out, but that's not
22
     relevant to -- it is not how the numbers or
23
     letters will go in this proceeding.
24
```

		Page	17
1	(Document marked as Hearing		
2	Exhibit No. J for		
3	identification.)		
4	MS. LIVINGSTON: No objection.		
5	MR. MANION: No objection.		
6	MS. SACKETT POHLENZ: Caseyville		
7	Transfer Station, LLC, Exhibit C to its siting		
8	application which is its pre-filing notice.		
9	(Document marked as Hearing		
10	Exhibit No. C for		
11	identification.)		
12	MR. MANION: No objection.		
13	MS. LIVINGSTON: No objection.		
14	MS. SACKETT POHLENZ: Caseyville		
15	Transfer Station, LLC, Exhibit D to its siting		
16	application. That is the host community		
17	agreement.		
18	(Document marked as Hearing		
19	Exhibit No. D for		
20	identification.)		
21	MS. LIVINGSTON: No objection.		
22	MR. MANION: No objection.		
23	MS. SACKETT POHLENZ: Caseyville		
24	Transfer Station, LLC, Exhibit E, which is the		

```
Page 18
1
     siting application, which is US EPA publication, A
2
     Manual For Decision-Making.
3
                        (Document marked as Hearing
                        Exhibit No. E for
4
                        identification.)
5
6
                  MS. LIVINGSTON: No objection.
7
                  MR. MANION: No objection.
8
                  MS. SACKETT POHLENZ: A color copy
9
     of the exhibits from Roxanna Landfill, Inc.'s
10
     witness presented at the public hearing on May
     29th Mr. Dustin Riechmann's. The black and white
11
12
     copy was included in the record, but we
13
     specifically submitted a color copy of those
14
     photographs into the record and we would like a
15
     color copy to be reflected before the Board.
16
                  MS. LIVINGSTON: No objection.
17
                  MR. MANION: No objection.
18
                  MS. SACKETT POHLENZ: A copy of the
19
     transcript from the July 16th, 2014, Village of
20
     Caseyville committee meeting where the siting was
2.1
     discussed at the public meeting before the
22
     Village.
23
                                   No objection.
                  MS. LIVINGSTON:
24
                  MR. MANION: No objection.
```

# Electronic Filing - Received, Clerk's Office: 10/31/2014 October 28, 2014

	Page 19
1	MS. SACKETT POHLENZ: A copy of the
2	transcript from the August 6th, 2014, special
3	meeting when the Village Board of the Village of
4	Caseyville voted to approve the Caseyville
5	Transfer Station, LLC, application for site
6	location approval.
7	MS. LIVINGSTON: We have no
8	objection, but we would like to note that the
9	reason why these public meetings were transcribed
10	was because the petitioner hired a transcriber for
11	the meeting, but we have no objection.
12	MS. SACKETT POHLENZ: It is nice we
13	keep a good record. A copy of
14	MR. MANION: Wait. On the August
15	6th meeting, isn't that already in the record?
16	MS. LIVINGSTON: Yes, it is.
17	MR. MANION: G1 to
18	MS. SACKETT POHLENZ: Okay. Good.
19	MR. MANION: G1 to G30.
20	MS. SACKETT POHLENZ: So if the
21	August 6th is already in the record, then we don't
22	need to include that.
23	MR. MANION: Right. It is already
24	in there.

# Electronic Filing - Received, Clerk's Office: 10/31/2014 October 28, 2014

	Page 20
1	MS. SACKETT POHLENZ: Okay.
2	MR. MANION: Pages G1 to G30.
3	MS. SACKETT POHLENZ: A copy of my
4	cover letter, a corrected certificate of service
5	and notice of filing and appearance on behalf of
6	Roxanna Landfill, Inc. before filed before the
7	public hearing date of May 29th. We filed in
8	advance of that and it was provided to all the
9	parties. Any objection?
10	MS. LIVINGSTON: Nope.
11	MR. MANION: No.
12	MS. SACKETT POHLENZ: A copy of my
13	e-mail transmittal to the Village clerk and deputy
14	clerk on June 27th, 2014, that enclosed my
15	post-hearing written comment on behalf of Roxanna
16	Landfill, Inc. Any objection?
17	MS. LIVINGSTON: No objection.
18	MR. MANION: No.
19	MS. SACKETT POHLENZ: So those would
20	be we request the parties agreed and request
21	that those be supplemented to the record.
22	HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Okay. All of
23	those items are admitted into the record and you
24	will file a supplement to the record with the

```
Page 21
1
     Board after the hearing?
2
                  MS. SACKETT POHLENZ: Correct.
3
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB:
                                          Okay.
4
                  MS. SACKETT POHLENZ: And should
5
     that come from the Village or should that come
     from us?
6
7
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Well, I don't
8
     know who has --
9
                  MS. SACKETT POHLENZ: The documents
10
     are primarily the applicants.
11
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Can you --
12
                  MS. LIVINGSTON: She is the person
     who discovered them missing from the record and
13
     she has them handy. Why not just uphold them to
14
15
     the cloud that she's got setup?
16
                  MS. SACKETT POHLENZ: I can bring
17
     them over to the Pollution Control Board Chicago's
18
     office on a disk.
19
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB: That would be
20
     great.
             Thank you.
2.1
                  MS. LIVINGSTON:
                                   Thanks.
22
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Are there any
23
     other preliminary matters?
24
                  MS. SACKETT POHLENZ: There are.
```

```
Page 22
1
     There is Roxanna -- on behalf of Roxanna Landfill,
2
     Inc., I filed a joinder and a petition --
3
     petitioner of Village of Fairmont City's motion to
4
     exclude portions of the record. I don't know if
5
     that will be heard today.
6
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Well, my
7
     ruling is that I'm going to deny the motion to
8
     exclude because I know the Board accepts comments
9
     from parties that respond, you know, after the --
10
     you know, that respond to public comment. So that
     is appealable to the Board, but my ruling is that
11
     I'm going to deny the motion to exclude.
12
13
                  MS. LIVINGSTON:
                                   Thank you.
14
                  MS. SACKETT POHLENZ:
                                        Okay.
15
     then petitioner Roxanna Landfill, Inc. filed an
16
     emergency motion to quash a subpoena duces tecum
17
     directed to Susan Piazza, an employee of Allied
18
     Waste Transportation, Inc.
19
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB: What -- this
20
     has been a little confusing. Is -- was she to
2.1
     produce documents or testify? What was the
22
     intent?
23
                  MS. SACKETT POHLENZ: I can --
24
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB: I'm confused.
```

October 28, 2014

Page 23 1 MS. SACKETT POHLENZ: With respect 2 to the motion and with respect to my 3 understanding. So the documents filed by counsel 4 for the applicant show that the subpoena wasn't 5 served until Friday at 2:37 in the afternoon around then. Sometime after 2:30. When I learned 6 7 of the subpoena, it was a subpoena duces tecum. 8 It was a subpoena for document production and 9 given the Hearing Officer's prior order concerning 10 discovery, you know, in the case and discovery subpoenas specifically in the case, you know --11 12 I -- I was -- I treated it as such, a subpoena 13 duces tecum which is what it says on its face. Ι 14 learned on Monday that it is -- they consider it 15 to be a subpoena for testimony. It is not what the document 16 17 It doesn't follow the proper form of the says. 18 subpoena. It doesn't use the Pollution Control 19 Board form of the subpoena. It doesn't say 20 testimony anywhere on the face of the subpoena. 2.1 It is not filed and there was no leave to file or 22 other order entered by the Hearing Officer 23 concerning subpoenas for hearing being filed 24 outside the ten days required by the Pollution

```
Page 24
1
     Control Board rules and, you know, it is less than
2
     24 hours before the hearing we're told that they
3
     want to present someone for testimony on an issue
4
     that has no relevance to anything that we're
5
     presenting with respect to evidence on
     jurisdiction or with respect to evidence on
6
     fundamental unfairness.
7
8
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Okay.
                                                 I have
9
     a question. Is this person present today?
10
                  MS. SACKETT POHLENZ:
11
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Okay.
12
                  MS. LIVINGSTON:
                                   This person is
13
     disobeying a lawfully issued subpoena served on
14
     her by a process server with a check by the way.
15
     Every subpoena that the city witnesses appeared on
     did not include a check and case law under
16
17
     Illinois says subpoenas are not legal without a
18
     check, but we did bring witnesses and appear
19
     pursuant to discovery. So it is interesting to
20
     say that the subpoena is not lawful. The first
2.1
     thing --
22
                  MS. SACKETT POHLENZ:
                                         It is
23
     interesting to see your application isn't complete
24
     on the record on the -- before the Board, but I'm
```

```
Page 25
1
     apparently the only one who has reviewed that
2
     record to find inconsistencies. So there is a lot
3
     of inconsistencies that have nothing to do with
4
     the matter before this hearing officer and our
5
     emergency motion to quash this harassing --
6
                  MS. LIVINGSTON:
                                   WOW.
7
                  MS. SACKETT POHLENZ: -- vexatious
8
     subpoena.
9
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Okay.
                                                 Okay.
10
     Okay.
11
                  MS. LIVINGSTON: If I could speak
12
     to --
13
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB: My ruling on
14
     the motion to quash is that it appears to be moot.
15
                  MS. LIVINGSTON: Well, it is
16
     actually not moot. It is actually not moot. You
17
     see, we had a stipulation about this meeting that
18
     occurred on February 18th and now we don't, but
19
     here is what happened is I received -- even though
20
     I submitted discovery answers to their discovery
     within five days of receiving it and was ordered
2.1
22
     to do it within eight days I did not get the
23
     responses to my discovery until 16 days later
24
     which happened to have been one week ago yesterday
```

```
Page 26
1
     at ten til 5:00 and the next day were the
2
     depositions and so the first time I ever knew
3
     Susan Piazza had a meeting with the mayor and the
4
     city attorney was at 5:00 p.m. the day before
5
     depositions. In other words, one week ago
     yesterday at 5:00 p.m. is the first time I even
6
7
     knew this woman existed. They answered in
8
     discovery. She is the person who answers the
9
     discovery answers.
                  MS. SACKETT POHLENZ: She doesn't.
10
11
     I answered the discovery and I cite that they were
     reviewed by her because I --
12
13
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Okay.
                                                 Just
14
     explain.
15
                  MS. LIVINGSTON:
                                   Input --
16
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Okay.
17
                  MS. LIVINGSTON: On the facts
18
     referenced from Ken Bli- -- from Ken Bleyer,
19
     another attorney for petitioner, and Susan Piazza
20
     an employee -- now my paper is not turning.
2.1
                  MS. SACKETT POHLENZ: Correct. And
22
     the references in discovery concerned
23
     unavailability of the record to Ms. Piazza.
24
                  MS. LIVINGSTON:
                                   But sure --
```

```
Page 27
1
                  MS. SACKETT POHLENZ: And we are not
2
     presenting that as evidence.
3
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB:
4
     Counsel, I think we're getting ahead of ourselves.
5
     We'll get to the substance of this hearing.
6
     just want to get through some of these prehearing
     issues.
8
                  MS. LIVINGSTON:
                                   Right. So here is
9
     the issue.
10
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB:
                                         Okav.
11
                  MS. LIVINGSTON: I asked her at that
12
     deposition the next day because that's the first
13
     time I knew about this person, right, so the next
14
     day I asked her could I have Susan Piazza --
15
                  MS. SACKETT POHLENZ: Wait.
16
                  MS. LIVINGSTON: -- for testimony.
17
                  MS. SACKETT POHLENZ: What is going
18
     on? Are you testifying now to the Board?
19
                  MS. LIVINGSTON: You have an e-mail
20
     to me where you say to me yesterday after the
2.1
     deposition of John Siemsen you raised with me for
22
     the first time, well, yeah, I just got this
23
     information, your desire to subpoena Susan Piazza.
24
                  MS. SACKETT POHLENZ: And you didn't
```

```
Page 28
1
     subpoena her.
2
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Wait.
3
                  MS. SACKETT POHLENZ: But you didn't
4
     because you were working on -- or show it to me
5
     until less than 24 hours before today.
6
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Okay.
7
    Ms. Pohlenz, please. Please explain to me how
     this is not a moot motion?
8
9
                  MS. LIVINGSTON: Because while the
10
     application was on file at City Hall, Allied Waste
11
     had a meeting with the mayor and the Village
12
     attorney to turn them against this application.
13
                  MS. SACKETT POHLENZ: Oh, there is
     no evidence of that at all.
14
15
                                   There will be.
                  MS. LIVINGSTON:
16
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Hang on.
17
                  MS. SACKETT POHLENZ: No, there
18
     won't be.
19
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Hang on.
20
     Hold on.
2.1
                  MS. SACKETT POHLENZ: That is
22
     just --
23
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB: This
     individual is not here.
24
```

October 28, 2014

Page 29 1 MS. LIVINGSTON: Apparently. 2 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: So I don't 3 really know what I can do. 4 MS. LIVINGSTON: You can order the 5 petitioner to provide a witness who was lawfully 6 subpoenaed who they told me they would only give 7 me if I agreed to reduce the scope of what I would 8 I don't have to reduce the scope or tell ask. 9 them what I'm going to ask this witness that they 10 just notified me existed. MS. SACKETT POHLENZ: That was --11 12 you raised the issue of stipulations. You raised 13 it and we came up with a list of eight and then 6:07 last night as I'm on my way down here you 14 15 decide to pull the rug on four. So you want to 16 talk about good practice, good practice would have 17 been to talk to me about those stipulations, which 18 you did. All of them are agreeable you said 19 multiple times until 6:07 last night. You want to 20 talk about good practice, then show it yourself to 2.1 start with. 22 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Well, in my 23 opinion, and my ruling is going to be that this 24 motion to quash is moot because we don't have the

```
Page 30
1
     witness. We don't have the documents. If you
2
     want to make -- you can certainly bring this up in
3
     your post-hearing brief. You can make -- all the
4
     arguments you're making now would be appropriate
5
     for that, but that is -- that is my ruling for now
6
     on the motion to quash.
7
                  MS. LIVINGSTON:
                                   I appreciate it.
8
     Then I would like to ask this question. Since we
9
     have a person who was personally served, had a
10
     check, disobeyed the subpoena, do you consider the
     Board to be the appropriate mechanism for contempt
11
     or do you think that I should bring it to a
12
     St. Clair County judge?
13
14
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB: I think you
15
     should -- my decisions are appellate to the Board.
16
                  MS. LIVINGSTON: Okay.
17
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB: And the
18
     Board's decisions are appealable to the appellate
19
     court as you know.
20
                  MS. LIVINGSTON:
                                   I appreciate it.
2.1
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Any other --
22
     I don't want -- please tell me there are no other
23
     preliminary issues to discuss before hearing?
24
                  MS. LIVINGSTON:
                                   Well, maybe I
```

```
Page 31
1
     should. Before we stop then I guess I would like
     this on the record. I move that Susan Piazza be
2
3
     held in contempt of the Board for disobeying a
4
     lawfully, personally served subpoena that included
5
     a check.
6
                  MS. SACKETT POHLENZ: I disagree
7
     that it was lawfully served -- lawfully in
8
     compliance with the rules served. I disagree that
9
     it was a subpoena for testimony.
                                       It was a
10
     subpoena duces tecum and that's what it was.
11
                  MS. LIVINGSTON:
                                   It says appear.
12
                  MS. SACKETT POHLENZ: It says -- it
13
     is not in compliance with the form before the
             If you would like to file a motion, file a
14
     Board.
15
     motion. I'll respond to it then because otherwise
16
     all we're doing is wasting time on the record.
17
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB: I'm -- I'm
18
     going to deny that motion. You can appeal it to
19
     the Board.
20
                  MS. LIVINGSTON:
                                   Right. I just
2.1
     wanted it on the record.
22
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB:
23
                  MS. LIVINGSTON: And it was properly
24
     issued.
```

```
Page 32
1
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB:
                                          Okay.
2
     Anything else?
                  MS. SACKETT POHLENZ: That is all
3
4
     the administrative matters that we had to raise.
5
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB:
                                         Okay.
    Mr. Moran?
6
7
                  MR. MORAN: I have nothing.
8
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Mr. Manion,
9
     do you have any prehearing --
10
                  MR. MANION: No, your Honor.
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB: We're still
11
12
     prehearing by the way.
                  MR. MANION: No, I do not.
13
                                               Thank
14
     you.
15
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Anything
16
     else, Ms. Livingston?
17
                  MS. LIVINGSTON:
                                   Well, just that
     I -- that Mr. John Gilbert is available to testify
18
19
     at 1:30 so he will be coming in to testify.
20
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Okay. All
2.1
     right. Ms. Pohlenz, would you like to make any
22
     opening statement?
23
                  MS. SACKETT POHLENZ: Yes, I would.
24
     The public hearing today is where participants
```

October 28, 2014

Page 33 1 present evidence on jurisdiction and fundamental 2 unfairness not already in the record that they 3 will raise before the Pollution Control Board. 4 The public hearing today is not an opportunity for 5 the siting applicant, Caseyville Transfer Station, LLC, to correct or add to the evidence on the 6 criteria under Section 39.2 of the Illinois 7 Environmental Protection Act. 8 I am Jennifer Sackett Pohlenz 9 10 and I represent Roxanna Landfill, Inc. Why -- why is Roxanna Landfill, Inc. a participant and a 11 petitioner in this proceeding? Roxanna Landfill, 12 Inc. is the owner and operator of the landfill in 13 the service area. Caseyville Transfer Station, 14 15 LLC, is the only party in a siting that can choose 16 its service area and it chose a three county area: 17 St. Clair, Madison and Monroe. Caseyville 18 Transfer Station, Inc. identifies Roxanna, Inc. 19 Landfill, Inc., excuse me, several times in its 20 siting application as a landfill in the service 2.1 area and Caseyville Transfer Station, Inc. states 22 in its siting application that Roxanna Landfill, 23 Inc. is 19 miles from the proposed transfer 24 station. That is all information contained in the

October 28, 2014

Page 34

siting application and put there by the applicant Caseyville Transfer Station, LLC.

2.1

As a party who is in the service area and has properly presented and obtained -- as a party who is in the service area and has properly presented and obtained approval of the siting application on its own and approval of permits from the Illinois EPA related to that siting, as well as a party called-out in Caseyville Transfer Station, Inc. LLC's site location application, Roxanna has a right to participate here today in these proceedings.

So what about jurisdiction and fundamental unfairness? What is the evidence going to show? Roxanna Landfill, Inc. has raised jurisdictional issues in its motion to dismiss the siting application concerning the pre-filing notice. The evidence on that is within the record. It was raised in a motion to dismiss before the siting hearing and it was never ruled on by the Village Board. In addition, the evidence presented at this public hearing through the transcripts that were just submitted will show yet another jurisdictional failure that there is

October 28, 2014

Page 35 1 absolutely no evidence that the Village of 2 Caseyville filed the siting application on 3 February 10th, 2014. 4 Why is that important? The 5 pre-filing notice that a siting applicant is required to send to property owners within 250 6 7 feet minimum distance around the proposed facility 8 boundary under Section 39.2 under the Illinois 9 Environmental Protection Act provides that that notice must contain the date of filing. 10 Pollution Control Board informed and upheld that 11 12 even a one day deviation on what that date is will 13 lie -- will make an application juris- --14 defective jurisdictionally and remove jurisdiction 15 from the Village Board that notice has been 16 presented. 17 The evidence presented at 18 hearing today through the transcripts from the 19 Village of Caseyville says -- show that there is 20 no evidence that the application was filed on 2.1 February 14th. Now, Mr. Siemsen may testify and 22 he may say he brought the application here. 23 would he say anything else? He knows the 24 consequences now, but he has nothing to show us.

October 28, 2014

Page 36

No proof that he was here. No receipt from the clerk. No file stamp. Nothing.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

As with respect to fundamental unfairness, while a variety of issues could be raised and without diminishing the importance of any of them or the importance of them to the public, which is not my purpose, is to make those -- any of the issues less than what they are, but the core issue of fundamental unfairness and the issue that we're presenting today concerns the fundamental unfairness of the hearing itself, the siting hearing held on May 29th, 2014, and that, again, was solely in the hands of Caseyville Transfer Station, LLC. Caseyville Transfer Station, LLC, decided to present its case for public hearing without affidavit, without any engineered seal or stamped plans. Without a single word of testimony. Again, like the service area, no one forced this on Caseyville Transfer Station, LLC. Caseyville Transfer Station never subjected itself to cross-examination at the hearing.

It never testified. It merely submitted a group of documents, the bulk of which

October 28, 2014

Page 37 1 are general governmental publications, they gave a public comment and called it a day. Not only did 2 3 this render the public hearing fundamentally unfair, but it rendered the decision of the 4 5 Village Board to be against the manifest weight of evidence as there is simply no evidence presented 6 7 by the applicant and that is an issue we'll 8 discuss further in our post-hearing briefs. Thus, 9 for this and the other reasons to be submitted in 10 post-hearing briefs in this matter, participant Roxanna Landfill, Inc. respectfully requests that 11 12 the Illinois Pollution Control Board reverse the 13 decision of the Village Board of the Village of 14 Caseyville as it is against the manifest weight of 15 the evidence, there is no jurisdiction for the 16 Village Board's siting approval and that the 17 public hearing or the local siting processes were 18 fundamentally unfair due to the applicant's 19 decision to only give public comment. 20 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Thank you. 2.1 Mr. Moran, would you like to make an opening 22 statement? 23 Just very briefly. MR. MORAN: 24 Village of Fairmont City joins in the argument and

```
Page 38
1
     the opening that was made by Roxanna Landfill,
2
     Inc. and we would simply ask to reserve a right to
3
     respond to any opening that is made by either of
     the respondents.
4
5
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB:
                                          Okay.
6
     Mr. Manion, would you like to make an opening
7
     statement?
8
                  MR. MANION:
                               No, thank you.
9
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB:
10
     Livingston?
11
                  MS. LIVINGSTON:
                                    Thank you,
12
                I guess I'll just respond to what was
     Ms. Webb.
13
     said. On the issue of fundamental fairness in
14
     discovery of, well, we'll figure it out because
15
     there wasn't anything in advance, as far as
16
     addressing the issues of fundamental unfairness, I
17
     think that's exactly right. We're not here to
18
     retry the issues that the Board decided upon.
19
     criteria have already been placed into the record.
20
     As far as the claim that Mr. Siemsen did not give
2.1
     testimony, the fact that the Hearing Officer or
22
     the mayor who was running the public meeting did
23
     not swear the witnesses in does not mean that the
24
     testimony was not the truth, the whole truth so
```

2.1

October 28, 2014

Page 39

help you God. Certainly in taking his deposition and here today Mr. Siemsen will raise his right hand and swear to tell the truth because that's what he is telling is the truth. He put his application together. It is in the record. It speaks for itself. He did make statements about each of the criteria and certainly the record will show that when people from the public spoke to him he definitely did answer their concerns or issues that they were raising so I would say that is a little bit subject to cross-examination.

While there didn't seem to be a courtroom setting on this, that doesn't mean that the Village did not take in evidence of the criteria including the application, which is evidence, and it doesn't mean that they didn't consider all the criteria which when you read the transcripts of the two trustees of the Board that were deposed you'll see that they did, in fact, take into consideration all of the criteria and that they did base their testimony or base their decision on the evidence. Ms. Pohlenz stated that she is raising jurisdictional issues with the pre-filing notice. Mr. Siemsen is going to give

October 28, 2014

Page 40

his hotel receipt to show that he came down here on February 10th and brought that to the Village Board.

2.1

testify this afternoon that he is aware that it was at the City Village Hall on February 10th.

That is the day it was delivered, that is the day in the notice and that's the day that it got here.

So as far as the city not having jurisdiction, they most certainly do. The application was filed appropriately, brought to City Hall and they have jurisdiction.

No evidence that we filed it on February 10th? Well, we do have evidence of that. We have Mr. Siemsen's testimony, we have his hotel receipt and we'll have Mr. Gilbert and also you'll see from the record Leslie McReynolds testified and her deposition has been put in and the real issue on the fundamental unfairness of where is this record, it seems to have been put on the zoning director's desk. That would be Mike Mitchell and he is the zoning God in St. Clair County and he is doing zoning part-time for Caseyville. So it does seem that there was a slip

October 28, 2014

Page 41

1 up in the beginning as to where this record got 2 placed. It got placed on the zoning directors 3 desk and so it does appear that for about a week and a half the record was not available to the 4 5 public. I would note, and you'll be able to do the calculations yourself, and this was one of the 6 7 reasons I wanted Susan Piazza is that they're 8 claiming fundamental unfairness yet the e-mails 9 show she came and ran copies of the entire record. 10 I believe it was February 24th. And so, in other words, gee, you guys had the entire record more 11 12 than 90 days before that hearing. That doesn't 13 sound fundamentally unfair and so saying that there is no evidence that it was filed, well, 14 15 there is evidence that it was filed. No proof? 16 Yes, there's proof and then she also said that he 17 didn't present his case with engineer stamped 18 plans. 19 I would point out to everyone 20 that after you get local siting approval that is 2.1 the very first thing you have to tell Illinois EPA 22 before you apply for a permit and Illinois EPA 23 would never give a permit to a permit applicant

unless they met all of the standards of the

24

October 28, 2014

Page 42 1 Environmental Protection Act and they would put 2 conditions on that permit to ensure that the 3 provisions of the Environmental Protection Act 4 are, in fact, enforced. So this is just one step 5 is you get your local siting approval, then you apply for a permit with Illinois EPA. That's when 6 7 you need to be getting your engineers involved. 8 So -- and the issue of, let's 9 see, nonchalantly saying, you know, that Mr. Siemsen took -- just gave public comments and 10 called it a day, you know, Mr. Siemsen came in and 11 had a crowd of people and so did the Village Board 12 13 and they listened to that crowd of people. 14 listened to all the testimony and they decided 15 what was good for their community. One of the exhibits that I 16 17 thought would come in, and we'll get it under 18 Mr. Gilbert so I can talk about it, is a local 19 siting ordinance that Allied Waste brought to the 20 Village and asked them to adopt that has a \$250,000 application fee. As a minor in 2.1 22 economics, we call that a barrier to entry that 23 you're trying to keep your competitors out by 24 creating a barrier to entry and they tried to

```
Page 43
1
     persuade the Village to pass that so that the
2
     applicant could not go forward because of that
3
     barrier entry and all of the fundamental
4
     unfairness issues that are involved, well, there
5
     seems to be a fumbling of the record during the
     first week and a half, where is it, after that
6
7
     when you get to the fundamental fairness issue it
8
     is really the petitioners that have issues with
9
     fundamental fairness and I think that you'll see
     from the post trial briefs that -- post-hearing
10
     briefs that we filed that is, in fact, the case
11
     and I think you'll hear the testimony today that
12
13
     is, in fact, the case. So they have jurisdiction.
14
     They made a decision. It is interesting that the
15
     Power Point that Ms. Pohlenz put together for the
16
     Village makes it very clear that this is a local
17
     issue --
18
                  MS. SACKETT POHLENZ:
                                        Objection.
19
                  MS. LIVINGSTON: -- where local --
20
                  MS. SACKETT POHLENZ: At this point
2.1
     we're not -- I mean, there is nothing in evidence.
22
                  MS. LIVINGSTON:
                                   I will present it.
23
                  MS. SACKETT POHLENZ: We're straying
24
     far away from the facts.
```

	Page 44
1	MS. LIVINGSTON: Okay. I'll just
2	stop talking and you can hear the evidence when it
3	comes in.
4	HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Sounds good.
5	MS. LIVINGSTON: But I agree the
6	evidence today is on fundamental fairness and
7	jurisdiction and I'm glad to see that the issues
8	of ex parte communication have been dealt with
9	because when you look at the answers to discovery
10	that seems to have been an issue and I'm glad they
11	can see that it's not an issue, but I would tell
12	you it's not an issue for Mr. Siemsen, but it is
13	an issue for Allied Waste.
14	HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Okay.
15	Ms. Pohlenz, would you like to call your first
16	witness?
17	MS. SACKETT POHLENZ: We're going to
18	rest on the transcripts that have been submitted
19	in lieu of testimony.
20	HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Do you have
21	anything else you would like to present today for
22	your case?
23	MS. SACKETT POHLENZ: No.
24	HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Does Fairmont

```
Page 45
1
     City --
2
                  MS. SACKETT POHLENZ: I reserve --
3
     reserve obviously the right to file post-hearing
     briefs.
4
5
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Of course,
6
          Fairmont City, anything to present today?
7
                  MR. MORAN:
                             We learned this morning
8
     that Mr. Gilbert apparently will be appearing this
9
     afternoon. While I wouldn't identify Mr. Gilbert
     as being Fairmont City's witness, we would
10
     certainly ask for the opportunity to examine
11
    Mr. Gilbert, but we have no witnesses to present
12
13
     at this time and no other material that we wish to
     offer.
14
15
                  MS. SACKETT POHLENZ: And, likewise,
16
     Roxanna Landfill, Inc. I didn't know -- I would
17
     reserve the right to cross-examine or respond to
18
     any evidence presented by any of the respondents
19
     in the case.
20
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB:
                                          Oh, of
2.1
              Yes. Does the Village have anything to
     course.
22
     present today?
23
                  MR. MANION:
                               I'd like to call
24
     Mr. Penny as a witness.
```

```
Page 46
1
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB:
                                         I'm sorry.
2
     Mr. who?
3
                  MR. MANION: Penny. Scott Penny.
4
                  MR. MORAN: We object. There has
5
     been no subpoena issued for Mr. Penny. Mr. Penny
6
     is here as a participant. There is no right on
7
     the part of any party to be able to request the
8
     witness be called in a PCB hearing.
9
                  MR. MANION: They filed a motion for
10
     sanctions against all of us and it involves the
11
     relationship between Waste Management and Fairmont
12
     City. So I'd like to ask some questions. It is
13
     all raised in the motion. This motion was just
14
     filed, what, Wednesday.
15
                                   In other words, we
                  MS. LIVINGSTON:
16
     filed a motion to dismiss or strike the joint
17
     motion -- Fairmont's petition saying they did
18
     not -- that they were not an effective party.
19
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Okay.
20
     go off the record for a minute and then I will
2.1
     summarize what we discussed off the record when
22
     we're back on the record, but I'm very confused
23
     right now. So let's go off the record for a
24
     minute.
```

```
Page 47
 1
                        (Whereupon, a break was taken
 2
                        after which the following
 3
                        proceedings were had.)
 4
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB:
                                          Okay. We're
 5
     back on the record. Let me summarize what was
     discussed off the record. We -- the Village of
 6
 7
     Caseyville has asked to call a witness who is --
 8
     what is his title for Fairmont City?
 9
                  MS. LIVINGSTON: We can ask him when
10
     he gets on the stand.
11
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Someone from
12
     Fairmont City.
13
                  MS. LIVINGSTON: He has a couple of
14
     titles.
                  MR. MANION: Mr. Scott Penny.
15
16
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Mr. Scott
17
     Penny from Fairmont City. Two things.
                                              The
18
     petitioners did not have any notice of this
19
     witness and this witness may be testifying to
20
     items that -- or to information that has already
2.1
     been ruled as not relevant in some discovery
22
     requests. However, I have advised the Village of
23
     Caseyville that I will allow them to make an offer
24
     of proof for this witness as long as it is
```

	Page 48
1	narrowly tailored to any fundamental fairness
2	claims or the motion for sanctions that was filed
3	against them by Fairmont City. So, having said
4	that, this is an offer of proof.
5	Mr. Penny, you may approach.
6	You may take a seat on the witness stand and the
7	court reporter will swear you in, please.
8	WHEREUPON:
9	SCOTT PENNY
10	called as a witness herein, having been first duly
11	sworn, deposeth and saith as follows:
12	DIRECT EXAMINATION
13	BY MR. MANION
14	Q. Can you please state your full name?
15	A. Scott B. Penny, P-E-N-N-Y.
16	Q. And how are you currently employed?
17	A. I am the chief of police in the
18	Village administrator for the Village of
19	Fairmont City, Illinois.
20	Q. Okay. How did you first become
21	aware that there was a siting application for a
22	waste transfer station in the Village of
23	Caseyville?
24	A. I saw a notice a public notice in

October 28, 2014

Page 49

the newspaper.

2.1

- Q. Okay. Did you ever get contacted about objecting to the siting application by anyone?
- A. No, I contacted our mayor and city council members and said "We need to review this very closely."
- Q. Okay. After you spoke with them, what happened next as far as objecting to the application?
- A. In our discussion, I commented to the mayor "If we're getting involved in this, we need a specialist that is an environmental attorney." The next thing that happened is the mayor asked me to do some research and find out who was the top environmental attorney in the area. I said "Well, I know from our transactions negotiating in resolving issues with my old landfill the attorney that they have beats us at every opportunity and we always end up on the losing end. I would say we need to hire him."
- Q. I want to show you what has been marked as Exhibit 1. Can you take a look at the first paragraph on page three, please?

	Page 50
1	(Document marked as Hearing
2	Exhibit No. 1 for
3	identification.)
4	MR. MORAN: May I see the document
5	that he's showing the witness?
6	HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Do you have
7	another yeah, show him.
8	MS. LIVINGSTON: And, for the
9	record, these are meeting agenda minutes dated May
LO	7th, 2014, Village of Fairmont City, Illinois.
11	MS. SACKETT POHLENZ: And what was
12	it marked?
13	MR. MANION: Exhibit 1.
L 4	BY THE WITNESS:
15	A. Paragraph three?
L 6	BY MR. MANION:
L7	Q. The first paragraph at the top of
L 8	the page.
L 9	A. What about?
20	Q. First, can you identify that
21	document and is that a true and accurate copy of
22	the meeting minutes?
23	A. This is on Fairmont City Village
24	letterhead dated May 7th.

Q. Okay.  A. Beyond that, I can't.  Q. Does it appear to be a  A. It appears to be in good form.  Q. Okay. Can you read that first  paragraph on page three, please?  A. "Chief reported he had received a  phone call from an attorney representing Waste  Management Don Moran regarding a proposed  Caseyville Transfer Station. Village of  Caseyville is trying to get their own transfer  station to haul trash to Du Quoin. The landfill  is opposed to this station and believes it is not in the best interest of the Village. A hearing  regarding the transfer station will be held on May  29th, 2014. Mr. Moran will represent the Village  of Fairmont City. He forward an agreement and  Chief Penny asked the Board to approve the agreement."  Q. Is that a true and accurate summary  of the statements you made at that meeting?  A. Not necessarily.  Q. What is inaccurate about it?	ge	51
Q. Does it appear to be a  A. It appears to be in good form.  Q. Okay. Can you read that first  paragraph on page three, please?  A. "Chief reported he had received a  phone call from an attorney representing Waste  Management Don Moran regarding a proposed  Caseyville Transfer Station. Village of  Caseyville is trying to get their own transfer  station to haul trash to Du Quoin. The landfill  is opposed to this station and believes it is not  in the best interest of the Village. A hearing  regarding the transfer station will be held on May  29th, 2014. Mr. Moran will represent the Village  of Fairmont City. He forward an agreement and  Chief Penny asked the Board to approve the  agreement."  Q. Is that a true and accurate summary  of the statements you made at that meeting?  A. Not necessarily.		
A. It appears to be in good form.  Q. Okay. Can you read that first  paragraph on page three, please?  A. "Chief reported he had received a  phone call from an attorney representing Waste  Management Don Moran regarding a proposed  Caseyville Transfer Station. Village of  Caseyville is trying to get their own transfer  station to haul trash to Du Quoin. The landfill  is opposed to this station and believes it is not  in the best interest of the Village. A hearing  regarding the transfer station will be held on May  29th, 2014. Mr. Moran will represent the Village  of Fairmont City. He forward an agreement and  Chief Penny asked the Board to approve the  agreement."  Q. Is that a true and accurate summary  of the statements you made at that meeting?  A. Not necessarily.		
Q. Okay. Can you read that first paragraph on page three, please?  A. "Chief reported he had received a phone call from an attorney representing Waste Management Don Moran regarding a proposed Caseyville Transfer Station. Village of Caseyville is trying to get their own transfer station to haul trash to Du Quoin. The landfill is opposed to this station and believes it is not in the best interest of the Village. A hearing regarding the transfer station will be held on May 29th, 2014. Mr. Moran will represent the Village of Fairmont City. He forward an agreement and Chief Penny asked the Board to approve the agreement."  Q. Is that a true and accurate summary of the statements you made at that meeting?  A. Not necessarily.		
paragraph on page three, please?  A. "Chief reported he had received a phone call from an attorney representing Waste  Management Don Moran regarding a proposed  Caseyville Transfer Station. Village of  Caseyville is trying to get their own transfer station to haul trash to Du Quoin. The landfill is opposed to this station and believes it is not in the best interest of the Village. A hearing regarding the transfer station will be held on May 29th, 2014. Mr. Moran will represent the Village of Fairmont City. He forward an agreement and Chief Penny asked the Board to approve the agreement."  Q. Is that a true and accurate summary of the statements you made at that meeting?  A. Not necessarily.		
A. "Chief reported he had received a phone call from an attorney representing Waste  Management Don Moran regarding a proposed Caseyville Transfer Station. Village of Caseyville is trying to get their own transfer station to haul trash to Du Quoin. The landfill is opposed to this station and believes it is not in the best interest of the Village. A hearing regarding the transfer station will be held on May 29th, 2014. Mr. Moran will represent the Village of Fairmont City. He forward an agreement and Chief Penny asked the Board to approve the agreement."  Q. Is that a true and accurate summary of the statements you made at that meeting?  A. Not necessarily.		
phone call from an attorney representing Waste  Management Don Moran regarding a proposed  Caseyville Transfer Station. Village of  Caseyville is trying to get their own transfer  station to haul trash to Du Quoin. The landfill  is opposed to this station and believes it is not  in the best interest of the Village. A hearing  regarding the transfer station will be held on May  29th, 2014. Mr. Moran will represent the Village  of Fairmont City. He forward an agreement and  Chief Penny asked the Board to approve the  agreement."  Q. Is that a true and accurate summary  of the statements you made at that meeting?  A. Not necessarily.		
Management Don Moran regarding a proposed Caseyville Transfer Station. Village of Caseyville is trying to get their own transfer station to haul trash to Du Quoin. The landfill is opposed to this station and believes it is not in the best interest of the Village. A hearing regarding the transfer station will be held on May 29th, 2014. Mr. Moran will represent the Village of Fairmont City. He forward an agreement and Chief Penny asked the Board to approve the agreement."  Q. Is that a true and accurate summary of the statements you made at that meeting? A. Not necessarily.		
Caseyville Transfer Station. Village of Caseyville is trying to get their own transfer station to haul trash to Du Quoin. The landfill is opposed to this station and believes it is not in the best interest of the Village. A hearing regarding the transfer station will be held on May 29th, 2014. Mr. Moran will represent the Village of Fairmont City. He forward an agreement and Chief Penny asked the Board to approve the agreement."  Q. Is that a true and accurate summary of the statements you made at that meeting?  A. Not necessarily.		
Caseyville is trying to get their own transfer station to haul trash to Du Quoin. The landfill is opposed to this station and believes it is not in the best interest of the Village. A hearing regarding the transfer station will be held on May 29th, 2014. Mr. Moran will represent the Village of Fairmont City. He forward an agreement and Chief Penny asked the Board to approve the agreement."  Q. Is that a true and accurate summary of the statements you made at that meeting?  A. Not necessarily.		
station to haul trash to Du Quoin. The landfill is opposed to this station and believes it is not in the best interest of the Village. A hearing regarding the transfer station will be held on May 29th, 2014. Mr. Moran will represent the Village of Fairmont City. He forward an agreement and Chief Penny asked the Board to approve the agreement."  Q. Is that a true and accurate summary of the statements you made at that meeting? A. Not necessarily.		
is opposed to this station and believes it is not in the best interest of the Village. A hearing regarding the transfer station will be held on May 29th, 2014. Mr. Moran will represent the Village of Fairmont City. He forward an agreement and Chief Penny asked the Board to approve the agreement."  Q. Is that a true and accurate summary of the statements you made at that meeting?  A. Not necessarily.		
in the best interest of the Village. A hearing regarding the transfer station will be held on May 29th, 2014. Mr. Moran will represent the Village of Fairmont City. He forward an agreement and Chief Penny asked the Board to approve the agreement."  Q. Is that a true and accurate summary of the statements you made at that meeting?  A. Not necessarily.		
regarding the transfer station will be held on May 29th, 2014. Mr. Moran will represent the Village of Fairmont City. He forward an agreement and Chief Penny asked the Board to approve the agreement."  Q. Is that a true and accurate summary of the statements you made at that meeting?  A. Not necessarily.		
29th, 2014. Mr. Moran will represent the Village of Fairmont City. He forward an agreement and Chief Penny asked the Board to approve the agreement."  Q. Is that a true and accurate summary of the statements you made at that meeting? A. Not necessarily.		
of Fairmont City. He forward an agreement and Chief Penny asked the Board to approve the agreement."  Q. Is that a true and accurate summary of the statements you made at that meeting?  A. Not necessarily.		
Chief Penny asked the Board to approve the agreement."  Q. Is that a true and accurate summary of the statements you made at that meeting?  A. Not necessarily.		
agreement."  Q. Is that a true and accurate summary  of the statements you made at that meeting?  A. Not necessarily.		
Q. Is that a true and accurate summary of the statements you made at that meeting?  A. Not necessarily.		
of the statements you made at that meeting?  A. Not necessarily.		
A. Not necessarily.		
O. What is inaccurate about it?		
A. It is not fully accurate with all		

	Page 52
1	details concerning the conversation.
2	Q. Well, what is inaccurate about that
3	paragraph?
4	A. The fact that I had contacted Don
5	Moran before receiving a call from Don Moran.
6	Q. Okay. Did you?
7	A. This should reflect what this
8	should reflect is I had discussion with Don Moran.
9	Q. But that's not what the minutes say,
LO	fair enough?
L1	A. Yes, you're right.
L2	MR. MANION: I don't have any other
L3	questions.
L4	HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Okay.
L5	Ms. Livingston, do you have any questions?
L 6	CROSS EXAMINATION
L7	BY MS. LIVINGSTON
18	Q. Did you discuss in the public
L 9	meeting of May 7th, 2014, why the city why it
20	would not be good for the Village of Fairmont?
21	MR. MORAN: Ms. Hearing Officer,
22	this is going well beyond any reasonable question
23	relating to any reasonably relevant issue in this
24	case. We've just addressed this. We're going to

```
Page 53
 1
     go over it again?
 2
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Well, it is
 3
     already -- I mean, the testimony is already not
     admitted. You know, I'll -- I'll give you a
 4
 5
     little leeway to make your offer of proof, but I
             I don't -- I don't believe this is
 6
 7
     relevant, but if you'd like to appeal it to the
 8
     Board I'll let you make -- get whatever
 9
     information you want on paper.
     BY THE WITNESS:
10
                  Could you repeat the question?
11
           Α.
12
     BY MS. LIVINGSTON:
13
                  My question was did you discuss any
           Q.
14
     of the criteria on the record that day as to why
15
     the Village of Fairmont was concerned about --
16
           Α.
                  Looking at the criteria that were in
17
     your proposal and --
18
           Q.
                  Yes.
19
                  -- justification?
           Α.
20
           Q.
                  Right.
2.1
                  No, we would not have discussed
           Α.
22
     this.
23
           Q.
                  No discussion happened?
24
           Α.
                  No.
```

Page 54

Q. And is it -- is it fair to say that the biggest concern for the Village of Fairmont would be that if waste was hauled to another landfill in another county, then you would receive less tipping fees?

A. No, what was at issue with the

2.1

- Village of Fairmont City was that the way that the siting -- the physical location of the site was constructed was that all the garbage trucks would drive -- drive through Washington Park and Fairmont City. None would drive through Caseyville and that's what had everyone offended.
- Q. Do you agree that Bunkum Road is easily accessible to the interstate by coming back to 157?
- A. Trucks are prohibited at the weight levels of self-compacting trash trucks -- trucks are prohibited from accessing that road from Highway 157.
- Q. And are you aware that the St. Clair County Highway Department has already given funds to upgrade that road and that that is their intention?
  - A. We hear many things said about

October 28, 2014

Page 55 1 highway improvements in this area, especially at election time, and when we see that road improved 2 3 we'll believe it then. 4 All right. You would agree -- you Ο. 5 would agree that in May of this year it wasn't 6 election time, right? 7 Α. Yes, it wasn't an election in May. 8 It was in April. 9 Q. I don't have anything else. 10 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: 11 MR. MORAN: I have a request. 12 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: 13 MR. MORAN: I move that the 14 testimony that Mr. Penny has provided be, in fact, 15 admitted at this hearing because I believe based 16 upon what we've heard it does address the very 17 issue which is raised by the motion for sanctions 18 and that was the basis for the location of 19 Fairmont City as to be effected by this proposed 20 facility and I think it would be relevant to the 2.1 Board to consider that in considering the motion 22 for sanctions. 23 MS. LIVINGSTON: I think it is 24 particularly relevant for you to consider on the

```
Page 56
1
     motion for sanctions as well because the only
2
     thing that we had were these minutes where the
3
     chief had not been able to explain himself and we
4
     were seeing that the minutes reflect that he got a
5
     phone call from an attorney who seemed to be
6
     creating a facade to represent the city as opposed
7
     to the big waste management company and so this is
8
     what we relied upon in filing our motion and so it
     is relevant to us as well to not be sanctioned.
9
     This is what we relied on.
10
11
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Do you concur
12
     with Mr. Moran?
13
                  MS. SACKETT POHLENZ:
                                        Roxanna
14
     Landfill, Inc?
15
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Yes.
16
                  MS. SACKETT POHLENZ: I don't
17
     object.
              I won't object.
18
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB: You won't
19
              Okay. Well, I -- I guess if the Village
20
     of Fairmont agrees to the admission of the
2.1
     evidence from this witness no reason not to admit
22
     it. Does anyone have anything else for this
23
               Okay. Thank you, sir.
     witness?
                                Thank you.
24
                  THE WITNESS:
```

```
Page 57
1
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Mr. Manion,
2
     do you have anything further you would like to
3
     present?
4
                  MR. MANION: No, your Honor.
                                                 Thank
5
     you.
6
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB:
7
     Livingston, do you have anything else?
8
                  MS. LIVINGSTON: Yes, I have
9
     Mr. Siemsen here, but also Mr. Gilbert had prior
10
     arrangements this morning and he cannot make it
     here until 1:30. He did tell me that if I gave
11
12
     him a call at noon he might be able to make it by
13
     1:00. So I don't -- I don't know what time.
14
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Okay. Well,
15
     let's try to get him here at 1:00 if we can
16
     because it looks like -- it is only 10:00 and if
17
     we're sitting around waiting for him --
18
                  MS. LIVINGSTON:
                                   Exactly.
19
                  MS. SACKETT POHLENZ: For the
20
     record, and this is someone who -- there is no
2.1
     subpoena that has been issued for testimony by any
22
     party. My subpoena to Mr. Gilbert was a subpoena
23
     duces tecum for document production and he
24
     produced the document.
```

•	Page 58
1	HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Remind me who
2	he is.
3	MS. SACKETT POHLENZ: He is the
4	Village Board and Village of Caseyville attorney
5	or was.
6	MS. LIVINGSTON: I would say he is
7	the former Village of Caseyville attorney who was
8	involved in things that are relevant to
9	fundamental fairness.
10	HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Okay. Well,
11	should we start with Mr. Siemsen?
12	MS. LIVINGSTON: I think that would
13	be good.
14	HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Would the
15	court reporter please swear in the witness.
16	WHEREUPON:
17	JOHN SIEMSEN
18	called as a witness herein, having been first duly
19	sworn, deposeth and saith as follows:
20	DIRECT EXAMINATION
21	BY MS. LIVINGSTON
22	Q. Would you state your name for the
23	record?
24	A. Yes. John Siemsen, J-O-H-N,

	Page 59
1	S-I-E-M-S-E-N.
2	Q. Mr. Siemsen, do you own the company
3	that is the applicant in this proceeding?
4	A. I do.
5	Q. I'm going to show you what I have
6	marked as Exhibit No. 2.
7	(Document marked as Hearing
8	Exhibit No. 2 for
9	identification.)
LO	BY MS. LIVINGSTON:
11	Q. Can you identify that?
L2	A. Yes, this is a receipt from the
13	Super 8 Motel in Belleville for my stay at that
L 4	motel on the evening of February 10, 2014.
15	Q. All right. And why were you staying
16	at the Super 8 Motel in Belleville on February
L7	10th?
18	A. Because I had driven down from my
L 9	home in suburban Chicago to file the application
20	for local siting with the Village of Caseyville.
21	Q. And did you publish in a newspaper
22	of general circulation that you were going to file
23	your application on that day?
24	A. Yes, I did.

October 28, 2014

Page 60

- 1 And what is that newspaper of 2 general circulation that you published your notice 3 in? 4 Α. The Belleville News Democrat. 5 Okay. And how do you know for sure 0. that you delivered the application on February 6 7 10th? 8 Α. Well, in addition to the hotel 9 receipt, obviously this was a very important matter to me as the principal of the company. 10 I was acutely aware that February 10th was the day 11 12 that I said that I was going to file it. I think 13 the record shows that there is a cover letter 14 dated February 10th that was used to transmit the 15 documents and I personally recollect being here on 16 February the 10th and hand delivering the 17 application to the Village of Caseyville. 18 Q. And when you hand delivered that 19 application, what did it consist of? 20 It consisted of four three-ring Α. 2.1 binders which were contained within a cardboard 22 box. 23 Q. All right. And did you actually
  - physically carry the application into Village

24

October 28, 2014

Page 61

U a	٦	٦	
па	ㅗ	ㅗ	•

2.1

A. I definitely carried it into the building. I don't recall whether I carried it actually behind the door or whether a Village employee carried it into the administrative offices, but I know that I -- that it physically went into the -- the Village Hall offices.

Q. Okay. Now, the petitioners here have raised a lot of issues that haven't been before the people here at this hearing, but I want to address them and that is that there were claims of fundamental unfairness, part of the previous claim of fundamental unfairness that seems to have been wisely dropped about ex parte communications so I just want to ask you some questions.

Did you have any communication other than in public meetings with any Village Board member?

A. Yes. But if you can narrow down the time period. I had -- beginning as of the application date of February 10th through the decision date of October 6th of 2014 I had no communications with any Caseyville board member or the mayor.

October 28, 2014

	Page 62
1	Q. Okay. And prior to that time, what
2	would any communication have been about?
3	A. Well, I had there was an initial
4	meeting that took place on November 5th where
5	there were representatives from the Village in
6	which I had made a general presentation about what
7	a transfer station was and some other information
8	about the project.
9	Q. And was that a public meeting?
10	A. That was not a public meeting.
11	Q. Okay. And do you know who was in
12	attendance?
13	A. I believe that it was Mayor Len
14	Black, Planning and Zoning Administrator Michael
15	Mitchell, the Public Works Superintendant for the
16	Caseyville Village which I believe his name is
17	Mr. Rader, R-A-D-E-R, and I believe that there was
18	a board member there. I believe that it was
19	Walter Abernathy.
20	Q. And did you have a host agreement
21	that you entered into with the Village of
22	Caseyville?
23	A. Yes.

24

And was that -- was that passed at a Q.

	Page 63
1	<pre>public meeting?</pre>
2	A. It was.
3	Q. Okay. And did you have any contact
4	with the Village attorney John Gilbert?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. And and what were your
7	conversations with John Gilbert about?
8	A. Principally they were about well,
9	they were all about the Caseyville Transfer
10	Station application for local siting approval. I
11	needed to coordinate with Mr. Gilbert so that we
12	could determine the notice date for the public
13	hearing. In other words, I needed to learn from
14	him when the Village Board would be available and
15	the Village also has their own procedures for
16	noticing up a meeting. So we had communications
17	on that issue and other matters related to the
18	procedural aspects of the public hearing.
19	Q. Did you have any conversations with
20	Mr. Gilbert about the substance of your
21	application or about the nine criteria after
22	you after the time for your notice?
23	A. No.
24	Q. Did you have any conversations with

	Page 64
1	him about the specific criteria even before that?
2	A. No.
3	Q. And is it fair to say that every
4	conversation that you had with Mr. Gilbert as the
5	Village attorney was to determine some issue that
6	was procedural?
7	A. Yes.
8	MS. LIVINGSTON: I don't have
9	anything else.
10	HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Would you
11	like Mr. Manion?
12	MR. MANION: I don't have any
13	questions. Thank you, your Honor.
14	HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Ms. Pohlenz?
15	CROSS EXAMINATION
16	BY MS. SACKETT POHLENZ
17	Q. So let's walk through this a little
18	bit what you just testified to today.
19	Am I correct that your testimony
20	is that on February 10th, 2014, you personally
21	drove to the Village of Caseyville to file the
22	siting application?
23	A. That's correct.
24	Q. What was your starting point for

	Page 65
1	that drive to Caseyville on February 10th?
2	A. Glen Ellyn, Illinois.
3	Q. And about how many miles is Glen
4	Ellyn, Illinois from the Village of Caseyville
5	Hall?
6	A. Approximately, 285 miles.
7	Q. What time did you leave?
8	A. I don't recall.
9	Q. What time did you arrive at the
LO	Village Hall?
11	A. I only remember that it was late
12	morning to early afternoon.
L3	Q. And am I correct that your testimony
L 4	is that you had the siting application consisting
L5	of four binders in a banker's box and a cover
16	letter?
L7	A. That's correct.
L8	Q. And I'm going to mark did you
L 9	MS. SACKETT POHLENZ: Penni, did you
20	mark this?
21	MS. LIVINGSTON: I did. It's
22	Exhibit No. 2.
23	MS. SACKETT POHLENZ: Okay. I'm
24	going to show the witness a document that is part

```
Page 66
 1
     of the record. Mr. Manion, do you want to see it?
 2
     I just showed it to Ms. Livingston.
 3
                  MR. MANION:
                                Thank you.
 4
                  MS. SACKETT POHLENZ: Mr. Moran,
 5
     would you like to see it?
 6
                  MR. MORAN:
                              No, thank you.
                                               I have a
 7
     copy.
 8
                  MS. SACKETT POHLENZ: I'd like to
 9
     mark this Hearing Exhibit No. 3, please.
10
                        (Document marked as Hearing
                        Exhibit No. 3 for
11
                         identification.)
12
     BY MS. SACKETT POHLENZ:
13
14
                  Mr. Siemsen, I'm showing you what I
           Q.
15
     have marked as Hearing Exhibit 3. I'll give you a
16
     moment and I have a question to ask about that.
17
                        Is Hearing Exhibit No. 3 a true
18
     and correct copy of your cover letter that
19
     accompanied the four binders, siting application
20
     in the banker's boxes?
2.1
           Α.
                  I believe that it is, yes.
22
           Q.
                  And when you came to the Village
23
     Hall when you testified today, did you go to --
24
     you said you went to the administrative office, is
```

Page 67

that the glass windows to the right of when you enter Village Hall?

A. That's correct.

2.1

- Q. And did you speak with someone that you believe is Leslie McReynolds, the deputy clerk, but you're not positive?
- A. That's correct. I am not positive whether or not it was Leslie McReynolds, but I spoke to somebody behind the administrative counter.
- Q. And the next step that you testified to is that you said -- did you tell them anything -- well, let me ask the question.

When you came up there to this glass window with the banker boxes -- with the banker's box, I apologize, with four binders and a cover letter in it, did you say anything to that person behind the window that you specifically recall?

- A. I said something to the effect of "I'm here to file an application for local siting approval of a transfer station" or words to that effect.
  - Q. And at that point you left the

	Page 68
1	building? You gave either someone came around
2	the glass and grabbed the boxes or you left the
3	boxes for them and you walked out, is that
4	correct?
5	A. Yes, the boxes went
6	Q. Yes or no is fine.
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. And you left the building, correct?
9	A. That's right.
10	Q. Now, Mr. Siemsen, you're an attorney
11	who is licensed to practice law in the State of
12	Illinois, is that correct?
13	A. I'm currently on the rolls of the
14	State of Illinois, but I'm not currently
15	practicing.
16	Q. But my question, Mr. Siemsen, is are
17	you an attorney currently licensed to practice law
18	in the State of Illinois
19	A. Yes.
20	Q yes or no? And what year were
21	you licensed?
22	A. 2000.
23	Q. Not only do you have a license to
24	practice law, but you actually have practiced law

			Page	69
1	in the State	of Illinois, right?		
2	Α.	That's correct.		
3	Q.	And that would include some		
4	litigation e	xperience having taken four to five		
5	depositions	in your past history, right?		
6	Α.	It's correct that I had a small		
7	amount of li	tigation experience.		
8	Q.	And Hearing Exhibit No. 3, is it		
9	correct that	you wrote that cover letter?		
10	Α.	It is.		
11	Q.	And did you write anything on the		
12	cover letter	to indicate you hand delivering the		
13	siting appli	cation to the Village Hall?		
14	Α.	Yes.		
15	Q.	Can you show me where on that cover		
16	letter you w	rote something to indicate the hand		
17	delivering?			
18	Α.	Yes, right here where it says "hand		
19	delivered."			
20	Q.	Okay. And did you get any receipt		
21	from the Vil	lage Hall after you after you		
22	delivered th	at application?		
23	Α.	I did not.		
24	Q.	And did you get a receipt stamped		

October 28, 2014

Page 70 1 copy of your cover letter on any other portion of 2 the siting application? 3 I did not. Α. Do you have any notice of filing and 4 0. 5 proof of service that you prepared and certified 6 on February 10th, 2014, acknowledging the hand 7 delivering of that application? 8 I'm sorry. A notice of filing and Α. 9 proof of service? 10 Correct. Ο. No, there is no such document. 11 Α. 12 As you sit here today, is it a fair Q. 13 summary to say that you have absolutely no 14 document from the Village of Caseyville showing 15 the filing to have occurred on February 10th, 16 2014? 17 Α. I have this document. 18 Listen to my question, please, Q. 19 Mr. Siemsen. 20 As you sit here today, is it 2.1 fair to say that you have absolutely no document 22 from the Village of Caseyville showing and 23 acknowledging a filing having occurred on February 24 10th, 2014?

	Page 71
1	A. No document. That is correct.
2	MS. SACKETT POHLENZ: That's all.
3	HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Does Fairmont
4	City have any questions for this witness?
5	MR. MORAN: Yes. Thank you.
6	CROSS EXAMINATION
7	BY MR. MORAN
8	Q. Mr. Siemsen, did you prepare the
9	notices of public hearing that were to be
10	published both in a newspaper of general
11	circulation and served upon certain interested
12	entities in and around the facility?
13	A. I did.
14	Q. Did you also cause that notice of
15	public hearing to be published in the newspaper of
16	general circulation in St. Clair County?
17	A. I did.
18	Q. Did you also arrange to have the
19	notices of public hearing mailed by either
20	registered or certified mail to those entities or
21	persons who were identified as interested parties?
22	A. I did.
23	Q. And was one of those notices of
24	public hearing sent to the Village of Fairmont

```
Page 72
1
     City?
2
           Α.
                  Yes.
3
           Q.
                  And was that notice, in fact,
4
     received by Fairmont City?
5
           Α.
                  Yes.
6
                  MR. MORAN: Thank you. Nothing
7
     further.
8
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB:
                                          Anything?
9
                  MS. LIVINGSTON: Nothing further.
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Okay.
10
                                                 Thank
     you, Mr. Siemsen. You know, I don't have
11
12
     Exhibit's 1 or 3.
13
                  MS. LIVINGSTON: Exhibit's 3 and 2
14
     are here. I'll bring them up to you.
15
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB: I've got 2.
                  MS. LIVINGSTON: We'd ask for
16
17
     admission of all the exhibits.
18
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Ms.
19
     Livingston, do you have anything further you'd
     like to -- to present?
20
                  MS. LIVINGSTON: Well, I'd like to
2.1
22
     present Mr. John Gilbert.
23
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Right.
24
     Right. Right. At this point since Mr. Gilbert
```

```
Page 73
 1
     isn't here --
 2
                  MS. LIVINGSTON:
                                   I've been texting
 3
     to try to get him here faster.
 4
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Okay.
                                                 Should
 5
     we --
 6
                  MS. LIVINGSTON: Would you like to
 7
     take public comment?
 8
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB: We'll take
 9
     public comment. Also, what are we doing with
10
     respect to these exhibits? Are these part of the
     record or was there --
11
12
                  MS. LIVINGSTON: I think they're
13
     part of the public hearing. I think the only
14
     way --
15
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB:
                                          Is anybody
16
     asking -- I mean, are we -- is anybody asking to
17
     admit these exhibits?
18
                  MS. LIVINGSTON:
                                   Yes, I would like
19
     the exhibits admitted and, in particular, Exhibit
20
     No. 2 is a rebuttal to the claim that Mr. Siemsen
2.1
     did not file the application on February 10th and
22
     this is backing his testimony that he did, in
23
     fact, file it on that day. So I would ask that it
24
     be admitted since they made it an issue of fact.
```

```
Page 74
1
                  MS. SACKETT POHLENZ: I object to
2
     the hotel receipt, which is Exhibit 2 being
3
     admitted into evidence.
4
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB: I'm going to
5
     admit it only because it is relevant to the claim
     that you're making that he didn't.
6
7
                  MS. LIVINGSTON:
                                   Thank you.
8
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Didn't file
9
          What is -- No. 1 is the meeting minutes.
10
     decided to go ahead and admit the testimony.
     you want to admit -- are we -- is everyone okay
11
     with admitting the meeting minutes?
12
13
                  MR. MORAN: I'm not offering that
14
     exhibit.
15
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB: I know you're
16
          Are you objecting, I guess, is what I'm
17
     asking?
                  MR. MORAN: Well, we heard testimony
18
19
     from Mr. Penny on it.
20
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB: I guess it
2.1
     wasn't offered. Did you want to offer it?
22
                  MR. MANION: Yes. I'll move to
23
     offer to admit it into evidence.
                  MR. MORAN: I'm not going to object.
24
```

```
Page 75
1
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Okay.
                                                Then
2
     I'll go ahead and admit Exhibit 1. So Exhibit 1
3
     and 2 are admitted. Exhibit 3 --
4
                  MS. SACKETT POHLENZ: Is already
5
     part of the record.
6
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB:
                                         It's already
7
     part of the record. So that's already admitted.
8
     Okay. At this time, let's take public comment.
     Norman Miller?
9
10
                  MR. MILLER:
                               Thank you for giving me
     the opportunity to come here and speak this
11
12
     morning. First of all, my name is Norman Miller,
13
     N-O-R-M-A-N. My last name is M-I-L-L-E-R.
                                                 We had
14
     a meeting with Mr. Siemsen at our township down in
15
     Canteen and he came to our meeting to give his
16
     proposal about the facility here that he wanted to
17
     move down here in Caseyville. So we let him
18
     speak. We heard what he was wanting to bring
19
     forward and then when he got done speaking several
20
     of our Board members asked questions and none of
2.1
     those questions was even answered except one.
22
     There was one gentleman sitting in the audience
23
     that asked "When you build this facility, is there
24
     any chance that I could get a job here?" He was
```

October 28, 2014

Page 76

told no. He said there would only be two people working here at that time. Since we had the second meeting, I understand it is probably going to be four or five people working there. So that was the answer that we got from that meeting. I want to go on now.

2.1

Caseyville Board of Trustees did not take into consideration how this would effect our community on Bunkum Road. This is in an area at the end of the Caseyville jurisdiction on Bunkum Road. This area is in Canteen Township and Washington Park also. In my opinion, the public hearing was not fair. After fliers went out, the date, times were changed. The council room was not able to let the residents in there. There were around 50 to 75 residents in the hallway who were not able to talk or even sit. Many of them left this hearing. It lasted around two and a half to three hours.

The residents and myself were under the understanding that the hearing would be held in the community room. The room was packed with residents and attorneys and others. There is probably maybe 30 seats in here. That is all that

October 28, 2014

Page 77 1 could get in. The people all came and net at the 2 Township building and we all drove down here 3 together. So most of them was in the hallway. 4 They never got a chance to come in here and see 5 They never got a chance to come in here and 6 speak. Is that fair? No. 7 The only seats available were 8 the four Village trustee seats that did not show 9 up for the public hearing. There were four vacant seats and four trustees that wasn't here. 10 were the only vacant seats in this entire room. 11 12 The papers were submitted to the mayor and two 13 Board members that showed up. No one else got a 14 copy of what was submitted. There was no overhead screen to watch -- to watch it. Poster boards 15 that most residents couldn't see. It was 16

As the Township supervisor of Canteen, it is part of my job to protect our residents and community. All of these traffic

the hallway definitely couldn't get in and see.

impossible to hear and see from the back of the

poster boards were at.

There was a tripod over there where the

could not hear what was being said and the ones in

The people in the back

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

October 28, 2014

Page 78 1 problems will hurt our community. There was a 2 traffic study done and it showed that it would 3 lower the value of their homes. All of the extra 4 weight would damage their homes. Most of all, 5 they would be backing out of their driveways onto 6 Bunkum Road. No one took any time to drive down 7 Bunkum Road and see how it was going to effect the residents there because all the ones that live 8 down there by the township and the health district 9 board have to back out on Bunkum Road. They don't 10 11 pull out forward. Their driveways won't allow 12 that. 13 We already have trucks, school buses and regular traffic. All the buses that 14 15 handle all of the East St. Louis school districts are right down the road here, which has put quite 16 17 a few buses on our road. As I leave work, I go 18 home for lunch. Only two buses or two tractor 19 trailers can make it through the light at one 20 time. That -- that is all that can make it 2.1 through there. 22 Now, one thing that I really 23 want to touch on that I never heard anybody talk 24 about at the two prior meetings was we're here

October 28, 2014

Page 79

1 today to talk about pollution and what I heard 2 Mr. Siemsen say is that his workers in the evening 3 would wash down the floors and everything after 4 the trash trucks come in and dump them out and 5 pick them up, put them in the tractor trailers. 6 Okay. 7 My concern is when the floors 8 and stuff are being washed down, where is this 9 water and waste going to go to? Is it going to go 10 to each property on each side? There is no drainage down through. There is no off street 11 12 drainage on the road. So it is very concerning to 13 me and the residents because when you take these 14 trucks and stuff down the road, things are going 15 They fly out of all trucks and who to come out. 16 is going to clean up after this? They are not

going to have to live with this.

The way this was setup is wrong.

All of the traffic will come in off of 111, then

turn and then come back the same because of a

weight limit on Bunkum Road that goes to 157.

There is a weight limit of five tons. So the

Village of Washington Park down there where the

going to clean up after this. These people are

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

October 28, 2014

Page 80

1 township is is going to catch all of this traffic that we didn't have before coming in and going 2 3 because they cannot go out through the Village of 4 Caseyville onto 157. 5 You talk about that being fair. 6 You had two trustees and the mayor sitting here 7 and when I came to the meeting when they voted on 8 this, they asked -- they asked the mayor "How come 9 are we just now getting this packet?" So they voted on this right after that. They voted on 10 this and not one of them ever took a look at it or 11 even seen it. To me, that is not doing your job 12 when you're a trustee. They didn't take the time 13 to look at what they was voting on. They didn't 14 15 take the time to drive down there and see what 16 problems this will cause because guess what? 17 is out of Caseyville, but there was many people in here from Caseyville who live right over across 18 19 the street over here that were here protesting 20 against this here and they were turned away because it is out of sight and I guess out of mind 2.1 22 for them. That is not the way you do things.

Now, I'll just let everybody

know, too, Mr. Siemsen before he came here he also

23

24

October 28, 2014

Page 81 1 went to the City of East St. Louis. He was 2 returned -- he was turned down in the City of East 3 St. Louis to locate there. He also went to the 4 Village of Washington Park. He was also turned 5 down when he went there. So now he just moved down the road a little bit further, but with the 6 7 trustees and the rest of them not checking things 8 out, not looking at the packet and not voting on 9 it -- I mean, voting on it without looking at it 10 just that is not good. You know, you should take time to check things out before you cast voting 11 one way or the other. I want to thank you for 12 13 your time. I appreciate it. Thank you. 14 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: No applause, 15 Please don't applause. Mr. Penny, would 16 you like to make a public comment? 17 MR. PENNY: Thank you. As you heard 18 previously, I'm here on behalf of Fairmont City 19 and the only point I would like to make is for you as Hearing Officer to realize that on the day or 20 2.1 the evening when we had this hearing this room was 22 packed with residents of the area that was going 23 to be affected, which is Fairmont City, 24 Unincorporated Canteen Township and Washington

October 28, 2014

Page 82

Park. With me here today -- and I should point out the mayor of the Village of Washington Park,

Ann Rogers, is here. This room was so crowded that the Mayor of Washington Park couldn't even get a seat and had to sit -- or stand outside throughout the entire hearing process and I was sending her text messages telling her that -- we were communicating with our thumbs trying to keep abreast of what was going on.

2.1

There was only one person other than the principals involved in this that spoke in favor and that was a person who had an employment relationship as a truck driver for a sanitation company. Everyone was overwhelmingly against it. There are a number of good reasons in your file before you as to why and just to summarize that I don't think the attendance today represents the community interest because everyone has to work and that's where they're at right now. Thank you very much.

HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Thank you. I don't remember so I'm going to repeat it for the record. The first public speaker was Norman Miller and the second was Scott Penny. I wasn't

```
Page 83
1
     sure if we had got those names. Kathy Mertzke?
2
     How do you spell it?
3
                  MS. MERTZKE: M-E-R-T-Z-K-E.
4
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB: T-Z-K-E.
5
     Okay. Kathy Mertzke, M-E-R-T-Z-K-E. Okay.
6
                  MS. MERTZKE:
                                I'm a property owner
7
     across the street from where this is going to go
8
     and first I'd like to address the hearing that was
9
     conducted by Caseyville. The date was changed a
10
     couple of times. The hearing was held at 5:00
     which meant a lot of people couldn't get home from
11
12
     work in time to come to the meeting. The meeting
13
     was supposed to be in the community center and was
14
     switched to the council chambers, which was too
15
     small for the hearing. There was limited seating
16
     and many people left standing in the back of the
17
     room and the hall. Some of the people left early
18
     due to frustration and limited physical abilities.
19
     Older people can't stand as long. Caseyville had
20
     only two members of the Board there, which I
2.1
     thought was a very poor showing for such an
22
     important issue.
23
                       The applicant, Mr. Siemsen, was
24
     not sworn in. There was not -- he was not under
```

October 28, 2014

Page 84 1 any obligation to tell the truth. He presented 2 himself as a retired entrepreneur. He presented 3 this as a small operation, which he has no 4 experience in. We all know people go into 5 business to make money and grow. There is 6 potential for growth there and this will only 7 exaggerate the problems that will be, exist. 8 Mr. Siemsen was allowed to comment on public 9 comments. Next, I would like to address 10 the unfairness of Caseyville's decision. 11 12 Caseyville failed to take into consideration the 13 community which is affected by this decision. This includes the residents of Bunkum, Canteen 14 15 Township and Washington Park. They did not take into consideration that Bunkum is a two lane road 16 17 already in poor condition and we've been hearing 18 for years that they're going to widen this road. That has not occurred so I'll see it -- when it 19 20 happens, I'll believe it. They did not take into 2.1 consideration the transfer trucks will be 22 accessing and exiting Bunkum off 111. They did 23 not take into consideration that there is no plan 24 addressing the clean up of the trash left on the

October 28, 2014

Page 85 1 side of the road by trucks hauling in and out. They did not take into consideration that these 2 3 trucks will be passing coming and going a health 4 district, a headstone and many private homes and 5 businesses. They didn't take into consideration 6 the Harding Ditch, which is known to flood. did not take into consideration infestation of 7 8 rodents, odor, air quality and health hazards as 9 it presents to the people of the area. They did not take into consideration that there will be 10 times when due to bad roads or landfill conditions 11 12 that these trucks will be left with loads and 13 trash stored overnight and maybe longer. They did not take into consideration how this will affect 14 15 property values. Why didn't Caseyville take these 16 things into consideration? Because it doesn't 17 affect Caseyville. Thank you. 18 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Thank you. 19 Ken? 2.0 Ken Petroski. MR. PETROSKI: 2.1 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Can you spell 22 your -- come up to the stand? 23 MR. PETROSKI: Yes, ma'am. 24 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: How do you

```
Page 86
1
     spell your last name?
2
                  MR. PETROSKI: P, as in Paul,
     P-E-T-R-O-S-K-I.
3
4
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB:
5
     P-A-T-R-O-S-K-I.
6
                  MR. PETROSKI: P-E-T.
7
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB: P-E-T.
8
                  MR. PETROSKI: Yes.
9
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB:
                                         Okay.
                  MR. PETROSKI: I live within Canteen
10
     Township and I was wanting to speak about this
11
12
     trash being brought into our area. The people all
13
     have a home within this area. Our homes are going
     to be decreased, our property value is going to be
14
15
     down and we won't have any -- this is nothing
16
     within the -- all this pollution we're going to
17
     have pollution all over. We're going to have
18
     rats, mice, all of this. Who is going to take
19
     care of all of this? Nobody says a word about
     anything, all the pollution and all the disease
20
2.1
     that we could catch, especially the people in
22
     Washington Park. Nobody feels sorry for the
23
     people. Everyone wants to -- seems like it is
24
     money to me. Don't seem like it is anything to
```

October 28, 2014

Page 87 1 With living on 81st Street, I feel that 2 all of our properties within the area is going to 3 go down. So why should we put up with all of this trash coming within our area? That's all I have 4 5 to say. Thank you. 6 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Thank you. 7 Patricia Morrison? MS. MORRISON: I'm Patricia 8 9 Morrison. My husband would have been here today, 10 but, of course, he has to work. So I have -- I own a property on North 81st. I'm a neighbor of 11 I actually have three properties on North 12 Ken. 13 I'm pretty much in agreement with everyone 14 here. We're not happy about this happening. really wasn't aware of it. The first time when 15 16 the crowd came and I probably would have been one 17 of the people out in the lobby, too, but the 18 condition of Bunkum Road has just gone down 19 steadily because of all the trucks. Henderson 20 Trucking coming in and it just gets worse and 2.1 worse. I can't imagine what it is going to be 22 like with trash trucks coming through. So I've 23 heard just recently that they were going to 24 improve it. I don't know. I will wait to see it,

```
Page 88
1
     too.
2
                       But I don't know if it is going
3
     to improve, but that's not the answer. I don't
4
     know with trash coming in that that is just not
5
     going to be good. So I'm in agreement with pretty
6
     much everyone here. So it's not -- it's not what
7
     we want to see to our neighbors and neighborhood.
8
     Thank you.
9
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB:
                                         Thank you.
     Charles Thenian?
10
11
                  MR. THENIAN: No comment. I just
12
     wanted to come.
13
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB:
                                         Okav.
                                                 Mayor
14
     Ann Rogers?
15
                  MS. ROGERS: Hello. Hello.
                                                Again,
16
     I'm going to actually piggyback off what Norm
17
     Miller -- not piggyback, but I do have something
18
     to say is that, first of all, you go to a
19
     depressed community. I don't know who all that
20
     Mr. Siemsen went to, but we know East St. Louis.
2.1
     Everybody in here is familiar with East St. Louis.
22
     We go to Washington Park. Everybody is familiar
23
     with the conditions of Washington Park.
                                              I have to
24
     ask the request to the Hearing Officer. Why go to
```

October 28, 2014

Page 89 1 the depressed communities where a lot of people 2 don't think that we want anything? I have to say 3 that. Why come to East St. Louis first? to Washington Park and then why come to 4 5 Caseyville? I have to say that. 6 Now, I heard Mr. Siemsen say he 7 come from a suburban area. Why did you not stay 8 there? Why did you not present it there in 9 Chicago? You come to a black community and you 10 wanted to bring it here where a lot of you all know already how it is. I'm the first black mayor 11 here in Washington Park and we're striving. We're 12 13 not trying to go down. We're trying to go up. 14 what I want to say is when I came to that meeting two trustees -- where was everybody else at? 15 16 Where were they at? Is this a thing of money for 17 Caseyville? What are you going to get? That is 18 what I want to know. What is Washington Park --19 we already know what we're going to get. So is it 20 the tax dollars that Caseyville is going to get? 2.1 Would anybody in here -- would 22 Mr. Siemsen -- would the attorney for Mr. Siemsen, 23 would anybody in here put a transfer station down 24 the street from you? That is what I want to know

October 28, 2014

Page 90 today because you're bringing it in a black 1 2 community. That is what I have a problem with. 3 That is what I have a problem with. The room was 4 packed on May 29th. It was packed. 5 So, again, the people came out, the residents came out. So what did that 6 7 represent? The board members for Caseyville where 8 do you stay? Where do -- you stay in Caseyville, 9 but will the transfer station be down the street 10 from you? Will it be down the street from you? So, again, you're here. Two trustees showed up, 11 not all six, and I have a problem with that 12 13 because the trustees and the attorney they needed to hear what the people had to say on May 29th. I 14 don't turn down businesses, but what the attorney 15 16 said "Is it good for Caseyville?" Why is it good 17 for Caseyville?" Is the tax dollars coming in? 18 That's why it is good. But everybody around in 19 Caseyville, Washington Park we will be affected. That's what I want to know. I mean, we will be 20 2.1 affected. So that's why I'm here today. 22 He came to Washington Park and, 23 again, I normally -- we don't turn down business, 24 but like the attorney said "Was it good for

October 28, 2014

Page 91 1 Washington Park?" Evidently, it wasn't good for 2 Washington Park. So if you come from a suburban 3 area, you're not going to take a waste transfer 4 station to Fairview Heights. You're not going to 5 take it there. You're not going to take it to a 6 suburban Chicago area. You're not going to take 7 it there. 8 So, again, my question is why East St. Louis? Why Washington Park? Because 9 everybody say that we don't want anything. 10 you guys to hear me. We don't want anything down 11 here so why bring it here? That's what I want to 12 13 ask. Why bring it here? To the attorney, would 14 you take one down to your area? You will not. To Mr. Siemsen again, you won't take it down the 15 16 street from where you staying at. 17 So, again, I need everybody to 18 hear us today that regardless of the fact this is 19 all about money. That is all it is. It is all 20 about money. What is Caseyville going to get? That's it. And I'm done. 2.1 22 Thank you. HEARING OFFICER WEBB: 23 Michael Norton? No Michael Norton? I quess let's 24 go off the record for a minute.

	Page 92	
1	(Whereupon, a break was taken	
2	after which the following	
3	proceedings were had.)	
4	HEARING OFFICER WEBB: All right.	
5	Let's go back on the record. It is right now	
6	11:00 in the morning. We are expecting our next	
7	witness at 1:00 p.m. There is no more public	
8	comment to take at this time, but I will be	
9	available over the lunch hour in case anybody	
10	comes. We are some items of business first.	
11	I'm going to deny the Caseyville Transfer	
12	Station's motion for a site visit.	
13	MS. SACKETT POHLENZ: I believe it	
14	was the Village who also joined in that.	
15	HEARING OFFICER WEBB: The Village	
16	also. So that motion is denied and I think I	
17	think that is all that we have for now. We will	
18	take a two-hour recess.	
19	MS. LIVINGSTON: I'm sorry.	
20	HEARING OFFICER WEBB: I'm sorry.	
21	MS. LIVINGSTON: I'm certainly not	
22	going to appeal your decision to not do a site	
23	visit, but we did argue it off the record. So I	
24	would like to at least state on the record	

```
Page 93
1
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB:
                                          I'm sorry.
2
     Go ahead.
3
                  MS. LIVINGSTON: -- that I thought
4
     it would be good for you to see the compatibility
5
     of the surrounding area since there are no homes
6
     and we heard testimony here today about homes and
7
     it would allow you to see the condition of the
8
     area, but I do understand your denial.
9
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Okay.
10
          We will reconvene at 1:00 p.m.
11
                        (Whereupon, a break was taken
12
                        after which the following
13
                        proceedings were had.)
14
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB:
                                          Okay.
                                                 We are
15
     going back on the record. It is 1:00 p.m. I will
16
     note for the record that no members of the public
17
     came during the lunch hour to give public comment.
18
     So we will go ahead and resume with the Caseyville
     Transfer Station.
19
20
                       Ms. Livingston, you may proceed
2.1
     with your case.
22
                  MS. LIVINGSTON: All right.
23
     you. I would call John Gilbert to the stand.
24
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Mr. Gilbert,
```

	Page 94
1	we're putting the witness stand at that chair in
2	front of the microphone.
3	MR. GILBERT: Okay.
4	HEARING OFFICER WEBB: You are now
5	G.W. Scott, Senior.
6	MR. GILBERT: And proud of it.
7	HEARING OFFICER WEBB: The court
8	reporter will swear you in, please.
9	WHEREUPON:
10	JOHN GILBERT
11	called as a witness herein, having been first duly
12	sworn, deposeth and saith as follows:
13	DIRECT EXAMINATION
14	BY MS. LIVINGSTON
15	Q. Could you state your name for the
16	record?
17	A. John Gilbert.
18	Q. And, Mr. Gilbert, what do you do for
19	a living?
20	A. I'm an attorney.
21	Q. And how long have you been an
22	attorney?
23	A. Thirty-nine years.
24	Q. And during a period of time were you

	Page 95
1	the attorney for the Village of Caseyville?
2	A. I was.
3	Q. And about what period of time was
4	that?
5	A. Approximately, May of 2013 until
6	June 6th of 2014.
7	Q. All right. And you left the employ
8	of the Village of Caseyville?
9	A. I did.
LO	Q. Is it fair to say it had nothing to
11	do with the proceedings that we are here for
12	today?
13	A. That is correct.
L 4	Q. Is it fair to say that the last time
15	I saw you in person was at a federal seminar?
16	THE COURT REPORTER: What seminar?
L7	MS. LIVINGSTON: Federal.
18	BY THE WITNESS:
L 9	A. Yes.
20	BY MS. LIVINGSTON:
21	Q. And other than talking to you about
22	five minutes yesterday and about five minutes
23	today and five minutes when you just got here,
24	have you and I had any other conversations about

October 28, 2014

Page 96 1 anything since that federal seminar? 2 Α. We have not. 3 Q. Now, one of the things that you 4 received in this case was a subpoena duces tecum, 5 do you remember that? 6 Α. That is correct. 7 Ο. And I noticed that one of the things 8 that you gave them was your bill records with 9 redactions in it? 10 Α. Yes. 11 And there seems to have been several Q. 12 phone calls from Mr. Siemsen that were pointed out 13 in those bills because that's what they asked you 14 about your comments -- contacts with Mr. Siemsen? 15 Α. Correct. 16 And those phone calls that you had Ο. 17 with Mr. Siemsen, could you tell us what the gist 18 of those phone calls was about? 19 To the best of my recollection, when 20 Mr. Siemsen and I spoke on the phone it was about 2.1 the process -- the application process itself 22 because I knew nothing about the waste transfer 23 station process. So before I went to the statute 24 and the annotations Mr. Siemsen had called me, had

October 28, 2014

Page 97

agreement submitted to the Village and it was scheduled to be on one of our agendas. He had sent that to me. It also had been given, I think, to some of the Village officials and then our subsequent conversations I had with him were about the process, what the statute required in terms of due process, how the hearing was going to be required, how that hearing was going to be conducted from a procedural standpoint.

2.1

Also, we talked about -- I was very concerned about making sure that all the notices were proper pursuant to the statute. So we had a few conversations about who was going to get notice, did he have the right people and entities to whom to give notice and also to make sure that I had actually had for the Village files proof of service of those notices and certificates of notification. So what we talked about was the process, getting the hearing done and things of that nature.

Q. All right. Did you ever have any conversation with him about the substance of his application or the substance of the facility with

Page 98

respect	to	the	nine	criteria?
---------	----	-----	------	-----------

2.1

- A. Only that -- I remember that we had one conversation where he told me what the nine criteria were and that -- that the application that he was going to file would address those nine criteria.
- Q. All right. Now, issues of fundamental fairness have been raised by the other side as well as jurisdiction has been raised by the other side.

So I want to ask you. Have you seen the notice that Mr. Simpson -- Siemsen filed in January that said that he would file the application on February 10th?

- A. I saw it at some point. It's been quite a long time, but I did see it.
- Q. All right. And so it would be your understanding that his intent was to file the application on February 10th according to the notice that he filed on the --

MS. SACKETT POHLENZ: Objection. He can't testify to someone else's intent.

MR. MORAN: Join.

HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Sustained.

	Page 99
1	BY THE WITNESS:
2	A. The objection was sustained.
3	BY MS. LIVINGSTON:
4	Q. I'm sorry. It helps to pay
5	attention. Okay.
6	So did you have occasion to have
7	a meeting with persons from Allied Waste?
8	A. Yes.
9	MS. SACKETT POHLENZ: Objection.
10	This is not an issue in this proceeding. She is
11	trying to go into what she is going to say is ex
12	parte communications with an entity called Allied
13	Waste when the applicant here got his application
14	approved and there is no allegation that they
15	would have been prejudiced by any such
16	communications even if she is able to establish
17	that they were held during a period of time that
18	was between the filing and the decision with the
19	decisionmaker or someone advising the
20	decisionmaker. None of which has been done.
21	HEARING OFFICER WEBB: We have
22	already or I have already ruled that the
23	relationship with the other waste company is not
24	relevant to this proceeding, but

```
Page 100
1
                  MS. LIVINGSTON:
                                    I understand.
2
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB: -- as I
3
     allowed the Village to do, I'll let you ask a few
4
     questions as an offer of proof. I'm not sure
5
     where this is going. I'm not sure -- it doesn't
6
     sound like it is going to be relevant to their
7
     claims, but --
8
                  MS. LIVINGSTON:
                                   Well, they're
9
     claiming fundamental fairness and in one of the
10
     pleadings they do claim this ex parte
     communication so I think we've nipped that, but I
11
12
     think it's important for the Board to see the full
13
     picture of the contacts that have been made.
14
     appreciate the ability to present an offer of
15
     proof then.
16
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Okay.
                                                 Then
17
     as an offer of proof, I'll let you ask a few
18
     questions.
19
                  MS. LIVINGSTON:
                                   Okay.
                                           This was
20
     part of what I thought would be stipulated to, but
2.1
     here we go.
22
     BY MS. LIVINGSTON:
23
                  So did you have occasion to have a
24
     meeting with members of Allied Waste?
```

	Page 101
1	A. Yes.
2	Q. And do you recall when that meeting
3	was?
4	A. Sometime in February of 2014. I do
5	not know middle of the month.
6	Q. If I told you it was on February
7	18th, would you have a reason to disagree with
8	that?
9	A. No, I wouldn't.
10	Q. Okay. And you did provide e-mails
11	pursuant to the subpoena duces tecum to give an
12	idea of the range of conversations taking place
13	after that date
14	A. Correct.
15	Q with Allied?
16	A. Yes, correct.
17	Q. All right. And who else was at that
18	meeting?
19	A. To my recollection
20	MS. SACKETT POHLENZ: You know, I'm
21	going to object to that because the inference
22	there is subpoena documents related the
23	subpoena requested documents for communications
24	between Mr. Gilbert and Mr. Siemsen and the

	Page 102
1	inference in her question was that it somehow
2	the subpoena documents related to my client who is
3	Roxanna Landfill, Inc. or this entity Allied
4	Waste, which is not the case. The subpoena duces
5	tecum does not state that. So misstatement of the
6	record.
7	MS. LIVINGSTON: I certainly didn't
8	mean to misstate anything. I have copies of
9	e-mails. I presumed they were provided by
10	Mr. Gilbert since they were to him. They could
11	have been easily provided in another forum. I was
12	merely establishing that there were e-mails with
13	them after this meeting.
14	HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Would you
15	like to rephrase the question?
16	BY MS. LIVINGSTON:
17	Q. Did you have e-mails with the people
18	that you met with after you met with them?
19	A. I believe so.
20	Q. Okay. And can you tell us who was
21	at the meeting?
22	A. The mayor, Mayor Black, I believe
23	was present. I was there, of course. Ken Bleyer
24	from I think he is from Carbondale was at the

	Page 103
1	meeting and Susan Piazza who I understood to be
2	the local representative of Republic and I
3	think those are the only people that I recall
4	being at the meeting.
5	Q. Okay. And at that meeting by the
6	way, how long did that meeting last?
7	A. A couple of hours at least.
8	Q. Could it have lasted more than a
9	couple of hours?
10	A. I wouldn't want to say. It could
11	have been. But it was between two and three
12	hours.
13	Q. All right. And did they ask you for
14	this meeting?
15	A. They did.
16	Q. In advance?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. And what was the discussion at the
19	meeting at this meeting?
20	A. They wanted to present information
21	to us. They on three subjects as I recall.
22	One was they thought we should enact an ordinance
23	governing applications for waste transfer stations
24	and they brought a Power Point presentation no.

October 28, 2014

Page 104 1 They brought a sample ordinance about a process 2 for the Village regarding these types of 3 applications. 4 Second thing was a Power Point 5 that explained the waste transfer station process, 6 which was very helpful actually and I believe it 7 was prepared by Ms. Sackett Pohlenz and it was 8 very good because it really helped educate me on 9 this as we were going through it. 10 Third thing was a Power Point presentation that was a comparison of host 11 agreements because it was their contention -- when 12 13 I say they, Mr. Bleyer, Ms. Piazza, that 14 Mr. Siemsen had provided us with a host agreement 15 that was inadequate. Not as a matter of law, but 16 just inadequate in terms of what was provided for 17 the Village and they were suggesting we could have 18 gotten and could get a better deal. 19 So that was the -- but I have to 20 say the major thrust was trying to get us to enact 2.1 an ordinance with an extremely high application 22 fee that would -- as they put it to me that would 23 keep people from filing applications who didn't

have the financial wherewithal to actually follow

24

October 28, 2014

Page 105 1 through on a project of this nature. 2 I'm going to show you what the court 0. 3 reporter has previously marked as Exhibit No. 4 4 already shown to counsel. 5 (Document marked as Hearing 6 Exhibit No. 4 for 7 identification.) 8 BY MS. LIVINGSTON: 9 Q. At the top it says Ordinance Number 10 and it says "An Ordinance Establishing a Siting Committee and Rules of Procedure for Pollution 11 Control Facility Siting Application and Herein." 12 13 If you take a look at that to 14 see if that is, in fact, the ordinance -- draft 15 ordinance that they provided to you? 16 MS. SACKETT POHLENZ: What exhibit 17 is that? 18 MS. LIVINGSTON: Four. 19 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Is that 20 Exhibit 4? 2.1 MS. LIVINGSTON: Yes. 22 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Do you have 23 an extra copy for me? 24 MS. LIVINGSTON: I do not, but I

```
Page 106
 1
     will hand it over to you in just a moment and I
 2
     apologize.
 3
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB: That's okay.
 4
     BY THE WITNESS:
 5
                  I believe this is it, yes.
           Α.
     BY MS. LIVINGSTON:
 6
 7
                  All right. And so they provided you
           Q.
 8
     with this draft ordinance on February 18th.
 9
           Α.
                  Yes.
10
                  All right. And I notice when I read
           Ο.
     this ordinance that under Section 5 there is a
11
     filing fee and the filing fee would be $250,000,
12
     is that right?
13
14
           Α.
                  Right.
15
                  And it could actually go up another
           Q.
16
     $50,000, too?
17
           Α.
                  That was my understanding, yes.
18
           Q.
                  All right.
19
                                    I'd like to ask for
                  MS. LIVINGSTON:
20
     admission of -- this is so awkward how we have
2.1
     this setup, but I'd like to ask for admission of
22
     Exhibit No. 4.
23
                  MS. SACKETT POHLENZ: We would
24
     object on the same basis that we objected to the
```

```
Page 107
1
     testimony to start with and in addition it is
2
     irrelevant to the proceeding. If they actually
3
     had a siting ordinance, they may not be in this
4
     position.
5
                  MS. LIVINGSTON:
                                   These will be the
6
     next two because you asked me about it. I do have
7
     extra of those.
8
                  MS. SACKETT POHLENZ: If you have
     extras, I only have one of those two.
9
10
                  MS. LIVINGSTON:
                                   The other one was
     your color Power Point. I'll give you the Hearing
11
12
     Officer's extra.
13
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB: This isn't
14
     anything that was used by the Village of
     Caseyville?
15
                  I mean --
16
                  MS. SACKETT POHLENZ: No, they
17
     didn't and, in fact, you'll hear that it is based
18
     on public -- other ordinances passed by other
19
     government entities.
20
                  MR. MORAN: Are we still in the
2.1
     offer of proof?
22
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB: We are still
23
     in the offer of proof. We are still in the offer
24
     of proof and as such I am going to accept this as
```

```
Page 108
 1
     an offer of proof so that you can make your
 2
     argument later to the Board, but it doesn't appear
 3
     admissible.
 4
     BY MS. LIVINGSTON:
 5
                  John, I'm going to show you what has
           0.
 6
     been marked as Exhibit No. 5 and Exhibit No. 6.
 7
                        (Document marked as Hearing
 8
                        Exhibit No. 5 for
 9
                         identification.)
10
                        (Document marked as Hearing
11
                        Exhibit No. 6 for
12
                         identification.)
     BY MS. LIVINGSTON:
13
14
                  Is Exhibit No. 6 "The Basics of
           0.
15
     Siting a Pollution Control Facility: An overview
16
     of the Law and Procedure by Jennifer J. Sackett
17
     Pohlenz," the Power Point that you were referring
18
     to that you thought was very helpful?
19
                  I'll take a look at the entire
     exhibit here. Yes. I mean, I didn't have it in
20
2.1
     color, but these are in color.
22
                  That's how I printed it.
           Q.
23
           Α.
                  But this is the -- but that is the
24
     exhibit.
               I had it in black and white.
```

October 28, 2014

Page 109 1 All right. And that's Exhibit 0. 2 No. 6? 3 Α. Correct. 4 And then Exhibit No. 5, can you tell 0. 5 us what that is? 6 Α. This --7 And it is entitled "A Comparison of Ο. 8 Host Agreements to the Washington Park Transfer 9 Station, LLC, HCA" and it also says Jennifer 10 Sackett Pohlenz. Right. And this -- I'll look 11 Α. through the exhibits. Yes, this exhibit which is 12 13 Exhibit 5 was provided to me at the meeting with Mr. Bleyer and Ms. Piazza. 14 15 And did they have discussion with Q. 16 you about this? 17 Α. They did. They wanted -- Mr. Bleyer 18 went through it and went through all of the slides 19 and, you know, it was there -- it was -- he indicated or he said that he believed that we were 20 2.1 not getting as good a deal as we could get and 22 that -- you know, I had a comparison between what 23 Mr. Siemsen was proposing and three other 24 scenarios.

# Electronic Filing - Received, Clerk's Office: 10/31/2014 October 28, 2014

	Page 110
1	Q. All right.
2	A. And as I recall this was after we
3	had already executed the host agreement. So it
4	was a little late.
5	Q. Right. If the host agreement in the
6	record is right, that would have been December
7	18th?
8	A. Right.
9	Q. And were you at that hearing?
10	A. I was. It was at a council or
11	trustees meeting.
12	Q. Do you know if Susan Piazza was at
13	that particular hearing?
14	A. I believe.
15	MS. SACKETT POHLENZ: Objection.
16	There is no relevancy here and it is in the offer
17	of proof.
18	HEARING OFFICER WEBB: It is
19	still still within an offer of proof.
20	MS. LIVINGSTON: Here we are.
21	HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Here we are.
22	MS. LIVINGSTON: You know, I mean,
23	if she if she knew about this coming, then she
24	shouldn't have been meeting with the city attorney

```
Page 111
1
     trying to turn him against this project.
2
                  MS. SACKETT POHLENZ:
                                        Oh, come on.
3
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB: I mean --
4
                  MS. SACKETT POHLENZ:
                                         Oh, come on.
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB:
5
                                          I think we're
6
     getting -- okay. Hang on. I think we're getting
7
     a little away from this proceeding. Do you have
8
     any questions that could --
9
                  MS. LIVINGSTON: I have a couple
10
     more questions.
11
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB: -- relate to
12
     this proceeding?
13
                  MS. LIVINGSTON: Certainly.
14
     BY MS. LIVINGSTON:
15
                  So at this meeting was there
           Q.
16
     discussion about whether or not an application had
17
    been received by the Village as of that date?
18
           Α.
                  At the meeting with Bleyer and
     Piazza?
19
20
           Q.
                  Yes.
2.1
           Α.
                  Yes.
22
                  And what was that discussion like?
           Q.
23
                  Mr. Bleyer -- well, one of the two
           Α.
24
     asked if we had yet received the application and I
```

October 28, 2014

Page 112 1 was unaware of whether we had received it. told them I didn't believe so. 2 Then Susan 3 mentioned that she didn't think so either because 4 she had -- she had been scouring the newspapers 5 for the public notice of intent to file, whatever 6 it was called, and hadn't seen it. So we talked 7 about that and Mr. Bleyer said "Well, if you hurry 8 up and enact the ordinance then, you know, you can 9 get all the benefits of this draft ordinance ahead of the filing" and so that -- that was the 10 substance of that conversation. 11 12 Okay. And after you had this Q. 13 meeting, did you check with anyone at the Village 14 Hall to see if an application had been received? 15 T did. I talked to Leslie 16 McReynolds who was the deputy clerk and I'm not --17 I don't recall how the conversation came about, 18 but it was either that day or shortly thereafter 19 when I said to her, you know, that was -something to the effect "That was supposed to be 20 2.1 filed on February 10th. Did we ever get it?" 22 MS. SACKETT POHLENZ: Objection. 23 Hearsay. 24 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Sustained.

October 28, 2014

Page 113 1 BY THE WITNESS: So I checked and learned that it 2 Α. actually had been filed and put in a room back 3 here which is now Mike Mitchell's office because 4 the information I had was she didn't know what to 5 do with it when it was filed. 6 7 BY MS. LIVINGSTON: 8 Q. Okay. So during that conversation 9 with her that you had in February of this year, at 10 the time you had the conversation were you able to 11 determine whether or not the application was 12 actually received on February 10th? 13 Α. Yes. 14 Q. And is it your belief that it was 15 received on February 10th? 16 Yes. Α. 17 Q. Thank you. I really appreciate 18 MS. LIVINGSTON: 19 that you modified your schedule to come here and 20 testify for us and tell us what went on. I don't have any further questions. 2.1 22 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Mr. Manion, 23 do you have anything you'd like to add? 24 MR. MANION: No, your Honor. Thank

```
Page 114
1
     you.
2
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Ms. Pohlenz?
3
                  MS. SACKETT POHLENZ: Yes.
4
                  MR. MORAN: And we're now out of the
5
     offer of proof?
6
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Well, I quess
7
     it depends. It depends on whether you -- what you
8
     wanted to cross on. What are we doing with
9
     Exhibit 4? Did we -- did you mention anything
     about Exhibit's 5 and 6? Are these being offered
10
11
     as --
12
                  MS. LIVINGSTON:
                                   Yes.
13
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB:
                                         Do vou want
     to take it in an offer of proof as well to go with
14
15
     your --
16
                  MS. LIVINGSTON: Yes, I would.
17
     the questions I just asked about the receiving of
18
     the record or the receiving of the application
19
     were not an offer of proof. That was proof that
20
     I'm offering of the receipt. Not proof of the
2.1
     February meeting. So, I mean, I frankly think all
22
     of this is admissible because there have been
23
     issues raised to fundamental fairness and the
24
     people raising the issue of fundamental fairness
```

```
Page 115
1
     have their own issues with fundamental fairness,
2
     but after asking him the questions about the
3
     meeting I just asked him, the question about
4
     receiving the application in the office, I don't
5
     believe that was part of my offer of proof.
6
     was part of me finishing my questions.
7
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Well, I would
8
     have liked to have been clear on that.
9
                  MR. MORAN: No. No.
                  MS. SACKETT POHLENZ: That fell --
10
     that fell --
11
12
                  MR. MORAN: That -- that is
13
     absolutely correct. You have to make the
14
     determination that that question is outside the
15
     offer of proof.
16
                  MS. LIVINGSTON: Then I'd like to
17
     ask him again.
18
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB:
                                         Okay.
19
                  MR. MORAN: You can't simply have
20
     the applicant deciding whether it is in and
2.1
     outside the offer of proof. Otherwise, we may be
22
     objecting to more than we object to.
23
                  MS. SACKETT POHLENZ: Exactly.
24
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Right. Well,
```

```
Page 116
 1
     I'll let you ask the last question about his
     belief as to when the document was filed --
 2
 3
                  MS. LIVINGSTON: Fine.
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB: -- as outside
 4
 5
     the offer of proof, but I wasn't -- I wasn't aware
     that we had gone off the offer of proof, but I'll
 6
 7
     let -- you can re-ask that last question.
 8
                  MS. LIVINGSTON:
                                    I appreciate that.
 9
     I'm going to.
     BY MS. LIVINGSTON:
10
                  Mr. Gilbert --
11
           Q.
12
           Α.
                  Yes.
13
           Ο.
                  -- after the meeting that we just
14
     discussed in the offer of proof, did you check on
15
     whether or not the application had been filed with
16
     the Village Hall?
17
           Α.
                  Yes.
18
                  And what determination were you able
           Q.
19
     to make from that?
20
                  MS. SACKETT POHLENZ: Objection.
2.1
     Speculative, no personal knowledge, hearsay.
22
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Overruled.
23
     I'll -- you can answer.
24
```

```
Page 117
1
     BY THE WITNESS:
2
           Α.
                  Yes, I -- I checked the application,
3
     the physical application, what is now in Mike
4
     Mitchell's office, and there was a letter with it
5
     dated February 10th and then I also investigated
     with appropriate Village personnel and came to the
6
7
     conclusion that it was filed --
8
                  MS. SACKETT POHLENZ: Objection.
9
     BY THE WITNESS:
                  -- that it was filed --
10
           Α.
                  MS. SACKETT POHLENZ: Foundation and
11
12
     hearsay.
13
                                    They have deposed
                  MS. LIVINGSTON:
14
     Leslie McReynolds, the person that he testified in
15
     the offer of proof time that he had a discussion
     with and now he has a recollection of when it was
16
17
     received and that is important since they're
18
     claiming that it wasn't received on the 10th and
     his recollection --
19
                  MS. SACKETT POHLENZ: He didn't
20
2.1
     receive it.
22
                               They offered -- they
                  MR. MANION:
23
     offered testimony of Leslie McReynolds which has
     been received into evidence.
24
```

```
Page 118
1
                  MS. SACKETT POHLENZ:
                                        That you
2
     stipulated to.
3
                  MR. MANION:
                               Right. But he gets to
4
     explain what his conversation was about.
5
                  MS. SACKETT POHLENZ: But he's not
     firsthand knowledge. Mr. Siemens said he thinks
6
7
     he handed it to McReynolds. McReynolds testified
     as to what she testified to there. What he has to
8
9
     say after the fact having discussions with people
     or not is not relevant, is hearsay and it doesn't
10
     belong in the record. It's what he said and what
11
12
     McReynolds said and what the Village clerk said
13
     who's the only person authorized to file it.
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB: I know we can
14
15
     characterize his testimony in a way that avoids
16
     hearsay because we just did it five minutes ago.
17
     Can we just --
18
                  MS. LIVINGSTON:
                                   He is the Village
19
     attorney. He was making a determination that he
20
     then communicated to the people that he met with
2.1
     and I'm asking him what his determination was.
22
     believe his testimony just was that he determined
23
     that it had been filed in a timely fashion on
24
     February 10th and I think he is entitled to say
```

```
Page 119
1
    that if that's the truth of his investigation.
2
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Is that your
3
    testimony?
4
                  THE WITNESS: It is, yes.
5
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB:
                                         Okay.
6
                  MS. LIVINGSTON:
                                   I appreciate it.
7
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Now, is there
8
    any cross?
9
                  MS. SACKETT POHLENZ: Yes.
10
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB:
                                         Okav.
11
                  MS. SACKETT POHLENZ: Okay. So this
    is not part of the offer cross. This is part of
12
13
    what was presented outside the offer --
14
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB:
                                         Okay.
15
                  MS. SACKETT POHLENZ -- of proof and
16
    then we'll go into the offer of proof.
17
            CROSS
                             EXAMINATION
18
                 BY MS. SACKETT POHLENZ
19
           0.
                  So, Mr. Gilbert, is it fair to say
20
     that between the time you knew the application was
2.1
    filed until you left the employee of the Village,
22
    that you communicated with Mr. Siemsen outside of
23
    a public meeting or public hearing approximately a
24
    dozen times?
```

# Electronic Filing - Received, Clerk's Office: 10/31/2014 October 28, 2014

	Page 120
1	A. I don't know how many times, but I
2	did communicate with him outside of a form of a
3	public hearing. I don't know how many times.
4	Q. You produced e-mails to me as well
5	records redacted records
6	A. Correct.
7	Q and your timesheets, right?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. All right. And in those redacted as
LO	records and e-mails, what do you think? Was there
11	around more than five?
12	A. I didn't count.
L3	Q. More than five pages?
L 4	A. Pardon me?
L5	Q. Was there more than five pages?
16	A. Of what I produced to you?
L7	Q. Mm-hmm.
18	A. I think so.
L 9	Q. More than five pages of timesheets,
20	for example?
21	A. Could be.
22	Q. With multiple references on some
23	at least one reference and sometimes multiple
24	references on each timesheet to communications

October 28, 2014

Page 121

that	you	had	with	Mr.	Siemsen?
------	-----	-----	------	-----	----------

2.1

A. Yeah, I don't know because I did not review them -- I was in Chicago when your subpoena came giving me 48 hours to comply. So my legal assistant handled that so we could comply with your subpoena and I did not review the final product that you got.

So I don't know how many times those records reveal the number of contacts with Mr. Siemsen. I mean, if you counted it up and you know, I mean, I would have no reason to argue with you, but, you know, I'm doing what I tell witnesses in my cases to do and that is don't guess. So I don't want to guess. If I knew, I would tell you, but I don't know.

- Q. But certainly more than two times?
- A. That I contacted -- that we contacted --
- Q. Absolutely.
  - A. Yes. Certainly more than two.
  - Q. And how many times did you meet with Susan Piazza and Mr. Bleyer on or after February 18th -- or on or after February 10th for that matter?

	Page 122
1	A. I met with the two of them one time
2	and then they came to subsequent
3	Q. Outside the Village Hall, public
4	meeting type scenarios, just like I said with
5	Mr. Siemsen?
6	A. Just the one. Just the one meeting.
7	Q. Okay. And it was understood at that
8	one meeting that no one at that meeting had
9	knowledge of a siting application being on file on
10	February 18th, 2014, correct?
11	A. That's fair to say, yes.
12	Q. And in addition to that at that one
13	meeting on February 18th, 2014, the actual siting
14	application since nobody even knew about it
15	couldn't have possibly been discussed, is that
16	accurate?
17	A. Yes. You mean Mr. Siemsen's
18	specific application?
19	Q. Yes.
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. The Caseyville Transfer Station,
22	LLC, application for site location approval.
23	A. That is the specific one he filed
24	was not discussed, correct.

	Page 123
1	Q. Is there some other one that he
2	identified?
3	A. Well, no, but Mr. Bleyer and
4	Ms. Piazza were addressing the contemplated filing
5	of an application with the Village at the meeting
6	that we had.
7	Q. Certainly. About a process,
8	correct?
9	A. Well
10	Q. A siting ordinance is process. It
11	is implemented by a Village.
12	A. I'm not sure I would agree with
13	that. There is substance in that ordinance, too.
14	I mean, that are standards. There are things
15	besides just process, but what to answer your
16	specific question, his specific application that
17	was actually filed was not discussed.
18	Q. Okay. And you would consider, for
19	example, a standard implemented at a hearing to be
20	substantive rather than just process?
21	A. What kind of a standard?
22	Q. Something that was, for example,
23	discussed at the siting ordinance?
24	A. It depends. There is procedural

October 28, 2014

Page 124 1 standards. There is subsequent standards. 2 depends. If it is a substantive standard, then it 3 would be substantive, but if it is just strictly 4 procedural in the ordinance, it is process. 5 Sorry. You're asking questions of a lawyer. I'm 6 sorry. I can't. 7 Is there anything in that siting Q. 8 ordinance to your recollection that talks about Bunkum Road specifically? 9 10 Not that I recall. Α. 11 Was there anything in that siting Q. 12 ordinance that says -- specifically relates to the 13 Village of Caseyville? 14 Α. It's mentioned. It's a draft 15 ordinance for the Village of Caseyville. So the 16 Village of Caseyville is all over as I recall. 17 Do you recall -- well, okay. Q. 18 MS. SACKETT POHLENZ: In terms of 19 the offer of proof -- I'm sorry. That siting 20 ordinance question should have been part of the offer of proof. This is now a continuation of 2.1 22 that offer of proof. I am showing you what I have 23 marked --24 MS. LIVINGSTON: I'm sorry. We just

```
Page 125
 1
     started offer of proof?
 2
                  MS. SACKETT POHLENZ: With a siting
 3
     ordinance.
 4
                  MS. LIVINGSTON: All right. We can
 5
     now go to offer of proof.
 6
                  MS. SACKETT POHLENZ: Can you please
 7
    mark this the next exhibit number and this will be
 8
     part of the offer of proof.
 9
                        (Document marked as Hearing
                        Exhibit No. 7 for
10
                        identification.)
11
12
     BY MS. SACKETT POHLENZ:
13
                  So I'm showing you what I have
           Q.
     marked as Hearing Exhibit No. 7. It's a group of
14
15
     e-mails.
               I've marked in the lower right-hand
16
     corner page numbers one through five. I have
17
     copies of this also that I can pass out.
18
                  MS. SACKETT POHLENZ: If you can
19
     pass that down, please.
20
                  MR. MORAN:
                             Sure.
     BY MS. SACKETT POHLENZ:
2.1
22
                  What I'd like you to turn your
           Q.
23
     attention to is page four of five and I'll look
24
     over your shoulder because I gave my copies away.
```

	Page 126
1	A. Okay.
2	Q. On page four of five, the e-mail
3	sent on February 19th, 2014, at 11:19 a.m. to you
4	from Ken Bleyer, can you please take a look at
5	that e-mail and confirm for me that is a true and
6	accurate copy of an e-mail that you received from
7	Mr. Bleyer?
8	A. Yes, I remember receiving this and
9	this is accurate.
10	Q. And am I correct in the second
11	paragraph of that e-mail where it says "As I
12	mention" it actually references where that
13	ordinance he brought to your attention came from
14	and references another one? He gives you a link.
15	A. Correct.
16	Q. And those are both counties within
17	the State of Illinois, correct?
18	A. Correct. Yes, that's correct.
19	Q. Turning your attention to the same
20	exhibit, No. 7, please look at the top third of

A. Mm-hmm. I mean, yes.

21

22

page four.

Q. It's an e-mail from you to Ken
Bleyer at 2:34 p.m. on February 19th, 2014. Is

October 28, 2014

Page 127 1 that a true and correct copy of an e-mail that you 2 sent to Mr. Bleyer on that date and at that time? 3 Α. Yes, it is. 4 And then I would like to turn your 0. 5 attention to -- oh, and what you wrote on February 6 19th at 2:34 to Mr. Bleyer in that e-mail, is what 7 you're discussing true as far as your knowledge at 8 the time you wrote it? 9 Α. Yes. 10 Turning backwards and I'm going to Ο. 11 have you look at pages two to three of this Exhibit No. 7. 12 13 Α. All right. 14 Is that an e-mail from Ken Bleyer to Q. 15 you at 1:14 p.m. and is that a true and correct 16 copy of an e-mail that you received from Ken on --17 at 1:14 p.m. on the date of -- sorry -- February 18 20th, 2014? 19 It is. Α. 20 Now, if you can turn, please, to Q. 2.1 page one of the exhibit. 22 Okay. I've done that. Α. 23 Q. Okay. And on page one of the 24 exhibit, is that a true and correct copy of an

# Electronic Filing - Received, Clerk's Office: 10/31/2014 October 28, 2014

	Page 128
1	e-mail dated Friday, February 23rd, 2014, at
2	5:27 p.m. to you and from Ken Bleyer? Is that a
3	true and correct copy of that e-mail I just
4	referenced
5	A. It is.
6	Q that you received?
7	A. Sorry. It is.
8	MS. SACKETT POHLENZ: I'm going to
9	go outside of the offer of proof now.
10	BY MS. SACKETT POHLENZ:
11	Q. You were asked outside of the offer
12	of proof concerning the determination you made
13	that the application for site location approval by
14	Caseyville Transfer Station, LLC, was filed on
15	February 10th, 2014.
16	Did you put that determination
17	in writing?
18	A. Other than what you see in Exhibit 7
19	when I advised Mr. Bleyer, no.
20	Q. Did you place that determination in
21	writing in the public record?
22	A. No. I'm assuming this is not
23	Exhibit 7 is not a public record, no.
24	Q. Did you at any time advise the

October 28, 2014

	Page 129
1	Village clerk of the Village of Caseyville to file
2	stamp it?
3	A. I did. Not file stamp, but to make
4	a notation on Mr. Siemsen's letter of the date
5	that it was received. Not on the day that it was
6	received, but I advised Ms. McReynolds to make a
7	notation on his letter of the date she received
8	it. That's a better way to say it.
9	Q. Okay. So you advised Ms. McReynolds
10	to make a notation as to the date received of the
11	application?
12	A. That's correct.
13	Q. And, to your knowledge, did
14	Ms. McReynolds ever make that notation?
15	A. I don't know.
16	Q. Did you ever follow up to find out
17	whether Ms. McReynolds made that notation?
18	A. I did not.
19	Q. And you were fully aware at the time
20	that the date of filing is it fair to say
21	let me strike that previous question.
22	Is it fair to say that at the
23	time you made this determination you were fully

aware of the impact on the date of filing

24

	Page 130
1	jurisdictionally on the application for site
2	location and approval?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. Do you have any personal knowledge
5	of the receipt by the Village on February 10th,
6	2014, of the Caseyville Transfer Station, LLC,
7	site location application?
8	A. It depends on how you define
9	personal knowledge.
10	Q. Did you see the application come in
11	to the door?
12	A. I did not.
13	Q. Did you see Ms. McReynolds take the
14	application from Mr. Siemsen?
15	A. I did not.
16	Q. Did you on February 10th see the
17	application in Village Hall in any location
18	whatsoever?
19	A. I did not.
20	Q. So you're relying on your belief of
21	what Ms. McReynolds said to you in making your
22	determination, is that accurate?
23	A. That plus when I went to look at the
24	actual application that was in the office back

October 28, 2014

Page 131 1 here there was --2 When you reference "office back 0. 3 here," you're talking about Mike Mitchell? 4 Mike Mitchell's office, right, which Α. 5 is where Leslie put it. When I looked at it, 6 opened it up, there was a cover letter on top 7 dated February 10th, 2014, that indicated whatever 8 it says that we're filing this application. So I concluded --9 10 So the basis of your decision was 11 Ms. -- your belief of what Ms. McReynolds told you and a cover letter inside the banker's box of four 12 13 binders that was delivered here by the applicant 14 at some point? 15 Α. That plus Mr. Siemsen had told me 16 that he filed it, but, again, that is what he told 17 me that he had filed it on February 10th. 18 Okay. And that's the total basis of Q. 19 your determination? 20 That's correct. Α. 2.1 Q. Okay. 22 MS. SACKETT POHLENZ: I have nothing 23 further. 24 MR. MORAN: Thank you.

# Electronic Filing - Received, Clerk's Office: 10/31/2014 October 28, 2014

	Page 132
1	CROSS EXAMINATION
2	BY MR. MORAN
3	Q. Mr. Gilbert, as of February 18th,
4	2014, it was your belief that no site location
5	application for the Caseyville Transfer Station
6	had been filed, is that correct?
7	A. Yes, that's correct.
8	Q. And you had not in any way seen any
9	application on the premises of the Village through
10	February 18th of 2014, correct?
11	A. That's correct.
12	Q. Isn't it true that the regular and
13	ordinary practice of the Village clerk's office
14	was to date stamp any submission of any document
15	that was submitted to the Village?
16	A. I hate to say this, but I honestly
17	don't know what their regular practice was.
18	Q. If I were to indicate to you that
19	both the Village clerk and Leslie McReynolds
20	indicated that that was the regular and ordinary
21	practice of the clerk's office to date and file
22	stamp any submissions that were made to it, would
23	you accept that and agree that that was the
24	appropriate practice?

```
Page 133
1
                  MR. MANION:
                               I'm going to object to
2
     the form of the question. I think it
3
     mischaracterizes the testimony of Clerk Watt and
4
     of Ms. McReynolds.
5
                  MS. LIVINGSTON: You haven't read
6
     them yet.
7
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Pardon me?
                  MS. LIVINGSTON: You haven't read
8
9
     that testimony yet.
10
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Oh, that's
11
     apparently why I didn't know. Well, I mean, I
12
     quess I can't -- I'll have to overrule it for now
     because I don't know. Go ahead.
13
14
     BY THE WITNESS:
15
           Α.
                  Yes.
     BY MR. MORAN:
16
17
                  In conducting your investigation as
           Q.
18
     to when this application was filed, did you at any
19
     point come across any date file stamp from the
20
     Village of Caseyville with respect to this site
2.1
     location application?
22
                  Not that I recall.
           Α.
23
           Q.
                  Now, you indicated in your
     discussions with Mr. Siemsen that one of the
24
```

Page 134
things you were very concerned about were the
notices of public hearing that were going to be
sent to the necessary parties, correct?
A. That is correct.
Q. And you had a specific conversation
with Mr. Siemsen about that very issue?
A. I did.
Q. Did you have a discussion with him
about who would determine which entities or
individuals should be identified as those for whom
notice would need to be sent?
A. Yes, he mentioned to me that he had
a list of the entities and individuals who had to
be notified and asked if the Village was aware or
if I could check with the clerk's office to
determine if there was anyone else who should be
notified that he didn't have on his list.
Q. So Mr. Siemsen provided you with a
preliminary list of entities or persons who should
be served with the notice?
A. Yes.
Q. And you had an opportunity to review
that list?

24

# Electronic Filing - Received, Clerk's Office: 10/31/2014 October 28, 2014

	Page 135
1	and asked if there was anybody else that should be
2	added.
3	Q. And did the clerk respond with any
4	additional names or identifications of parties who
5	needed to be served?
6	A. He responded, but with no additional
7	parties to be noticed.
8	Q. So the full list that Mr. Siemsen
9	had provided to you was the final list used in
10	sending out those notices of public hearing?
11	A. I believe that's correct.
12	Q. And you recall that one of the
13	entities identified on that list was the Village
14	of Fairmont City?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. Do you remember a discussion with
17	Mr. Siemsen about Fairmont City being on that
18	list?
19	A. Not specifically. They were just on
20	the list with all the other entities who were to
21	receive notice.
22	Q. And it was Mr. Siemsen who arranged
23	to have that notice published in a newspaper of
24	general circulation in St. Clair County?

October 28, 2014

Page 136 1 Yes. Α. 2 And it was Mr. Siemsen who arranged Q. 3 to serve by either registered or certified mail 4 that notice of public hearing to all of the 5 entities identified on that service list? 6 Α. Yes. 7 And to the best of your knowledge Ο. 8 one of those was the Village of Fairmont City? 9 Α. Yes. 10 Did you also have a discussion with Ο. 11 Mr. Siemsen about how the public hearing, which 12 was held on May 29th, was to be conducted? 13 Α. Yes. 14 And Mr. Siemsen indicated to you 0. 15 that it was his belief that he would be able to 16 present unsworn testimony on behalf of the 17 applicant in order to address the nine statutory 18 criteria, would that be correct? 19 That is correct. Α. 20 And he indicated to you that he had Q. 2.1 looked into the matter and had determined that 22 this would be an appropriate means of presenting 23 the applicant's case at the public hearing, would

24

that be correct?

October 28, 2014

Page 137

1 A. That is correct.

2.1

- Q. And did you undertake any independent investigation or analysis as to whether -- what Mr. Siemsen had indicated to you about the way of presenting the applicant's case was, in fact, appropriate or valid?
  - A. I did.
- Q. And what specific evaluation did you make with regard to that issue?
- A. I looked at the statutes, looked at the annotations under the statute with respect to due process and the conduct of hearings and I couldn't find -- I didn't find any of the cases that indicated that sworn testimony was required. I mean, I saw some cases where they were raised, but by my analysis of it I didn't see a case that led me to believe that what he told me was although maybe not as desirable as I would have liked that it was prohibited or that due process required otherwise.
- Q. Do you recall having reviewed a reported decision from the Third District

  Appellate Court entitled Sierra Club versus Will

  County Board in which the issue of the fundamental

Page 138

fairness rights of non-applicants was addressed and that that decision basically stated or clearly stated that non-applicants have fundamental fairness, not due process rights, in siting hearings and that those fundamental fairness rights included the opportunity to present evidence --

A. I do recall.

2.1

- Q. -- a right to cross-examine witnesses and a right to impartial rulings on the evidence?
- A. I don't know if I recall it to that specific level, but I do remember seeing the case.
- Q. And yet despite that decision it was your conclusion that Mr. Siemsen's views was correct that an applicant could basically just present unsworn testimony in support of its case?
- A. I did reach that conclusion. I don't know how it related to that case.
- Q. You didn't see any other case law or anything else in statute or in the common law that in any way indicated that that would not really be an appropriate way to present an applicant's case?
  - A. I think that's fair to say. I mean,

October 28, 2014

Page 139 1 I looked for Fifth District cases especially and 2 didn't see anything. 3 Q. Now, with respect to this 4 discussion, did you then tell Mr. Siemsen that you 5 agreed with his analysis and that this would be an appropriate way to present his case? 6 7 I didn't tell him I agreed with his analysis. 8 I told him that if that's how he wanted 9 to proceed that I would not interfere with that. 10 So you indicated to him that if you 11 wanted to proceed in that fashion you wouldn't 12 object? 13 Correct. Because, I mean, my Α. 14 conclusion was he is the applicant. It is his 15 case to make or not make. I'm not his legal 16 advisor and I advised the Village and, you know, 17 he presents what he presents the way he wants to 18 present it and I hate to say it at his peril, but, 19 you know, I'm not his lawyer. 20 Your legal research basically 2.1 concurred with what he was telling you? 22 Α. I reached that conclusion, yes. 23 think that's fair to say.

And you also had discussions with

24

Q.

Page 140
Ms. Sackett Pohlenz during this period?
A. I did.
Q. Did you have any discussion with
Ms. Sackett Pohlenz about how the application was
going to present its case at the public hearing?
A. Yes, I believe so, but I don't
recall the substance of those, but I do believe we
addressed it.
Q. Well, would it be accurate to say
that you told Ms. Sackett Pohlenz that the
applicant was, indeed, going to present its case
through unsworn testimony at the public hearing?
A. No. I didn't I didn't get that
specific. I think that I told her well, you
know, to be honest I don't recall, but I do
recall I don't believe I told her that he would
be presenting his case through unsworn testimony.
I don't recall saying that.
Q. Did you say anything to her about
how the applicant was going to be presenting its
case?
A. I believe I told her he'd put on his
case. Everybody who was at the hearing would have

a right to be heard, that objections would be

24

October 28, 2014

Page 141

considered either on the spot or with the case and that she would -- her client would get -- and everybody that was there would get an opportunity to be heard and to be able to object and state whatever they wanted for the record.

- Q. You at no point told Mr. Siemsen that his approach of presenting unsworn testimony would be unacceptable to the Village, is that correct?
  - A. That is correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

- Q. And at no point did you indicate to Mr. Siemsen that his approach of presenting unsworn testimony ought to be reconsidered and, perhaps, presented in some different fashion?
  - A. That is correct.
- Q. There were -- there was some testimony that was presented and comment presented at various times today and also previously by varios citizens who described the venue and the public hearing on May 29th and you were present during that public hearing, correct?
  - A. I was.
- MS. LIVINGSTON: I'm going to object to Mr. Moran testifying before he asks questions

```
Page 142
1
     that are not related to the exact testimony that
2
     he gives before the question. By the way, we're
3
     way beyond the scope, but, you know, in
4
     transparency I'm not objecting on those grounds,
5
     but I don't think he should testify.
                  MS. SACKETT POHLENZ: I'm not sure I
6
7
     understand what that objection was.
8
                  MS. LIVINGSTON: Well, he went on
9
     people testified to all this stuff. Were you
10
             Well, were you there?
     there?
                  MS. SACKETT POHLENZ: It's called
11
12
     cross-examination.
13
                  MS. LIVINGSTON: But he is
14
     testifying.
                 It wasn't related to the question.
15
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Overruled.
16
     Go ahead.
17
     BY MR. MORAN:
18
                  In fact, you were one of the two
           Q.
19
     persons who were serving as hearing officer at
20
     that public hearing, correct?
2.1
                  That's not correct. I was there.
           Α.
22
     The mayor was the -- if you're going to say
23
     hearing officer, the mayor was the hearing
24
     officer, but actually the Board heard it as a
```

October 28, 2014

Page 143

committee of the whole, if you will. I was advising the mayor, but I was not in a position of hearing officer. I wasn't going to make any findings of fact or conclusions or decisions.

2.1

- Q. So it would be fair to say then that the mayor was the hearing officer for this public hearing?
- A. If -- if you're going to force me into an answer, it was the hearing officer, who was it, it would be the mayor. He was the presiding officer, but my perception was that the Board as a whole, those who were there was hearing it as a body of the whole, if you will.
- Q. Well, if I'm mistaken, I'm happy to be corrected, but if there was no hearing officer at that public hearing, it's certainly appropriate to say that that was your understanding that there was no hearing officer. That is certainly something you can state.
- A. Well, I would say the mayor was the presiding officer. So, I mean, you know, it is really -- I don't think we had like someone who was appointed hearing officer. The mayor was the presiding officer for the public hearing. I was

October 28, 2014

Page 144 1 advising him, but my impression was that the Board as a whole was really hearing it, if you will. 2 3 Q. And that public hearing was 4 scheduled to commence at 5:00 p.m. on May 29th? 5 Α. I believe so. 6 Q. And at 5:00 p.m. could you 7 describe -- and that hearing was in this very 8 room, correct? 9 That's correct. Α. 10 And at 5:00 p.m. could you describe Ο. 11 for us how many people were in this room at 12 that -- approximately and also indicate whether 13 this room was full or whether there were people 14 who couldn't get in because of the limited 15 capacity? 16 MR. MANION: Objection. I think it 17 is way beyond the scope of the direct. 18 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: I think it 19 It is beyond the scope, but, I mean, we can do this -- I mean, if you want him to separately 20 call him as a witness I'll allow that. It might 2.1 22 just be more expedient to --23 MS. LIVINGSTON: We're just pointing 24 it out.

October 28, 2014

Page 145 1 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Okay. All 2 right. Go ahead. 3 BY THE WITNESS: Α. I would be more comfortable with 4 5 saying that the room was full and there were 6 people in the hall outside than guessing how many 7 people were here. BY MR. MORAN: 8 9 Q. That's fair enough. And could you 10 give us any kind of an estimate as to how many 11 people were unable to get into the hearing room 12 and were waiting outside in the hall hoping to get 13 in? 14 Α. I couldn't tell. I mean, there were 15 quite a few. From where I was sitting, I couldn't 16 see into the hall really well, but there were a 17 lot of people. 18 Was there any discussion about Q. 19 adjourning the public hearing to be able to 20 provide accommodations for all who wished to 2.1 attend? 22 I think there was. Α. 23 Q. And did you have that discussion 24 with the mayor or with some other member of the

October 28, 2014

	Page 146
1	Village or did somebody else have that discussion?
2	A. You know, Mr. Moran, to the best of
3	my recollection, I could be wrong, you guys were
4	there I think that there was a discussion among
5	the Board members about whether we should move it
6	to the community center next door and I think it
7	was decided by consensus that we would just keep
8	it here. That's my recollection.
9	Q. Did you make any recommendation with
10	regard to that issue?
11	A. I did not, no.
12	Q. So that decision was made by someone
13	other than you?
14	A. I believe so, yes.
15	Q. And do you have any information as
16	to the reasons why that decision was made or, in
17	other words, not to move from this limited venue
18	to one that could accommodate all the people who
19	had come to the meeting?
20	A. You know, I just don't recall.
21	MS. LIVINGSTON: I do object to the
22	term limited venue. We're all sitting in this
23	room and it's not exactly tiny. You can roll your

24

eyes if you want.

```
Page 147
1
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB: I don't know
2
    what to do with that. Overruled. Overruled. Go
3
    ahead.
4
                 MR. MORAN: I have no further
5
    questions.
6
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB:
                                         Okay.
7
    redirect?
8
                 MS. LIVINGSTON:
                                   Yeah.
9
      REDIRECT
                             EXAMINATION
                    BY MS. LIVINGSTON
10
11
                 Mr. Gilbert, did Don Moran, Jennifer
          Q.
12
    Pohlenz or any other person ask to cross-examine
13
    Mr. Siemsen and get denied?
                 MS. SACKETT POHLENZ: Objection.
14
                                                    Не
15
    wasn't even under oath.
16
                 MR. MORAN: Yeah.
                                     The objection is
17
    obviously that request could not have been made
18
    because it was made very clear during the course
19
    of the hearing that he was not going to be sworn
20
    and he was not subject to cross-examination.
2.1
                 MS. SACKETT POHLENZ: I join in
22
    Mr. Moran's more eloquent version of what I said.
23
                 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: What was --
24
    what was the question again?
```

```
Page 148
 1
                  MS. LIVINGSTON: Did Don Moran,
 2
     Jennifer Pohlenz or anyone else ask to
 3
     cross-examine Mr. Siemsen and get denied? Let me
     rephrase it.
 4
 5
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB:
                                          Okay.
 6
     BY MS. LIVINGSTON:
 7
                  Did Don Moran, Jennifer Pohlenz or
           Q.
 8
     anyone else ask to ask Mr. Siemsen questions and
 9
     get denied?
10
                  MS. SACKETT POHLENZ:
                                         Objection.
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB: I will allow
11
12
     the witness to answer that question.
13
     BY THE WITNESS:
14
                  To my recollection, no, because
           Α.
15
     their position was his testimony shouldn't be
     considered at all because it wasn't under oath.
16
17
                  MS. SACKETT POHLENZ: It wasn't?
18
     BY THE WITNESS:
19
                  It wasn't sworn.
           Α.
2.0
     BY MS. LIVINGSTON:
2.1
                  And since there was a line of
           Q.
22
     questions asking you about whether or not you were
23
     specifically present when the box of materials was
24
     delivered to City Hall, is it fair to say that you
```

```
Page 149
 1
     don't -- that you don't have your office at
 2
     Village Hall?
 3
           Α.
                  That's correct.
 4
                  And you wouldn't have had a reason
           0.
 5
     to be here on February 10th?
                  Not in the normal course of
 6
           Α.
 7
     business, correct.
 8
                  MS. LIVINGSTON:
                                    (Negative nod.)
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Anything -- I
 9
10
     have a question about -- also, upon further
11
     consideration I have decided that this room is
12
     officially medium size. What am I doing with
13
     this? I don't have an exhibit label on this
14
     document.
15
                  MS. SACKETT POHLENZ: The witness
     has the exhibit label. So we can give that one to
16
17
     you and that is part of the offer of proof and
18
     subject to. So I would request its admission
     should the offer of proof over our objection be
19
20
     allowed into evidence. So it is conditioned on --
2.1
                  MS. LIVINGSTON: No objection.
22
                  MR. MANION: No objection.
23
                  MS. SACKETT POHLENZ: -- our
24
     objection is made.
```

```
Page 150
1
                  MS. LIVINGSTON:
                                   We don't object.
2
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB:
                                         Okay.
3
     right. And this is accepted as an offer of proof
4
     as are Exhibit's 4 through 6, correct? And who --
5
     remind me who Kenneth Bleyer is. What is his --
                  MS. SACKETT POHLENZ:
6
                                        He is my
7
     co-counsel in this matter.
8
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Anyone have
9
     anything further for Mr. Gilbert? Okay.
                                                Thank
10
     you, sir.
11
                  MR. GILBERT:
                                Thank you.
12
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Ms.
13
     Livingston, do you have anything further to
14
     present?
15
                                   T do not.
                  MS. LIVINGSTON:
16
     you for doing this on such short notice.
17
                  MR. GILBERT: You're welcome.
18
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB: I'll make a
19
     few announcements and then we'll do closing
20
     arguments. The transcript is due by October 31st
     and will be posted on the Board's website.
2.1
22
     public comment deadline is November 12th.
23
     public comment must be filed in accordance with
24
     Section 101.628 of the Board's procedural rules.
```

```
Page 151
1
     The petitioner's briefs are due by November 7th
2
     and the respondent's briefs are due by November
3
     14th and petitioner's reply briefs are due by
4
     November 18th. The mailbox rule will not apply
5
     and all electronic filings are due by 4:30 p.m. on
     the due date. Would the petitioner like to make a
6
7
     closing argument?
8
                  MS. SACKETT POHLENZ: May I clarify
     one thing just --
9
10
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Please.
11
                  MS. SACKETT POHLENZ: -- for public
12
     participants who may read this? In terms of the
     public comment period closing November 12th, with
13
14
     the exception of those parties represented by
     counsel in this proceeding if someone from the
15
     public mails it on November 12th, does the mailbox
16
17
     rule apply to that or not just to clarify so they
18
     know one way or the other?
19
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB: I would say
20
     not.
2.1
                  MS. SACKETT POHLENZ:
                                        Okay.
22
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Because, you
23
     know, you know --
24
                  MS. SACKETT POHLENZ: But if they
```

```
Page 152
1
     don't know how to work the electronic filing with
2
     the Pollution Control Board, e-mail will be
3
     accepted?
4
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Yeah, call.
5
     Call. John Therriault is the best person. If
6
     they call me, I will give them John Therriault's
7
     phone number.
8
                  MS. SACKETT POHLENZ: Very good.
9
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB: He can work
10
     them through it. We can make accommodations.
     They can e-mail or fax if they need to do that.
11
12
     Okay. Ms. Pohlenz, would you like to make any
     closing argument?
13
14
                  MS. SACKETT POHLENZ: I will reserve
15
     closing argument for petitioner's brief that is
     due on November 7th.
16
17
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Okay. Would
18
     you like to make any closing argument?
19
                  MR. MORAN: I would reserve as well.
20
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Okay. Would
2.1
     the Village like to make any closing argument?
22
                  MR. MANION: No, thank you.
23
     reserve.
24
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Ms.
```

October 28, 2014

Page 153

1 Livingston?

2.1

MS. LIVINGSTON: I guess that leaves the last man standing, right? Definitely. I think we're going to deal with the issues in the post-hearing brief and, of course, the standard is against the manifest weight of evidence and the burden is on the petitioners.

I did hear some things here today so I did want to a little bit respond to those public comments because it is certainly not something I think I would write in a post-hearing brief. For example, it seems to me from the testimony of Chief Scott Penny that we had a not-in-my-backyard kind of centrum going on there and also I would note that at this point in time the applicant and the Village would like to waive their claim for deliberative process privilege and allow all of the transcripts of the depositions into evidence.

I believe that during the offer of proof of what the Village trustees were considering in the nine criteria that you will see that Bunkum Road was about to be upgraded and that the county has, in fact, put funds forward for

October 28, 2014

Page 154

1 that road to be upgraded. If you go the other direction on Bunkum where I live off of, the Mayor 2 3 of Washington Park mentioning to me as the attorney "Would I live off of this road" I live 4 off of this road and our road is brand new and so 5 his testimony was that the utilities have already 6 7 been moved to accommodate a new road and I think 8 that that is significant to the issue of traffic which there seemed to be two criterias at issue; 9 traffic and the need basis. 10 11 So I would just mention that 12 that seemed to be -- there also seemed to be some 13 testimony today from citizens saying something 14 about the property value and I don't know about a 15 study about property value, but I would note that 16 the property value expert is sitting in the room 17 today and they didn't bring him to testify. So I 18 imagine that wasn't an issue for them. 19 I also heard the Mayor of 20 Washington Park state, if my hearing is correct, 2.1 that she was the first black mayor of Washington

Washington Park state, if my hearing is correct, that she was the first black mayor of Washington Park and I sued Mayor Sylvester Jackson. I sued Washington Park for open dumping in East St. Louis's park and --

22

23

24

```
Page 155
1
                  MS. SACKETT POHLENZ:
                                        I believe
2
     you're misrepresenting what people said.
3
     believe she said she was the first woman.
4
                  MS. LIVINGSTON:
                                   I heard her say I'm
5
     the first black mayor of Washington Park.
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB:
6
                                          I don't
7
     remember.
                  MS. LIVINGSTON: Well, we'll see
8
9
     when we get the record, but when she talked about,
10
     you know, would you want a transfer station put
     down from you? Well, you know, we all live in
11
12
     this community. Anyway, when she said not to
13
     bring it here and she talked about this being
14
     offensive to black people I wasn't at this hearing
15
     so I don't know who all came to this hearing, but,
16
     you know, we all live here and around that.
17
                       So she asked questions so I just
18
     thought I would mention it. At any rate, she did
19
     say also that she thought this was about money and
20
     I would agree with that and I think that you'll
     see when you look at the whole record that this is
2.1
22
     about creating an entry -- a barrier to
23
     competitors and if you saw our garbage bills of
24
     $45 a month you would understand why you might
```

```
Page 156
 1
     want to have a transfer station.
 2
                  MS. SACKETT POHLENZ: You know, I
 3
     have no idea what this just was and I would move
 4
     to strike the entire thing --
 5
                  MS. LIVINGSTON:
                                   I live here so take
 6
     it as a public comment.
 7
                  MS. SACKETT POHLENZ:
 8
     rambling --
 9
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB: I will
10
     allow -- this is your closing argument. It's not
11
     testimony.
12
                  MS. LIVINGSTON: And the last thing
13
     that I would mention is that I had a whole line of
14
     questions for Susan Piazza about, gee, you know,
15
     if you already know that it is here because you've
16
     been going to the meetings, you know, do you check
17
     the public notices? I don't know if she really
18
     did or didn't know, but I really feel like her
     subpoena should have been honored and the fact
19
20
     that she was advised not to come here when she was
2.1
     served by a process server with a lawful subpoena
22
     seems to me to absolutely be in contempt and if
23
     you want to talk about fundamental fairness
24
     denying us access to someone that we've only known
```

```
Page 157
 1
     about for a week seems to me to be quite unfair.
 2
                  MS. SACKETT POHLENZ: You know what,
 3
     that is absolutely false. If you want to go into
 4
     stuff that is not on the record and spew it out --
 5
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Let --
 6
                  MS. SACKETT POHLENZ: -- because
 7
    Mr. Siemsen --
 8
                                   What part is false?
                  MS. LIVINGSTON:
 9
                  MS. SACKETT POHLENZ: Oh, absolutely
     false.
10
11
                  MS. LIVINGSTON:
                                   Which part?
12
                  MS. SACKETT POHLENZ: When he came
13
     to deliver the application after February 18th to
14
     Mr. Gilbert's office, Mr. Gilbert told him about
15
     the meeting on February 18th.
16
                  MS. LIVINGSTON: Well, I didn't know
17
     that.
18
                  MS. SACKETT POHLENZ: Really?
     were at the deposition when your client testified
19
20
     to it.
2.1
                  MS. LIVINGSTON: Right. And that's
22
     when I asked Ms. Piazza --
23
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Hold on.
                  MS. SACKETT POHLENZ:
24
                                        Okay.
```

```
Page 158
1
                  MS. LIVINGSTON:
                                   And that's when you
2
     did a subpoena duces tecum on the records and we
3
     got them.
4
                  MS. SACKETT POHLENZ: That's
5
     after -- you are --
6
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB: The court
7
     reporter can't hear both of you at same time
8
     anyway. So you might as well reserve your
9
     argument for your post-hearing brief because I'm
     suspecting some of it may not make it into the
10
     transcript understandably so.
11
12
                  MS. LIVINGSTON: And I'd like to
13
     apologize. I'm not accustomed to trying to
14
     protect the pollution --
15
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Hang on.
16
               I just want to make sure that there is
17
     nobody -- no one else wants to make any public
18
     comment here today?
19
                  MS. LIVINGSTON:
                                   Okay.
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB: At this time
20
2.1
     I would --
22
                  MR. MORAN:
                             Madame Hearing Officer,
23
     before you -- if you're going to close this, there
24
     was a request that Ms. Livingston made in the
```

October 28, 2014

Page 159 1 little presentation that she just gave a couple 2 minutes ago about waiving the deposition 3 transcripts as it related to deliberative process. 4 I don't know if you heard that. 5 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: I did hear 6 that. 7 MR. MORAN: I'm going to object to 8 that for a number of reasons. First reason is is 9 the reason she is trying to get it in apparently is she likes certain things that the trustees said 10 about evidence relating to the criteria which 11 obviously is not appropriate in any way for this 12 13 proceeding and should not be allowed. Secondly, it is not timely to be 14 15 in a position to be asking for now the 16 deliberative process privilege such as it is to be 17 waived at this last date when we now can't go back 18 and re-examine and depose, perhaps not just those 19 trustees, but others if this is going to be the position of the Village waiving deliberative 20 2.1 process. 22 So for those two reasons I would 23 object to the admission of any of that testimony 24 offered under the offer of proof going to

```
Page 160
1
     deliberative process.
2
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Now, I have
     not seen the depositions. How -- how is it -- is
3
4
     it instructive? In the depositions, is it clear?
5
                  MS. SACKETT POHLENZ: We kept it at
6
     the very end.
7
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB:
                                         Okay.
8
                  MS. SACKETT POHLENZ: So we tried to
9
     keep it and group it at the end. So there will be
10
     questions that will occur and not Ms. Livingston,
     but Mr. Manion on behalf of the Village was
11
12
     raising the deliberative process objection at that
13
     point and then it was referred and asked at the
14
     end of the deposition so it would be all grouped
15
     together.
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB: I'm not sure
16
17
     if you have the authority to waive that privilege.
18
                  MS. LIVINGSTON:
                                   Well, we raised it.
19
     I said the Village and I are the --
20
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB: The witnesses
2.1
     are -- I think the Village arguably has --
22
                  MS. LIVINGSTON:
                                   Right. Here is
23
     what happened. When they did the offer of proof,
24
     other information came in in answer to it that I
```

October 28, 2014

Page 161 1 think is valuable for you to hear and it wasn't necessarily related. I find it very interesting 2 3 that we raised the deliberative process privilege because we don't want them to ask "What were you 4 5 thinking about" and when they did their offer of proof that the information was not deliberative 6 7 process. Now that we agree, they object to our 8 letting in their questioning of the Village's 9 witnesses. HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Does the 10 11 Village attorney, do you -- Mr. Manion, does the 12 Village waive the privilege? 13 MR. MANION: I'm willing on behalf 14 of the Village to waive it. 15 MS. SACKETT POHLENZ: And I'm 16 joining in the objection that was articulated by 17 counsel for the Village of Fairmont City because 18 at this point having that waived we gauge our 19 discovery based on your ruling on deliberative process. We only examined those two witnesses in 20 2.1 that limited scope not only due to time 22 constraints, but also due to that being an issue. 23 MS. LIVINGSTON: They had the 24 opportunity to pick any of the people they wanted

```
Page 162
1
     to depose and we were all on a short timeframe and
2
     they're the ones who asked the questions and got
3
     the answers.
4
                  MS. SACKETT POHLENZ: You were also
5
     the ones that asked questions.
                  MS. LIVINGSTON: All we're saying is
6
7
     in the interest of transparency, just like beyond
8
     the scope, we think that all of the information
9
     should be presented and should be considered and
10
     as you go through it you'll see that not all of it
     was deliberative process privilege in the answers
11
     to the questions and all we're saying is we think
12
13
     the whole deposition should be considered.
14
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB:
                                         Okay. Well,
15
     since I haven't seen any of the depositions I'm
16
     going to just keep everything as it is at the
17
     offers of proof as they are. When the Board sees
18
     what the testimony is, they can decide whether to
     admit it at that time.
19
20
                  MS. LIVINGSTON:
                                   Right.
2.1
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB: So that's all
22
     I can --
23
                  MS. LIVINGSTON: It does seem a
24
     little odd to object to your own offer of proof,
```

```
Page 163
1
     which is essentially what they're doing now.
     that we accept their offer of proof, they want to
2
3
     walk away from it because, in fact, there is
4
     really good testimony in there about what those
5
     people considered and why it was a good idea for
6
     this community.
7
                  MR. MORAN: But that's -- but one of
8
     the reasons why it is so inappropriate is to the
9
     extent those trustees were testifying as to
     matters both outside the record, there were
10
11
     evidentiary matters that were, perhaps, not
     presented or if they were they related to the
12
13
     criteria. We cannot consider in this hearing new
14
     evidence as it relates to the criteria.
15
                  MS. LIVINGSTON:
                                    I agree.
                  MR. MORAN: That's the other
16
17
     significant issue.
18
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB:
                                          Okay.
19
     if you want to argue this point any more, I would
20
     ask that you do so in your post-hearing brief, but
2.1
     we'll let the offer of proof stand as it is.
22
     Anything else?
23
                  MS. LIVINGSTON:
                                   Are you saying
24
     anything else before we absolutely close?
```

```
Page 164
1
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Yes.
2
                  MS. LIVINGSTON: Okay. So I did
3
     have this question, too. So we have two
4
     petitioners who will be writing briefs and we will
5
     be responding to those. Do you -- I mean, we have
6
     now consolidated the case, right?
7
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Yes.
8
                  MS. LIVINGSTON:
                                   Okay. Because I
9
     wasn't sure. I think when we go to file we still
10
     have to pick one or the other and maybe it was
     more recent. You might want to check on that.
11
12
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Everything
13
     should be showing up under both dockets.
14
                  MS. LIVINGSTON:
                                   Okay.
15
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB: But they were
16
     consolidated.
17
                  MS. LIVINGSTON: Okay. So I'm just
18
     wondering, and maybe this doesn't need to be on
19
     the record, so if they each write 50-page briefs,
20
     can we respond since the cases have been
2.1
     consolidated as one response or do we need two
22
     responses and, if so, how does that effect the
23
     page limit?
24
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB:
                                         Um --
```

```
Page 165
1
                  MS. LIVINGSTON: And then there are
2
     two of us and we might file jointly. So I just
3
     wasn't -- I mean, not that I want to write more
4
     than 50 pages and neither does Brian, but I'm
5
     asking before we do it. Then does that mean if we
6
     file an answer jointly together to two briefs that
7
     we then have a hundred pages? We're not going to
8
     take it.
9
                  MS. SACKETT POHLENZ:
                                        May I just
10
     suggest that you figure out what you're going to
     do and then if it's in excess of 50 pages you ask
11
12
     for leave which is what the Hearing Officer said
     and the rules provide.
13
14
                  MS. LIVINGSTON:
                                   I understand.
15
                  MS. SACKETT POHLENZ: We'll do it as
16
     well.
17
                  MS. LIVINGSTON: My client doesn't
18
     need to have more procedural issues and file
19
     things. I'm just asking you do we together have a
20
     hundred pages to respond to their together --
2.1
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB: No. Each
22
     party has 50 pages --
23
                  MS. LIVINGSTON:
                                   Right.
24
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB:
```

```
Page 166
1
     separately. If you want to combine briefs, I
2
     would suppose that you could, you know.
                  MR. MORAN: Well, I can say
3
4
     definitively on behalf of the Village of Fairmont
5
     City we are not preparing a 50-page brief.
6
                  MS. LIVINGSTON:
                                   Thank you.
7
                  MR. MORAN: There is not going to be
8
     any issue about having to deal with 50 pages from
     what we file.
9
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB: And we
10
     consolidate -- usually when the Board consolidates
11
12
     cases, it is for purposes of hearing, but they
13
     still reserve the right to issue two separate
14
     opinions and orders if they feel they need to do
15
     that. So they always say consolidated for
16
     hearing.
17
                  MS. LIVINGSTON: Okay. But we can
18
     treat it like they're consolidated when we write
19
     our briefs?
20
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Yes.
2.1
                  MS. LIVINGSTON: Okay. I appreciate
22
     it.
23
                  HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Anything
24
     else?
```

		1
		Page 167
1	MR. MORAN: Nothing further.	
2	HEARING OFFICER WEBB: All right.	
3	At this time, I will conclude the proceedings.	We
4	stand adjourned and I thank you all for your	
5	participation.	
6	MR. MORAN: Thank you.	
7	MS. SACKETT POHLENZ: Thank you.	
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

```
Page 168
    STATE OF ILLINOIS
1
2
                         ) SS.
3
    COUNTY OF COOK
4
5
           I, Steven Brickey, Certified Shorthand
    Reporter, do hereby certify that I reported in
6
7
    shorthand the proceedings had at the hearing
8
    aforesaid, and that the foregoing is a true,
9
    complete and correct transcript of the audio
    proceedings of said hearing as appears from my
10
11
    stenographic notes so taken and transcribed under
12
    my personal direction.
13
          Witness my official signature in and for
    Cook County, Illinois, on this day of
14
15
      , A.D., 2014.
16
17
18
19
20
2.1
                          8 West Monroe Street
                          Suite 2007
22
                          Chicago, Illinois 60603
                          Phone: (312) 419-9292
23
                          CSR No. 084-004675
24
```

October 28, 2014

				rage 105
	accustomed	admissible	158:5	75:2 93:2,18
<b>A.D</b> 168:15	158:13	108:3 114:22	afternoon 12:22	112:9 133:13
<b>a.m</b> 1:23 6:6	acknowledging	admission 56:20	23:5 40:5 45:9	142:16 145:2
126:3	70:6,23	72:17 106:20	65:12	147:3
Abernathy 8:12	across 80:18	106:21 149:18	<b>again</b> 36:13,18	air 85:8
62:19	83:7 133:19	159:23	53:1 88:15	allegation 99:14
abilities 83:18	act 6:18 33:8	admit 9:13	90:5,11,23	Allied 22:17
ability 100:14	35:9 42:1,3	56:21 73:17	91:8,15,17	28:10 42:19
able 41:5 46:7	actual 122:13	74:5,10,11,23	115:17 131:16	44:13 99:7,12
56:3 57:12	130:24	75:2 162:19	147:24	100:24 101:15
76:15,17 99:16	actually 25:16	admitted 10:12	against 28:12	102:3
113:10 116:18	25:16 60:23	10:13 20:23	37:5,14 46:10	allow 14:2 47:23
136:15 141:4	61:4 68:24	53:4 55:15	48:3 80:20	78:11 93:7
145:19	87:12 88:16	73:19,24 74:3	82:14 111:1	144:21 148:11
above-entitled	97:17 104:6,24	75:3,7	153:6	153:18 156:10
1:18	106:15 107:2	admitting 74:12	agenda 50:9	allowed 84:8
abreast 82:9	113:3,12	adopt 42:20	agendas 97:3	100:3 149:20
	123:17 126:12	advance 20:8	ago 13:5,6 25:24	159:13
<b>absolutely</b> 35:1	142:24	38:15 103:16	26:5 118:16	already 19:15
70:13,21 115:13 121:19	acutely 60:11	advise 128:24	159:2	19:21,23 33:2
156:22 157:3,9	add 33:6 113:23	advised 47:22	agree 11:23 16:2	38:19 47:20
163:24	added 16:8	128:19 129:6,9	16:7 44:5 53:6	53:3,3 54:21
	135:2	139:16 156:20	54:13 55:4,5	75:4,6,7 78:13
accept 10:10 107:24 132:23	addition 8:18	advising 99:19	123:12 132:23	84:17 89:11,19
163:2	34:21 60:8	143:2 144:1	155:20 161:7	99:22,22 105:4
	107:1 122:12	advisor 139:16	163:15	110:3 154:6
accepted 150:3	additional 135:4	affect 85:14,17	agreeable 29:18	156:15
152:3	135:6	affected 81:23	agreed 8:2,9	also 3:1 9:10
accepts 22:8	address 55:16	84:13 90:19,21	10:2 11:4,23	10:1,20 12:19
access 156:24	61:11 83:8	<b>affidavit</b> 36:16	12:12 14:5,6	12:24 15:2,21
accessible 54:14	84:10 98:5	aforesaid 168:8	15:8,10,10	40:4,16 41:16
accessing 54:18	136:17	after 12:5,5 13:8	16:9 20:20	57:9 63:15
84:22	addressed 52:24	21:1 22:9 23:6	29:7 139:5,7	71:14,18 73:9
accommodate	138:1 140:8	27:20 41:20	agreeing 9:13	76:13 80:24
146:18 154:7	addressing	43:6 47:2 49:8	agreement	81:3,4 92:14
accommodati	38:16 84:24	63:21,22 69:21	15:23 17:17	92:16 97:4,11
145:20 152:10	123:4	69:21 76:14	51:17,19 62:20	97:16 109:9
accompanied	adjourned 167:4	79:3,16,17	87:13 88:5	117:5 125:17
66:19	adjourning	80:10 92:2	97:2 104:14	136:10 139:24
accordance 6:24	145:19	93:12 101:13	110:3,5	141:18 144:12
150:23	administrative	102:13,18	agreements	149:10 153:15
according 98:19	32:4 61:5	110:2 112:12	104:12 109:8	154:12,19
accurate 50:21	66:24 67:9	115:2 116:13	agrees 56:20	155:19 161:22
51:20,24	administrator	118:9 121:22	ahead 10:17	162:4
122:16 126:6,9	48:18 62:14	121:23 157:13	27:4 74:10	although 137:18
130:22 140:9	40.10 02.14	141.43 137.13	21.4 /4.1U	aithough 15/.18
			l	I

October 28, 2014

				1 age 170
always 49:20	annotations	147:12 152:12	24:18 31:11	70:2,7 73:21
166:15	96:24 137:11	152:18,21	41:3 51:3	96:21 97:24
am 14:13 33:9	announcements	155:18 158:17	108:2	98:4,14,19
48:17 64:19	150:19	159:12,23	appearance 7:4	99:13 104:21
65:13 67:7	another 26:19	161:24 162:15	20:5	105:12 111:16
107:24 124:22	34:24 50:7	163:19 166:8	appeared 2:5,13	111:24 112:14
126:10 149:12	54:3,4 102:11	anybody 73:15	2:18,22 24:15	113:11 114:18
among 146:4	106:15 126:14	73:16 78:23	appearing 7:11	115:4 116:15
amount 69:7	answer 39:9	89:21,23 92:9	7:14 45:8	117:2,3 119:20
an 8:2,5 9:16	76:5 88:3	135:1	appears 25:14	122:9,14,18,22
14:3 16:17,20	116:23 123:15	anyone 16:10,14	51:4 168:10	123:5,16
22:15,17 24:3	143:9 148:12	49:4 56:22	appellate 30:15	128:13 129:11
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
26:20 27:19	160:24 165:6	112:13 134:16	30:18 137:23	130:1,7,10,14
33:4 35:13	answered 26:7	148:2,8 150:8	applause 81:14	130:17,24
37:7,21 38:6	26:11 75:21	anything 11:7	81:15	131:8 132:5,9
44:10,11,12,13	answers 13:6	24:4 32:2,15	applicant 7:20	133:18,21
46:18 47:23	25:20 26:8,9	35:23 38:15	23:4 33:5 34:1	140:4 157:13
48:4 49:13	44:9 162:3,11	44:21 45:6,21	35:5 37:7	applications
51:8,17 55:7	any 7:23 11:12	55:9 56:22	41:23 43:2	103:23 104:3
56:5 62:3	13:7,16 15:11	57:2,7 64:9	59:3 83:23	104:23
67:21 68:10,17	20:9,16 21:22	67:13,17 69:11	99:13 115:20	apply 41:22 42:6
73:24 76:10	30:21 32:9,21	72:8,19 86:20	131:13 136:17	151:4,17
82:12 83:21	36:6,8,16 38:3	86:24 89:2	138:16 139:14	appointed
94:20,21 99:10	45:18,18 46:7	91:10,11 96:1	140:11,20	143:23
99:12 100:4,14	47:18 48:1	102:8 107:14	153:16	appreciate 30:7
100:17 101:11	52:12,15,22,23	113:23 114:9	applicant's	30:20 81:13
103:22 104:21	53:13 57:21	124:7,11	37:18 136:23	100:14 113:18
104:21 105:10	61:16,17,23	138:21 139:2	137:5 138:23	116:8 119:6
105:23 108:1	62:2 63:3,19	140:19 149:9	applicants 21:10	166:21
108:15 110:19	63:24 64:12	150:9,13	application 6:9	approach 48:5
111:16 112:14	69:20 70:1,4	163:22,24	13:9,19 16:5	141:7,12
114:14,19	71:4 75:24	166:23	17:8,16 18:1	appropriate
123:5 126:6,23	78:6 84:1	anyway 155:12	19:5 24:23	30:4,11 117:6
127:1,14,16,24	86:15 95:24	158:8	28:10,12 33:20	132:24 136:22
134:22 136:22	97:22 99:15	anywhere 23:20	33:22 34:1,7	137:6 138:23
138:16,23,23	111:8 113:21	apologize 67:16	34:11,17 35:2	139:6 143:16
139:5 141:3	119:8 128:24	106:2 158:13	35:13,20,22	159:12
143:9 145:10	130:4,17 132:8	apparently 25:1	39:5,15 40:10	appropriately
149:13 150:3	132:8,14,14,22	29:1 45:8	42:21 48:21	40:11
154:18 155:22	133:18,19	133:11 159:9	49:3,10 59:19	approval 19:6
161:22 165:6	135:3 137:2,13	appeal 31:18	59:23 60:6,17	34:6,7 37:16
analysis 137:3	138:20,22	53:7 92:22	60:19,24 61:21	41:20 42:5
137:16 139:5,8	140:3 143:3	appealable	63:10,21 64:22	63:10 67:22
and/or 6:23	145:10,18	22:11 30:18	65:14 66:19	122:22 128:13
Ann 82:3 88:14	146:9,15 147:6	appear 12:23	67:21 69:13,22	130:2
32.2 30.1 .	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	FF		
	1	1	1	

October 28, 2014

				rage 1/1
approve 19:4	86:12,13 87:2	51:18 75:20,23	32:18 41:4	<b>beats</b> 49:19
51:18	87:4 89:7 91:3	80:8,8 96:13	63:14 77:7	because 8:8
approved 99:14	91:6,14 93:5,8	107:6 111:24	92:9	13:13,24 14:7
approximately	arguably 160:21	114:17 115:3	Avenue 2:3	19:10 22:8
65:6 95:5	argue 92:23	128:11 134:14	avoids 118:15	26:12 27:12
119:23 144:12	121:11 163:19	135:1 155:17	aware 40:5	28:4,9 29:24
April 55:8	argument 37:24	157:22 160:13	48:21 54:20	31:15 38:14
are 6:6,21 7:23	108:2 151:7	162:2,5	60:11 87:15	39:3 43:2 44:9
8:2,11 9:12,16	152:13,15,18	asking 73:16,16	116:5 129:19	55:15 56:1
9:23 10:1	152:21 156:10	74:17 115:2	129:24 134:14	57:16 59:18
14:20 15:10,13	158:9	118:21 124:5	away 43:24	74:5 78:8
15:14,14 20:23	arguments	148:22 159:15	80:20 111:7	79:13,21 80:3
21:10,22,24	15:12 30:4	165:5,19	125:24 163:3	80:16,21 82:18
24:17 27:1,18	150:20	asks 141:24	awkward	85:16 87:19
29:18 30:15,18	around 23:6		106:20	90:1,13 91:9
30:22 36:9	35:7 57:17	aspects 63:18 assistant 121:5	100.20	96:13,22
37:1 42:4 43:4	68:1 71:12		B	101:21 104:8
48:16 50:9	76:16,19 90:18	assuming 128:22	<b>B</b> 5:1 48:15	101.21 104.8
54:16,18,20	120:11 155:16	attend 145:21	back 46:22 47:5	112:3 113:4
58:8 68:16	arrange 71:18	attendance	54:14 77:17,19	114:22 118:16
72:14 73:9,10	arranged 135:22	62:12 82:17	78:10 79:21	121:2 125:24
73:16 74:11,16	136:2	attention 99:5	83:16 92:5	133:13 139:13
75:3 78:16		125:23 126:13	93:15 113:3	144:14 147:18
79:8,14,16,17	arrangements 57:10	126:19 127:5	130:24 131:2	148:14,16
80:9 82:15	arrive 65:9	attorney 12:18	159:17	151:22 153:10
86:13 89:17	articulated	26:4,19 28:12	backing 73:22	156:15 157:6
92:6,10 93:5	161:16	49:14,16,19	78:5	158:9 161:4,17
93:14 94:4	ask 7:3 9:18,24	51:8 56:5 58:4	backwards	163:3 164:8
95:11 103:3	29:8,9 30:8	58:7 63:4 64:5	127:10	become 48:20
107:20,22,23	38:2 45:11	68:10,17 89:22	<b>bad</b> 85:11	been 8:18 11:12
108:21 110:20	46:12 47:9	90:13,15,24	banker 67:15	12:11 22:20
110:21 114:8	61:15 66:16	91:13 94:20,22	banker's 65:15	25:24 29:17
114:10 123:14	67:13 72:16	95:1 110:24	66:20 67:16	35:15 38:19
123:14 126:16	73:23 88:24	118:19 154:4	131:12	40:18,20 44:8
142:1 150:4	91:13 98:11	161:11	barrier 42:22,24	44:10,18 46:5
151:1,2,3,5	100:3,17	attorneys 76:23	43:3 155:22	47:21 48:10
151:1,2,3,3	100.3,17	audience 75:22	base 39:21,21	49:22 56:3
160:21 162:17	106:21 115:17	audie 168:9	based 55:15	57:21 58:18
163:23 165:1	116:1 147:12	August 19:2,14	107:17 161:19	61:9,14 62:2
166:5	148:2,8,8	19:21	basically 138:2	73:2 84:17
area 33:14,16,16	161:4 163:20	authority	138:16 139:20	87:9,16 94:11
33:21 34:4,5	165:11	160:17	Basics 108:14	94:21 96:11
36:19 49:17	asked 12:24	authorized	basis 55:18	97:4 98:8,9,15
55:1 76:10,12	27:11,14 42:20	118:13	106:24 131:10	99:15,20
81:22 85:9	47:7 49:15	available 12:22	131:18 154:10	100:13 102:11
01.22 03.7	17.7 77.13	u v allable 12.22		100.15 102.11
	I		<u>I</u>	I

October 28, 2014

				rage 172
103:11 108:6	116:2 130:20	65:15 66:19	166:11	<b>build</b> 75:23
110:6,24	131:11 132:4	67:16 131:13	<b>Board's</b> 6:18,20	building 61:3
111:17 112:4	136:15	<b>bit</b> 39:11 64:18	6:24 7:1 30:18	68:1,8 77:2
112:14 113:3	believe 40:4	81:6 153:9	37:16 150:21	<b>bulk</b> 36:24
114:22 115:8	41:10 53:6	<b>black</b> 18:11	150:24	<b>Bunkum</b> 54:13
116:15 117:24	55:3,15 62:13	62:14 89:9,11	<b>boards</b> 77:15,19	76:10,11 78:6
118:23 122:15	62:16,17,18	90:1 102:22	<b>body</b> 143:13	78:7,10 79:22
124:20 132:6	66:21 67:5	108:24 154:21	<b>both</b> 71:10	84:14,16,22
147:17 154:7	84:20 92:13	155:5,14	126:16 132:19	87:18 124:9
156:16,19	102:19,22	<b>Bleyer</b> 26:18	158:7 163:10	153:23 154:2
164:20	104:6 106:5	102:23 104:13	164:13	burden 153:7
before 1:19	110:14 112:2	109:14,17	boundary 35:8	buses 78:14,14
14:15 15:6,11	115:5 118:22	111:18,23	box 60:22 65:15	78:17,18
15:16 18:15,21	135:11 137:17	112:7 121:22	67:16 131:12	business 84:5
20:6,6 24:2,24	140:6,7,16,22	123:3 126:4,7	148:23	90:23 92:10
25:4 26:4 28:5	144:5 146:14	126:24 127:2,6	boxes 66:20	149:7
30:23 31:1,13	153:20 155:1,3	127:14 128:2	67:15 68:2,3,5	businesses 85:5
33:3 34:20	believed 109:20	128:19 150:5	<b>brand</b> 154:5	90:15
41:12,22 52:5	believes 51:13	<b>Bli-</b> 26:18	break 47:1 92:1	
61:10 64:1	Belleville 2:12	<b>board</b> 1:1,6,13	93:11	C
80:2,24 81:11	2:21 59:13,16	1:20,21 2:23	<b>Brian</b> 2:20 7:16	C 2:1 5:6 17:7
82:16 96:23	60:4	6:12 7:17,18	165:4	17:10 48:12
141:24 142:2	<b>belong</b> 118:11	8:11,12,13	Brickey 3:3	52:16 58:20
158:23 163:24	below 13:24	9:18 10:11,18	168:5,20	64:15 71:6
165:5	benefits 112:9	18:15 19:3	<b>brief</b> 30:3	94:13 119:17
beginning 6:6	besides 123:15	21:1,17 22:8	152:15 153:5	132:1 147:9
7:5 41:1 61:20	best 51:14 96:19	22:11 23:19	153:12 158:9	calculations
behalf 2:5,13,18	136:7 146:2	24:1,24 27:18	163:20 166:5	41:6
2:22 7:12,14	152:5	30:11,15 31:3	briefing 13:24	call 16:13 42:22
7:16,20 10:10	<b>better</b> 104:18	31:14,19 33:3	briefly 37:23	44:15 45:23
20:5,15 22:1	129:8	34:21 35:11,15	<b>briefs</b> 37:8,10	47:7 51:8 52:5
81:18 136:16	between 46:11	37:5,12,13	43:10,11 45:4	56:5 57:12
160:11 161:13	99:18 101:24	38:18 39:18	151:1,2,3	93:23 144:21
166:4	103:11 109:22	40:3 42:12	164:4,19 165:6	152:4,5,6
behind 61:4	119:20	51:18 53:8	166:1,19	called 37:2
67:9,18	beyond 51:2	55:21 58:4	<b>bring</b> 21:16	42:11 46:8
<b>being</b> 12:7,12	52:22 142:3	61:18,23 62:18	24:18 30:2,12	48:10 58:18
23:23 45:10	144:17,19	63:14 75:20	72:14 75:18	94:11 96:24
60:15 74:2	162:7	76:8 77:13	89:10 91:12,13	99:12 112:6
77:20 79:8	<b>big</b> 56:7	78:10 83:20	154:17 155:13	142:11
80:5 86:12	biggest 54:2	90:7 100:12	bringing 90:1	called-out 34:9
103:4 114:10	<b>bill</b> 96:8	108:2 137:24	brought 35:22	calls 96:12,16,18
122:9 135:17	<b>bills</b> 12:19 96:13	142:24 143:12	40:2,11 42:19	came 29:13 40:1
155:13 161:22	155:23	144:1 146:5	86:12 103:24	41:9 42:11
<b>belief</b> 113:14	binders 60:21	152:2 162:17	104:1 126:13	66:22 67:14

October 28, 2014

1				Page 1/3
68:1 75:15	Carbondale	64:21 65:1,4	change 14:15	135:14,17
77:1 80:7,24	102:24	70:14,22 75:17	changed 76:15	136:8 148:24
87:16 89:14	cardboard	76:8,11 80:4	83:9	161:17 166:5
90:5,6,22	60:21	80:17,18 83:9	characterize	City's 22:3
93:17 112:17	care 86:19	83:19 84:12	118:15	45:10
117:6 121:4		85:15,17 89:5	Charles 88:10	claim 13:20
122:2 126:13	Carol 1:19 6:2 Carrie 8:12	7	check 24:14,16	
155:15 157:12		89:17,20 90:7	· /	38:20 61:13
160:24	carried 61:2,3,5	90:8,16,17,19 91:20 92:11	24:18 30:10	73:20 74:5
can 9:6 10:17,18	carry 60:24 case 6:7,13 12:6		31:5 81:11 112:13 116:14	100:10 153:17
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	93:18 95:1,8		claiming 41:8
10:19,19 15:17	23:10,11 24:16	107:15 122:21	134:15 156:16	100:9 117:18
15:20,20 16:1	36:15 41:17	124:13,15,16	164:11	claims 48:2
21:11,16 22:23	43:11,13 44:22	128:14 129:1	checked 113:2	61:11 100:7
29:3,4 30:2,3	45:19 52:24	130:6 132:5	117:2	Clair 6:10 30:13
31:18 33:15	92:9 93:21	133:20	checking 81:7	33:17 40:22
42:18 44:2,11	96:4 102:4	<b>Caseyville's</b> 6:7 84:11	Chicago 2:4,9	54:20 71:16
47:9 48:14	136:23 137:5		59:19 89:9	135:24
49:23 50:20	137:16 138:13	cast 81:11	91:6 121:3	clarify 16:15
51:5 57:15	138:17,19,20	catch 80:1 86:21	168:22	151:8,17
59:11 61:19	138:23 139:6	cause 1:18 71:14	Chicago's 21:17	Clark 2:2,8
69:15 78:19,20	139:15 140:5	80:16	chief 48:17 51:7	clean 79:16,17
85:21 102:20	140:11,17,21	center 83:13	51:18 56:3	84:24
108:1 109:4	140:23 141:1	146:6	153:13	clear 6:15 43:16
112:8 116:7,23	164:6	centrum 153:14	<b>choose</b> 33:15	115:8 147:18
118:14,17	cases 121:13	certain 71:11	<b>chose</b> 33:16	160:4
125:4,6,17,18	137:13,15	159:10	circulation	clearly 138:2
126:4 127:20	139:1 164:20	certainly 11:17	59:22 60:2	clerk 6:24 8:13
143:19 144:19	166:12	30:2 39:1,7	71:11,16	8:14,20 9:4,5
146:23 149:16	Caseyville 1:5,6	40:10 45:11	135:24	20:13,14 36:2
152:9,10,11	1:13,14,21	92:21 102:7	cite 26:11	67:6 112:16
162:18,22	2:18,23,23 6:4	111:13 121:16	citizens 141:19	118:12 129:1
164:20 166:3	6:5,8,9 7:17,18	121:20 123:7	154:13	132:19 133:3
166:17	7:20 12:1 16:6	143:16,18	city 1:9 2:14 6:4	134:24 135:3
can't 51:2 83:19	16:12 17:6,14	153:10	7:12,15 24:15	clerk's 132:13
87:21 98:22	17:23 18:20	certificate 20:4	26:4 28:10	132:21 134:15
115:19 124:6	19:4,4 33:5,14	certificates	37:24 40:6,9	client 102:2
133:12 158:7	33:17,21 34:2	97:18	40:11 45:1,6	141:2 157:19
159:17	34:10 35:2,19	certified 9:10	46:12 47:8,12	165:17
cannot 57:10	36:13,14,19,20	70:5 71:20	47:17 48:3,19	close 158:23
80:3 163:13	37:14 40:24	136:3 168:5	49:5 50:10,23	163:24
Canteen 75:15	47:7,23 48:23	certify 168:6	51:17 52:19	closely 49:7
76:12 77:23	51:10,11 54:12	<b>chair</b> 94:1	54:7,11 55:19	closing 150:19
81:24 84:14	58:4,7 59:20	chambers 83:14	56:6 71:4 72:1	151:7,13
86:10	60:17 61:23	chance 75:24	72:4 81:1,2,18	152:13,15,18
capacity 144:15	62:16,22 63:9	77:4,5	81:23 110:24	152:21 156:10
	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	

October 28, 2014

				1 age 174
<b>cloud</b> 21:15	<b>common</b> 138:21	concerned 26:22	39:20 76:9	controversy
Club 137:23	communicate	53:15 97:12	84:12,16,21,23	11:13
co-counsel 150:7	120:2	134:1	85:2,5,7,10,14	conversation
color 18:8,13,15	communicated	concerning	85:16 149:11	12:20 52:1
107:11 108:21	118:20 119:22	13:17 23:9,23	considered	64:4 97:23
108:21	communicating	34:17 52:1	141:1 148:16	98:3 112:11,17
combine 166:1	82:8	79:12 128:12	162:9,13 163:5	113:8,10 118:4
come 21:5,5	communication	concerns 36:10	considering	134:5
42:17 75:11	13:10,12,17	39:9	55:21 153:22	conversations
77:4,5 79:4,15	44:8 61:16	conclude 167:3	consist 60:19	63:7,19,24
79:20,21 80:8	62:2 100:11	concluded 131:9	consisted 60:20	95:24 97:6,14
83:12 85:22	communicatio	conclusion	consisting 65:14	101:12
88:12 89:3,4,7	61:14,23 63:16	117:7 138:15	consolidate	Cook 168:3,14
89:9 91:2	99:12,16	138:18 139:14	166:11	coordinate
111:2,4 113:19	101:23 120:24	139:22	consolidated	63:11
130:10 133:19	communities	conclusions	164:6,16,21	copies 41:9
146:19 156:20	89:1	143:4	166:15,18	102:8 125:17
comes 44:3	community	concur 56:11	consolidates	125:24
92:10	17:16 42:15	concurred	166:11	copy 9:10 10:20
comfortable	76:9,22 77:24	139:21	constraints	10:22 15:18
145:4	78:1 82:18	condition 84:17	161:22	18:8,12,13,15
coming 32:19	83:13 84:13	87:18 93:7	constructed	18:18 19:1,13
54:14 80:2	88:19 89:9	conditioned	54:9	20:3,12 50:21
85:3 87:4,20	90:2 146:6	149:20	contact 63:3	66:7,18 70:1
87:22 88:4	155:12 163:6	conditions 42:2	contacted 49:2,5	77:14 105:23
90:17 110:23	company 56:7	85:11 88:23	52:4 121:17,18	126:6 127:1,16
commence	59:2 60:10	conduct 6:14	contacts 96:14	127:24 128:3
144:4	82:14 99:23	137:12	100:13 121:9	core 36:9
comment 7:2	comparison	conducted 6:19	contain 35:10	corner 125:16
20:15 22:10	104:11 109:7	83:9 97:10	contain 33.10	correct 9:14
37:2,19 73:7,9	104.11 109.7	136:12	60:21	10:11,15 11:5
75:8 81:16				21:2 26:21
84:8 88:11	compatibility 93:4	conducting 133:17	contemplated 123:4	
92:8 93:17				33:6 64:19,23
	<b>competitors</b> 42:23 155:23	confirm 126:5	contempt 30:11 31:3 156:22	65:13,17 66:18
141:17 150:22		confused 22:24		67:3,7 68:4,8
150:23 151:13	<b>complete</b> 24:23	46:22	contention	68:12 69:2,6,9
156:6 158:18	168:9	confusing 22:20	104:12	70:10 71:1
commented	compliance 31:8	consensus 146:7	continuation	95:13 96:6,15
49:11	31:13	consequences	124:21	101:14,16
comments 6:22	comply 121:4,5	35:24	Control 1:1,20	109:3 115:13
6:23 22:8	compressed	consider 23:14	6:12,24 21:17	120:6 122:10
42:10 84:9	12:7 14:21	30:10 39:17	23:18 24:1	122:24 123:8
96:14 153:10	concern 13:18	55:21,24	33:3 35:11	126:10,15,17
committee 18:20	13:21 54:2	123:18 163:13	37:12 105:12	126:18,18
105:11 143:1	79:7	consideration	108:15 152:2	127:1,15,24
	l		l	

October 28, 2014

128:3 129:12	county 6:10	147:12 148:3	<b>dealt</b> 44:8	69:12,17 70:7
131:20 132:6,7	30:13 33:16	crowd 42:12,13	December 6:11	Democrat 60:4
132:10,11	40:23 54:4,21	87:16	110:6	denial 93:8
134:3,4 135:11	71:16 135:24	crowded 82:3	decide 29:15	denied 92:16
136:18,19,24	137:24 153:24	CSR 3:3,4	162:18	147:13 148:3,9
137:1 138:16	168:3,14	168:20,23	decided 36:15	deny 22:7,12
139:13 141:9	<b>couple</b> 47:13	currently 48:16	38:18 42:14	31:18 92:11
141:10,15,21	83:10 103:7,9	68:13,14,17	74:10 146:7	denying 156:24
142:20,21	111:9 159:1		149:11	<b>Department</b>
144:8,9 149:3	course 9:23 12:9	<b>D</b>	deciding 115:20	54:21
149:7 150:4	45:5,21 87:10	<b>D</b> 4:1 5:7 17:15	decision 6:7,10	depends 114:7,7
154:20 168:9	102:23 147:18	17:19 48:12	6:13 37:4,13	123:24 124:2
corrected 20:4	149:6 153:5	58:20 94:13	37:19 39:22	130:8
143:15	court 11:21	147:9	43:14 61:22	depose 159:18
could 11:20	30:19 48:7	damage 78:4	84:11,13 92:22	162:1
25:11 27:14	58:15 94:7	date 13:19 20:7	99:18 131:10	deposed 39:19
36:4 43:2	95:16 105:2	35:10,12 61:21	137:22 138:2	117:13
53:11 63:12	137:23 158:6	61:22 63:12	138:14 146:12	deposeth 48:11
75:24 77:1,20	courtroom	76:14 83:9	146:16	58:19 94:12
86:21 94:15	39:13	101:13 111:17	Decision-Mak	deposition 9:13
96:17 102:10	cover 16:5 20:4	127:2,17 129:4	18:2	12:24 27:12,21
103:8,10	60:13 65:15	129:7,10,20,24	decisionmaker	39:1 40:18
104:17,18	66:18 67:17	132:14,21	99:19,20	157:19 159:2
106:15 109:21	69:9,12,15	133:19 151:6	<b>decisions</b> 30:15	160:14 162:13
111:8 120:21	70:1 131:6,12	159:17	30:18 143:4	depositions 9:24
121:5 134:15	creating 42:24	dated 50:9,24	decreased 86:14	10:5 26:2,5
138:16 144:6	56:6 155:22	60:14 117:5	defective 35:14	69:5 153:18
144:10 145:9	criteria 33:7	128:1 131:7	define 130:8	160:3,4 162:15
146:3,18	38:19 39:7,15	<b>Davis</b> 8:13	definitely 39:9	depressed 88:19
147:17 166:2	39:17,20 53:14	day 1:22 26:1,4	61:2 77:21	89:1
couldn't 77:16	53:16 63:21	27:12,14 35:12	153:3	<b>deputy</b> 8:14
77:21 82:4	64:1 98:1,4,6	37:2 40:7,7,8	definitively	20:13 67:5
83:11 122:15	136:18 153:22	42:11 53:14	166:4	112:16
137:13 144:14	159:11 163:13	59:23 60:11	deliberative	describe 144:7
145:14,15	163:14	73:23 81:20	153:17 159:3	144:10
council 49:6	criterias 154:9	112:18 129:5	159:16,20	described
76:15 83:14	cross 114:8	168:14	160:1,12 161:3	141:19
110:10	119:8,12	days 23:24	161:6,19	desirable 137:18
counsel 23:3	cross-examina	25:21,22,23	162:11	desire 27:23
27:4 105:4	4:5,10,11,16	41:12	deliver 157:13	desh 6 27.23 desk 40:21 41:3
151:15 161:17	4:17 36:21	deadline 6:10	delivered 40:7	despite 138:14
count 120:12	39:11 142:12	150:22	60:6,18 69:19	details 52:1
count 120.12 counted 121:10	147:20	deal 104:18	69:22 131:13	determination
counter 67:10	cross-examine	109:21 153:4	148:24	115:14 116:18
counties 126:16	45:17 138:9	166:8	delivering 60:16	118:19,21
Counties 120.10	75.17 150.7		denvering 00.10	110.17,21
L	ı	1	ı	ı

October 28, 2014

				Page 1/6
120.12 17 20	1: 7.22	(0.5 (2.11	102-2	121.0 12 14 15
128:12,16,20	discuss 7:23	60:5 62:11	102:2	121:8,13,14,15
129:23 130:22	30:23 37:8	66:1 68:23	does 16:10,17,19	129:15 132:17
131:19	52:18 53:13	70:4 72:19	38:23 40:24	133:13 138:12
<b>determine</b> 63:12	discussed 18:21	74:10 80:22	41:3 44:24	138:19 140:6
64:5 113:11	46:21 47:6	83:2 85:24	45:21 51:3	140:15,16,18
134:9,16	53:21 116:14	88:17 90:8,8	55:16 56:22	142:5 143:22
determined	122:15,24	92:22 93:8	71:3 102:5	146:20 147:1
118:22 136:21	123:17,23	94:18,18 95:11	151:16 161:10	149:1,1,13
deviation 35:12	discussing 127:7	96:5 100:3,10	161:11 162:23	150:1 152:1
didn't 27:24	discussion 12:13	101:2,4 105:22	164:22 165:4,5	154:14 155:6
28:3 39:12,16	49:11 52:8	105:24 107:6	doesn't 16:14	155:15 156:17
41:17 45:16	53:23 103:18	110:12 111:7	23:17,18,19	159:4 161:4
74:6,8 80:2,13	109:15 111:16	113:6,23	26:10 39:13,16	<b>Donald</b> 2:7 7:11
80:14 85:5,15	111:22 117:15	114:13 120:10	41:12 85:16	done 75:19 78:2
102:7 104:23	134:8 135:16	121:13 124:17	100:5 108:2	91:21 97:20
107:17 108:20	136:10 139:4	130:4 135:16	118:10 164:18	99:20 127:22
112:2,3 113:5	140:3 145:18	137:21 138:8	165:17	door 61:4
117:20 120:12	145:23 146:1,4	138:13 140:7	<b>doing</b> 31:16	130:11 146:6
133:11 134:17	discussions	140:15 144:20	40:23 73:9	down 12:1 29:14
137:13,16	118:9 133:24	146:15,21	80:12 114:8	40:1 59:18
138:20 139:2,7	139:24	147:2 150:13	121:12 149:12	61:19 75:14,17
140:13,13	disease 86:20	150:15,19	150:16 163:1	77:2 78:6,9,16
154:17 156:18	disk 21:18	152:11 156:16	dollars 89:20	79:3,8,11,14
157:16	dismiss 34:16,19	161:11 163:20	90:17	79:24 80:15
different 141:14	46:16	164:5,21 165:5	<b>Don</b> 51:9 52:4,5	81:2,5,6 86:15
diminishing	disobeyed 30:10	165:11,15,19	52:8 147:11	87:3,18 89:13
36:5	disobeying	166:14 168:6	148:1,7	89:23 90:9,10
direct 4:4,9,15	24:13 31:3	dockets 164:13	don't 10:12 11:3	90:15,23 91:11
144:17	distance 35:7	document 8:4	11:23 14:17	91:14,15
directed 22:17	district 78:9	9:7 11:4 15:7	15:5 19:21	125:19 155:11
direction 154:2	85:4 137:22	17:1,9,18 18:3	21:7 22:4	dozen 119:24
168:12	139:1	23:8,16 50:1,4	25:18 29:2,8	draft 105:14
director's 40:21	districts 78:15	50:21 57:23,24	29:24 30:1,22	106:8 112:9
directors 41:2	<b>Ditch</b> 85:6	59:7 65:24	52:12 53:6,6	124:14
disagree 31:6,8	<b>do</b> 8:5 9:3 10:4	66:10 70:11,14	55:9 56:16	drainage 79:11
101:7	10:20 12:16	70:17,21 71:1	57:13,13 61:3	79:12
disclosure 13:11	14:4,4,4,12	105:5 108:7,10	64:8,12 65:8	<b>drive</b> 54:10,10
discovered	15:6 25:3,22	116:2 125:9	72:11 78:10	54:11 65:1
21:13	29:3 30:10,12	132:14 149:14	81:15 82:17,22	78:6 80:15
discovery 13:7	32:9,13 40:10	documents	86:24 87:24	driven 59:18
23:10,10 24:19	40:14 41:5	12:17 15:17	88:2,3,19 89:2	driver 82:13
25:20,20,23	44:20 49:15	21:9 22:21	90:15,23 91:10	driveways 78:5
26:8,9,11,22	50:6 52:15	23:3 30:1	91:11 112:17	78:11
38:14 44:9	54:13 56:11	36:24 60:15	113:20 115:4	driving 11:24
47:21 161:19	57:2,7 59:2,4	101:22,23	120:1,3 121:2	dropped 61:14

October 28, 2014

				Page 177
<b>drove</b> 64:21	102:12,17	158:17 163:22	entry 42:22,24	34:14,18,22
77:2	120:4,10	163:24 166:24	43:3 155:22	35:1,17,20
<b>Du</b> 51:12	125:15	else's 98:22	environmental	37:6,6,15
duces 12:18 13:5	each 16:2 39:7	emergency	33:8 35:9 42:1	39:14,16,22
22:16 23:7,13	79:10,10	22:16 25:5	42:3 49:13,16	40:13,14 41:14
31:10 57:23	120:24 164:19	employ 95:7	EPA 18:1 34:8	41:15 43:21
96:4 101:11	165:21	employed 48:16	41:21,22 42:6	44:2,6 45:18
102:4 158:2	early 65:12	employee 22:17	especially 55:1	56:21 74:3,23
due 7:2 37:18	83:17	26:20 61:5	86:21 139:1	117:24 138:7
83:18 85:11	easier 16:11	119:21	essentially 163:1	138:11 149:20
97:8 137:12,19	easily 54:14	employment	establish 99:16	153:6,19
138:4 150:20	102:11	82:12	establishing	159:11 163:14
151:1,2,3,5,6	East 2:11 78:15	enact 103:22	102:12 105:10	evidentiary
152:16 161:21	81:1,2 88:20	104:20 112:8	estimate 145:10	163:11
161:22	88:21 89:3	enclosed 20:14	evaluation 137:8	Evidently 91:1
duly 48:10 58:18	91:9 154:23	end 9:18 49:20	evaluation 137.8 even 13:7 25:19	ex 13:10,11,17
94:11	economics 42:22	49:21 76:10	26:6 35:12	44:8 61:14
dump 79:4	educate 104:8	160:6,9,14	64:1 75:21	99:11 100:10
dumping 154:23	effect 67:20,23	ended 12:12	76:18 80:12	exact 142:1
during 9:23 12:9	76:9 78:7	ended 12.12 enforced 42:4	82:4 99:16	exact 142.1 exactly 38:17
43:5 93:17			122:14 147:15	•
	112:20 164:22	engineer 41:17		57:18 115:23 146:23
94:24 99:17	effected 55:19	engineered 36:17	<b>evening</b> 59:14 79:2 81:21	
113:8 140:1 141:21 147:18	effective 46:18		ever 26:2 49:2	exaggerate 84:7 Examination
	<b>eight</b> 11:11 12:4 12:13 25:22	engineers 42:7 enough 52:10		
153:20	29:13	145:9	80:11 97:22 112:21 129:14	4:4,9,15,18 examine 45:11
<b>Dustin</b> 18:11				
E	either 38:3 68:1	ensure 42:2	129:16	examined
E 2:1,1 4:1 5:1,8	71:19 112:3,18	enter 67:2	every 24:15 49:20 64:3	161:20
17:24 18:4	136:3 141:1	entered 23:22 62:21		example 120:20
48:12,12 52:16	election 55:2,6,7		everybody 14:3	123:19,22 153:12
58:20,20 64:15	electronic 151:5	entire 9:3 10:22	80:23 88:21,22 89:15 90:18	
71:6 94:13,13	152:1	10:23 41:9,11		except 75:21
119:17 132:1	electronically	77:11 82:6	91:10,17 140:23 141:3	exception
147:9,9,9	8:17,20 9:9	108:19 156:4		151:14
e-mail 9:5 16:21	10:18 15:21	entities 71:12,20	everyone 41:19	exceptions 9:16
20:13 27:19	Ellyn 65:2,4	97:16 107:19	54:12 74:11	excess 165:11
126:2,5,6,11	eloquent 147:22	134:9,13,19	82:14,18 86:23	exclude 22:4,8
126:23 127:1,6	else 32:2,16	135:13,20	87:13 88:6	22:12 Evaluad 16:2
120.23 127.1,0	35:23 44:21 55:0 56:22	136:5	everything 79:3	Excluded 16:3
128:1,3 152:2	55:9 56:22 57:7 64:0	entitled 109:7	162:16 164:12	excuse 8:13
152:11	57:7 64:9	118:24 137:23	evidence 13:16	33:19
e-mailed 8:15	77:13 89:15	entity 99:12	13:16,17,20,22	executed 110:3
e-mails 41:8	101:17 134:16	102:3	13:23 15:1	exhibit 5:5,6,7,8
101:10 102:9	135:1 138:21	entrepreneur	24:5,6 27:2	5:9,10,11,12
101.10 102.7	146:1 148:2,8	84:2	28:14 33:1,6	5:13,14,15 8:5
		<u> </u>		

# Electronic Filing - Received, Clerk's Office: 10/31/2014 October 28, 2014

				Page 178
16.10.20.20	105 22 107 7	50.22.51.17	1100410100	1 25 2 20 40 10
16:18,20,20	105:23 107:7	50:23 51:17	118:24 121:22	35:2,20 40:10
17:2,7,10,15	107:12	52:20 53:15	121:23 122:10	40:13 41:14,15
17:19,24 18:4	extras 107:9	54:2,7,11	122:13 126:3	43:11 46:9,14
49:23 50:2,13	extremely	55:19 56:20	126:24 127:5	46:16 48:2
59:6,8 65:22	104:21	71:3,24 72:4	127:17 128:1	98:12,20
66:9,11,15,17	eyes 146:24	81:18,23	128:15 130:5	112:21 113:3,6
69:8 73:19	F	135:14,17	130:16 131:7	116:2,15 117:7
74:2,14 75:2,2	facade 56:6	136:8 161:17	131:17 132:3	117:10 118:23
75:3 105:3,6	face 23:13,20	166:4	132:10 149:5	119:21 122:23
105:16,20	facility 35:7	Fairmont's	157:13,15	123:17 128:14
106:22 108:6,6	55:20 71:12	46:17	federal 95:15,17	131:16,17
108:8,11,14,20	75:16,23 97:24	fairness 38:13	96:1	132:6 133:18
108:24 109:1,4	105:12 108:15	43:7,9 44:6	fee 42:21 104:22	150:23
109:12,13		48:1 58:9 98:8	106:12,12	files 97:17
114:9 125:7,10	fact 12:4,11 13:18 14:10	100:9 114:23	feel 87:1 156:18	filing 10:10 20:5
125:14 126:20	38:21 39:19	114:24 115:1	166:14	35:10 56:8
127:12,21,24	42:4 43:11,13	138:1,4,5	feels 86:22	70:4,8,15,23
128:18,23	52:4 55:14	156:23	fees 54:5	99:18 104:23
149:13,16	72:3 73:23,24	Fairview 2:16	feet 35:7	106:12,12
Exhibit's 72:12	91:18 105:14	91:4	fell 115:10,11	112:10 123:4
72:13 114:10	107:17 118:9	false 157:3,8,10	few 78:17 97:14	129:20,24
150:4	137:6 142:18	familiar 88:21	100:3,17	131:8 152:1
exhibits 8:16	143:4 153:24	88:22	145:15 150:19	filings 151:5
10:1 18:9	156:19 163:3	far 38:15,20	Fifth 139:1	<b>final</b> 6:13 121:6
42:16 72:17	facts 26:17	40:9 43:24	<b>figure</b> 38:14	135:9
73:10,17,19	43:24	49:9 127:7	165:10	financial 104:24
109:12	failed 84:12	fashion 118:23	file 8:19 10:20	find 25:2 49:15
exist 84:7	failure 34:24	139:11 141:14	20:24 23:21	129:16 137:13
existed 12:4	fair 52:10 54:1	faster 73:3	28:10 31:14,14	137:13 161:2
26:7 29:10	64:3 70:12,21	favor 82:12	36:2 45:3	findings 143:4
exiting 84:22	76:14 77:6	fax 152:11	59:19,22 60:12	fine 68:6 116:3
expecting 92:6	80:5 95:10,14	February 11:15	64:21 67:21	finish 11:20
expedient	119:19 122:11	14:23 25:18	73:21,23 74:8	finishing 115:6
144:22	129:20,22	35:3,21 40:2,6	82:15 98:5,13	FIRM 2:15
experience 69:4	138:24 139:23	40:14 41:10	98:18 112:5	first 9:7 24:20
69:7 84:4	143:5 145:9	59:14,16 60:6	118:13 122:9	26:2,6 27:12
expert 154:16	148:24	60:11,14,16	129:1,3 132:21	27:22 41:21
explain 26:14	Fairmont 1:9	61:21 64:20	133:19 164:9	43:6 44:15
28:7 56:3	2:14 6:3 7:12	65:1 70:6,15	165:2,6,18	48:10,20 49:24
118:4	7:14 22:3	70:23 73:21	166:9	50:17,20 51:5
explained 104:5	37:24 44:24	98:14,19 101:4	<b>filed</b> 9:4 10:18	58:18 75:12
explanation	45:6,10 46:11	101:6 106:8	13:19,20 15:3	82:23 83:8
12:20	47:8,12,17	112:21 113:9	15:15 16:3	87:15 88:18
extent 163:9	48:3,19 50:10	113:12,15	20:6,7 22:2,15	89:3,11 92:10
extra 78:3	10.5,17 50.10	114:21 117:5	23:3,21,23	94:11 154:21
	<u> </u>	I	I	<u> </u>

October 28, 2014

				Page 1/9
155 2 5 150 0	21.5 ( 12.22 0	(1.12.12.00.0	104 20 100 21	60.2
155:3,5 159:8	21:5,6,13 22:9	61:12,13 98:8	104:20 109:21	68:2
<b>firsthand</b> 118:6	26:18,18 33:23	100:9 114:23	112:9,21	Glen 65:2,3
five 25:21 69:4	34:8 35:15,18	114:24 115:1	140:13 141:2,3	<b>go</b> 10:17 16:23
76:4 79:23	36:1 39:8	137:24 138:3,5	144:14 145:11	26:16 43:2
95:22,22,23	40:17 43:10,24	156:23	145:12 147:13	46:20,23 53:1
118:16 120:11	47:11,17 49:17	fundamentally	148:3,9 155:9	66:23 74:10
120:13,15,19	51:8 52:5	37:3,18 41:13	159:9	75:2 76:6
125:16,23	54:18,18 56:5	<b>funds</b> 54:21	gets 47:10 87:20	78:17 79:9,9
126:2	56:21 59:12,18	153:24	118:3	80:3 83:7 84:4
<b>fliers</b> 76:14	62:5 63:13	further 37:8	getting 27:4	87:3 88:18,22
<b>flood</b> 85:6	65:4 69:21	57:2 72:7,9,19	42:7 49:12	88:24 89:3,13
<b>floors</b> 79:3,7	70:14,22 74:19	81:6 113:21	80:9 97:20	89:13 91:24
<b>fly</b> 79:15	76:5 77:17	131:23 147:4	109:21 111:6,6	92:5 93:2,18
<b>follow</b> 23:17	80:18 83:7,11	149:10 150:9	Gilbert 4:13	99:11 100:21
104:24 129:16	89:7,24 90:10	150:13 167:1	12:19,22 13:3	106:15 114:14
following 47:2	90:10 91:2,16		32:18 40:4,16	119:16 125:5
92:2 93:12	96:12 97:10	GWOAS	42:18 45:8,9	128:9 133:13
<b>follows</b> 48:11	99:7 102:24,24	<b>G.W</b> 94:5	45:12 57:9,22	142:16 145:2
58:19 94:12	104:23 111:7	<b>G1</b> 19:17,19	63:4,7,11,20	147:2 154:1
<b>force</b> 143:8	116:19 126:4,6	20:2	64:4 72:22,24	157:3 159:17
<b>forced</b> 36:19	126:13,23	<b>G30</b> 19:19 20:2	93:23,24 94:3	162:10 164:9
foregoing 168:8	127:14,16	garbage 54:9	94:6,10,17,18	God 39:1 40:22
form 23:17,19	128:2 130:14	155:23	101:24 102:10	goes 79:22
31:13 51:4	133:19 137:22	<b>gauge</b> 161:18	116:11 119:19	<b>going</b> 12:6 13:18
120:2 133:2	145:15 146:17	gave 37:1 42:10	132:3 147:11	13:21 14:1,18
former 58:7	151:15 153:12	57:11 68:1	150:9,11,17	14:22 22:7,12
<b>forum</b> 102:11	154:13 155:11	96:8 125:24	157:14	27:17 29:9,23
forward 43:2	163:3 166:8	159:1	<b>Gilbert's</b> 157:14	31:18 34:15
51:17 75:19	168:10	gee 41:11 156:14	<b>gist</b> 96:17	39:24 44:17
78:11 153:24	front 94:2	general 37:1	give 29:6 37:19	52:22,24 59:5
Foundation	frustration	59:22 60:2	38:20 39:24	59:22 60:12
117:11	83:18	62:6 71:10,16	41:23 53:4	65:18,24 74:4
four 12:4,14	<b>full</b> 13:10 48:14	135:24	66:15 75:15	74:24 76:3
29:15 60:20	100:12 135:8	gentleman 75:22	93:17 97:16	78:7 79:9,9,14
65:15 66:19	144:13 145:5	get 15:5,5,11	101:11 107:11	79:16,17,18
67:16 69:4	fully 51:24	25:22 27:5,6	145:10 149:16	80:1,2 81:22
76:4 77:8,9,10	129:19,23	41:20 42:5,17	152:6	82:9,22 83:7
105:18 125:23	fumbling 43:5	43:7 49:2	given 23:9 54:21	84:18 85:3
126:2,21	fundamental	51:11 53:8	97:4	86:13,14,16,17
131:12	13:21 24:7	57:15 69:20,24	gives 126:14	86:18 87:2,21
<b>frankly</b> 114:21	33:1 34:14	73:3 75:24	142:2	87:23 88:2,5
Friday 12:2 23:5	36:3,9,11	77:1,21 82:5	<b>giving</b> 75:10	88:16 89:17,19
128:1	38:13,16 40:19	83:11 89:17,19	121:4	89:20 91:3,4,5
<b>from</b> 16:3,6 18:9	41:8 43:3,7,9	89:20 91:20	<b>glad</b> 44:7,10	91:6,20 92:11
18:19 19:2	44:6 48:1 58:9	97:15 104:18	glass 67:1,15	92:22 93:15

October 28, 2014

				Tage 100
97:8,9,14 98:5	great 21:20	111:24 112:1,4	53:23 160:23	hear 43:12 44:2
99:11 100:5,6	grounds 142:4	112:4,12,14	happening	54:24 77:17,20
101:21 104:9	group 2:19	113:3,5,9,10	87:14	90:14 91:11,18
105:2 107:24	36:24 125:14	116:6,15	happens 84:20	107:17 153:8
108:5 116:9	160:9	117:15 118:23	happy 87:14	158:7 159:5
127:10 128:8	grouped 160:14	121:1 122:8	143:14	161:1
133:1 134:2	grow 84:5	123:6 131:15	harassing 25:5	heard 22:5
140:5,11,20	growth 84:6	131:17 132:6,8	Harding 85:6	55:16 74:18
141:23 142:22	guess 31:1 38:12	134:5,12,13,22	has 11:16 21:8	75:18 78:23
143:3,8 147:19	56:19 74:16,20	135:9 136:20	21:14 22:20	79:1 81:17
153:4,14	80:16,21 91:23	136:21 137:4	24:4 25:1 34:4	87:23 89:6
156:16 158:23	114:6 121:14	139:24 143:22	34:5,11,15	93:6 140:24
			, , ,	141:4 142:24
159:7,19,24	121:14 133:12	146:19 149:4	35:15,24 40:18 42:20 46:4	154:19 155:4
162:16 165:7	153:2	153:13 156:13		
165:10 166:7	guessing 145:6	161:23 168:7	47:7,13,20	159:4
gone 87:18	guys 41:11	hadn't 112:6	49:22 54:21	hearings 137:12
116:6	91:11 146:3	half 41:4 43:6	55:14 57:21	138:5
good 6:1 19:13	H	76:19	63:15 78:16	hearsay 112:23
19:18 29:16,16	H 5:1	hall 28:10 40:6	82:18 84:3,19	116:21 117:12
29:20 42:15	had 1:17 11:12	40:11 61:1,7	87:10,18 98:9	118:10,16
44:4 51:4	11:13 12:11,16	65:5,10 66:23	99:20 105:3	Heights 2:16
52:20 58:13		67:2 69:13,21	108:5 117:16	91:4
81:10 82:15	12:17 14:24	83:17 112:14	117:23 118:8	held 31:3 36:12
88:5 90:16,16	16:21 25:17	116:16 122:3	149:16 153:24	51:15 76:22
90:18,24 91:1	26:3 28:11	130:17 145:6	160:21 165:22	83:10 99:17
93:4 104:8	30:9 32:4	145:12,16	hate 132:16	136:12
109:21 152:8	41:11 42:12	148:24 149:2	139:18	Hello 88:15,15
163:4,5	47:3 51:7 52:4	hallway 76:17	haul 51:12	<b>help</b> 39:1 87:1
<b>got</b> 13:6 21:15	52:8 54:12	77:3,21	hauled 54:3	helped 104:8
27:22 40:8	56:2,3 57:9	hand 39:3 60:16	hauling 85:1	helpful 104:6
41:1,2 56:4	59:18 61:20,22	60:18 69:12,16	haven't 61:9	108:18
72:15 75:19	62:3,6 63:16	69:18 70:6	133:5,8 162:15	_
76:5 77:4,5,13	64:4 65:14	106:1	<b>having</b> 12:8 13:8	Henderson
83:1 95:23	69:6 75:13	handed 118:7	40:9 48:3,10	87:19
99:13 121:7	76:2 80:6	handle 78:15	58:18 69:4	her 24:14 26:12
158:3 162:2	81:21 82:5,12	handled 121:5	70:23 94:11	27:11,14 28:1
<b>gotten</b> 104:18	83:1,19 90:14	handout 16:13	118:9 137:21	40:18 82:7,7
governing	92:3 93:13	<b>hands</b> 36:13	161:18 166:8	102:1 112:19
103:23	95:10,24 96:16	<b>handy</b> 21:14	hazards 85:8	113:9 140:14
government	96:24,24 97:3	Hang 28:16,19	HCA 109:9	140:16,19,22
107:19	97:4,6,14,17	111:6 158:15	he'd 140:22	141:2 155:4
governmental	97:17 98:2	158:16	he's 50:5 118:5	156:18
37:1	104:14 107:3	happen 12:9	headstone 85:4	here 6:21 14:1
grabbed 68:2	108:24 109:22	happened 25:19	health 78:9 85:3	25:19 27:8
grant 6:8	110:3 111:16	25:24 49:9,14	85:8	28:24 29:14

October 28, 2014

				Tage 101
34:12 35:22	115:17 118:21	109:8 110:3,5	100:17 107:11	5:3 17:3,11,20
36:1 38:17	120:2 134:8	hotel 40:1,15	108:19 109:11	18:5 50:3 59:9
39:2 40:1,8	139:7,8,10	60:8 74:2	116:1,6,23	66:12 105:7
46:6 57:9,11	144:1,20,21	HOUPT 2:7	125:23 133:12	108:9,12
57:15 60:15	154:17 157:14	hour 1:22 92:9	144:21 150:18	125:11
61:8,10 67:21	himself 56:3	93:17	152:22	identifications
69:18 70:12,20	84:2	hours 24:2 28:5	I'm 9:9 10:11	135:4
72:14 73:1,3	hire 49:21	76:19 103:7,9	12:6 22:7,12	identified 71:21
75:11,16,17,24	hired 19:10	103:12 121:4	22:24 24:24	123:2 134:10
76:2,24 77:2,4	his 12:19,24,24	how 16:22 28:7	29:9,14 31:17	135:13 136:5
77:5,10 78:16	39:1,2,4 40:1	48:16,20 60:5	31:17 44:7,10	<b>identifies</b> 33:18
78:24 80:6,18	40:15 41:17	65:3 76:9 78:7	46:1,22 59:5	identify 45:9
80:19,19,20,24	47:8 62:16	80:8 83:2	65:18,23 66:14	50:20 59:11
	73:22 75:15		67:21 68:13,14	if 8:24 9:3,14
81:18 82:1,3		85:14,24 89:11		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
87:9,14 88:6	79:2 97:23	94:21 97:8,9	70:8 74:4,13	11:20 14:3
88:21 89:10,12	98:18 99:13	103:6 106:20	74:16,24 81:18	16:14 19:20
89:21,23 90:11	116:1 117:19	108:22 112:17	82:22 83:6	22:4 25:11
90:21 91:12,12	118:4,15,21,22	120:1,3 121:8	87:8,11,13	29:7 30:1
91:13 93:6	119:1 123:16	121:21 130:8	88:5,16 89:11	31:14 49:12
95:11,23 99:13	129:7 134:17	136:11 138:19	90:21 91:21	53:7 54:3
100:21 108:20	136:15 139:5,6	139:8 140:4,20	92:11,19,20,21	56:19 57:11,15
110:16,20,21	139:7,14,15,18	144:11 145:6	93:1 94:20	57:16 61:19
113:4,19 131:1	139:19 140:17	145:10 152:1	99:4 100:4,5	83:1 88:2 91:2
131:3,13 145:7	140:22 141:7	160:3,3 164:22	101:20 105:2	99:16 101:6
146:8 149:5	141:12 148:15	However 47:22	108:5 112:16	105:13,14
153:8 155:13	150:5 154:6	hundred 165:7	114:20 116:9	107:2,8 110:5
155:16 156:5	history 69:5	165:20	118:21 121:12	110:12,23,23
156:15,20	Hold 28:20	hurry 112:7	123:12 124:5	111:24 112:7
158:18 160:22	157:23	<b>hurt</b> 78:1	124:19,24	112:14 119:1
hereby 168:6	home 59:19	husband 87:9	125:13 127:10	121:10,14
herein 48:10	78:18 83:11		128:8,22 133:1	124:2,3 125:18
58:18 94:11	86:13	1	139:15,19	127:20 132:18
105:12	homes 78:3,4	I'd 7:3 45:23	141:23 142:4,6	134:14,15,16
<b>high</b> 104:21	85:4 86:13	46:12 66:8	143:14,14	135:1 138:12
highway 54:19	93:5,6	72:21 83:8	155:4 158:9,13	139:8,10
54:21 55:1	honest 140:15	106:19,21	159:7 160:16	142:22 143:1,8
HILL 2:2	honestly 132:16	115:16 125:22	161:13,15	143:8,13,14,15
<b>him</b> 13:5 39:8	<b>Honor</b> 32:10	158:12	162:15 164:17	144:2,20
47:9 49:21	57:4 64:13	I'll 8:4 11:21	165:4,19	146:24 151:15
50:7 57:12,15	113:24	16:13,14 31:15	I've 72:15 73:2	151:24 152:5
57:17 63:14	Honorable 1:19	38:12 44:1	87:22 125:15	152:11 154:1
64:1 73:3	honored 156:19	53:4,4,8 66:15	127:22	154:20 155:23
75:17 77:5	hoping 145:12	72:14 74:22	idea 101:12	156:15,17,22
97:6,23 102:10	host 17:16 62:20	75:2 80:23	156:3 163:5	157:3 158:23
111:1 115:2,3	97:1 104:11,14	84:19,20 100:3	identification	159:4,19
Í	ĺ			

October 28, 2014

				Page 102
160:17 163:12	22.15 10 22.10	Innut 26:15	issue 6:6 9:20	36:15 138:17
163:19 164:19	22:15,18 33:10 33:11,13,18,18	Input 26:15 inside 131:12	13:10 15:2	140:5,11,20
164:22 165:5	33:19,21,23	instructive	24:3 27:9	140.5,11,20
165:11 166:1	34:10,15 37:11	160:4	29:12 36:9,10	itself 13:22
166:14	38:2 45:16	intend 13:12	37:7 38:13	36:11,21 39:6
Illinois 1:1,6,10	56:14 102:3	intent 22:22	40:19 42:8	96:21
1:13,20,21 2:4	Inc.'s 9:11 18:9	98:18,22 112:5	43:7,17 44:10	90.21
2:9,12,21	include 19:22	intention 54:23	44:11,12,13	J
24:17 33:7	24:16 69:3	interest 51:14	52:23 54:6	$\overline{\mathbf{J}}$ 2:2,7,11,20 3:3
34:8 35:8	included 12:19	82:18 162:7	55:17 63:17	5:5 16:20 17:2
				108:16
37:12 41:21,22	18:12 31:4	interested 71:11	64:5 73:24	<b>J-O-H-N</b> 58:24
42:6 48:19	138:6	71:21	83:22 99:10	Jackson 154:22
50:10 65:2,4	includes 84:14	interesting	114:24 134:6	January 98:13
68:12,14,18	including 9:10	24:19,23 43:14	137:9,24	<b>Jennifer</b> 2:2 7:7
69:1 126:17	39:15	161:2	146:10 154:8,9	33:9 108:16
168:1,14,22	inconsistencies	interfere 139:9	154:18 161:22	109:9 147:11
imagine 87:21	25:2,3	interstate 54:14	163:17 166:8	148:2,7
154:18	indeed 140:11	into 9:13 10:12	166:13	job 75:24 77:23
impact 129:24	independent	10:13 18:14	issued 24:13	80:12
impartial	137:3	20:23 38:19	31:24 46:5	John 3:1 4:7,13
138:10	Indiana 2:16	39:20 60:24	57:21	12:19 27:21
implemented	indicate 69:12	61:2,5,7 62:21	issues 12:5 13:7	32:18 58:17,24
123:11,19	69:16 132:18	74:3,23 76:8	27:7 30:23	63:4,7 72:22
importance 36:5	141:11 144:12	84:4,12,16,20	34:16 36:4,8	93:23 94:10,17
36:6	indicated 109:20	84:23 85:2,5,7	38:16,18 39:9	7
important 35:4	131:7 132:20	85:10,14,16	39:23 43:4,8	108:5 152:5,6
60:9 83:22	133:23 136:14	86:12 99:11	44:7 49:18	join 98:23 147:21
100:12 117:17	136:20 137:4	117:24 119:16	61:9 98:7	joinder 22:2
impossible	137:14 138:22	136:21 143:9	114:23 115:1	joinder 22.2 joined 92:14
77:17	139:10	145:11,16	153:4 165:18	U
impression	individual 28:24	149:20 153:19	it's 8:8 14:9	joining 161:16
144:1	individuals	157:3 158:10	44:11,12 65:21	joins 37:24
improve 87:24	134:10,13	investigated	69:6 75:6 88:6	joint 46:16
88:3	inference 101:21	117:5	88:6 98:15	jointly 165:2,6
improved 55:2	102:1	investigation	100:12 118:11	judge 30:13
improvements	infestation 85:7	119:1 133:17	124:14,14	July 18:19
55:1	information	137:3	125:14 126:23	June 20:14 95:6
inaccurate	27:23 33:24	involved 42:7	142:11 143:16	juris-35:13
51:23 52:2	47:20 53:9	43:4 49:12	146:23 156:10	jurisdiction 24:6
inadequate	62:7 103:20	58:8 82:11	165:11	33:1 34:13
104:15,16	113:5 146:15	involves 46:10	items 20:23	35:14 37:15
inappropriate	160:24 161:6	irrelevant 107:2	47:20 92:10	40:9,12 43:13
163:8	162:8	isn't 19:15 24:23	its 17:7,8,15	44:7 76:11
Inc 1:2 2:6 7:9	informed 35:11	73:1 107:13	23:13 33:16,19	98:9
20:6,16 22:2	initial 62:3	132:12	33:22 34:7,16	jurisdictional
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	

October 28, 2014

				1 age 100
34:16,24 39:23	102:23 126:4	157:2,16 159:4	29:5 31:4,7,7	69:9,12,16
jurisdictionally	126:23 127:14	166:2	lawyer 124:5	70:1 117:4
35:14 130:1	127:16 128:2	knowledge	139:19	129:4,7 131:6
just 8:7,15 9:4	<b>Kenneth</b> 150:5	116:21 118:6	leads 15:2	131:12
11:3,20 12:17	kept 160:5	122:9 127:7	learn 63:13	letterhead 50:24
13:13 21:14	kind 123:21	129:13 130:4,9	learned 13:13	letters 16:23
		136:7		
26:13 27:6,22	145:10 153:14		23:6,14 45:7	letting 161:8
28:22 29:10	knew 26:2,7	known 85:6	113:2	level 138:13
31:20 32:17	27:13 96:22	156:24	least 92:24	levels 54:17
34:23 37:23	110:23 119:20	knows 35:23	103:7 120:23	license 3:4 68:23
38:12 42:4,10	121:14 122:14		leave 23:21 65:7	licensed 68:11
44:1 46:13	know 8:23 11:11		78:17 165:12	68:17,21
52:24 61:15	12:8,11 13:7	label 149:13,16	leaves 153:2	lie 35:13
64:18 66:2	13:11 21:8	labeled 16:20	led 137:17	lieu 8:10 44:19
80:9,23 81:5	22:4,8,9,10	landfill 1:2 2:6	leeway 53:5	<b>light</b> 78:19
81:10 82:16	23:10,11 24:1	6:3 7:5,9 9:11	<b>left</b> 11:10 67:24	like 7:3 18:14
87:18,20,23	29:3 30:19	18:9 20:6,16	68:2,8 76:18	19:8 30:8 31:1
88:4,11 95:23	42:9,11 45:16	22:1,15 33:10	83:16,17 84:24	31:14 32:21
104:16 106:1	49:17 53:4	33:11,12,13,19	85:12 95:7	36:18 37:21
114:17 115:3	57:13 60:5	33:20,22 34:15	119:21	38:6 44:15,21
116:13 118:16	61:6 62:11	37:11 38:1	legal 24:17	45:23 46:12
118:17,22	72:11 74:15	45:16 49:19	121:4 139:15	53:7 57:2,16
122:4,6,6	80:24 81:10	51:12 54:4	139:20	64:11 66:5,8
123:15,20	84:4 87:24	56:14 85:11	Len 62:13	72:20,21 73:6
124:3,24 128:3	88:2,4,19,20	102:3	Leslie 8:14	73:18 81:16,19
135:19 138:16	89:11,18,19,24	lane 84:16	40:17 67:5,8	83:8 84:10
144:22,23	90:20 101:5,20	<b>last</b> 11:10 13:13	112:15 117:14	86:23,24 87:22
146:7,20 151:9	109:19,22	29:14,19 75:13	117:23 131:5	90:24 92:24
151:17 154:11	110:12,22	86:1 95:14	132:19	100:6 102:15
155:17 156:3	112:8,19 113:5	103:6 116:1,7	less 24:1 28:5	106:19,21
158:16 159:1	118:14 120:1,3	153:3 156:12	36:8 54:5	111:22 113:23
159:18 162:7	121:2,8,11,12	159:17	let 47:5 53:8	115:16 122:4
162:16 164:17	121:15 129:15	<b>lasted</b> 76:19	67:13 75:17	125:22 127:4
165:2,9,19	132:17 133:11	103:8	76:15 80:23	143:22 151:6
justification	133:13 138:12	late 65:11 110:4	100:3,17 116:1	152:12,18,21
53:19	138:19 139:16	later 25:23	116:7 129:21	153:16 156:18
	139:19 140:15	108:2	148:3 157:5	158:12 162:7
K	142:3 143:21	law 2:15,19	163:21	166:18
Kathy 83:1,5	146:2,20 147:1	24:16 68:11,17	let's 16:11 42:8	liked 115:8
keep 19:13	151:18,23,23	68:24,24	46:19,23 57:15	137:19
42:23 82:8	151.16,25,25	104:15 108:16	64:17 75:8	likely 15:20
104:23 146:7		138:20,21	91:23 92:5	likes 159:10
160:9 162:16	155:10,11,15 155:16 156:2	lawful 24:20		likewise 45:15
Ken 26:18,18		156:21	letter 16:5 20:4	
85:19,20 87:12	156:14,15,16	lawfully 24:13	60:13 65:16	limit 79:22,23
03.17,20 07.12	156:17,18	14111111111111111111111111111111111111	66:18 67:17	164:23

October 28, 2014

				Page 164
144:14 146:17	122:22 128:13	made 9:17,23	153:6	matters 7:23
146:22 161:21	130:2,7,17	38:1,3 43:14	<b>Manion</b> 2:20 4:4	15:11 21:23
line 148:21	130:2,7,17	51:21 62:6	7:16,16 16:9	32:4 63:17
156:13	long 47:24 83:19	73:24 100:13	17:5,12,22	163:10,11
link 126:14	94:21 98:16	128:12 129:17	18:7,17,24	may 6:21,22,23
list 29:13 134:13	103:6	129:23 132:22	19:14,17,19,23	9:11 18:10
134:17,19,23	longer 85:13	146:12,16	20:2,11,18	20:7 35:21,22
135:8,9,13,18	look 44:9 49:23	147:17,18	32:8,10,13	36:12 47:19
135:20 136:5	80:11,14	149:24 158:24	38:6,8 45:23	48:5,6 50:4,9
Listen 70:18	105:13 108:19	Madison 33:17	46:3,9 47:15	50:24 51:15
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
listened 42:13	109:11 125:23	mail 71:20 136:3	48:13 50:13,16	52:19 55:5,7
42:14	126:4,20	mailbox 151:4	52:12 57:1,4	90:4,14 93:20
litigation 69:4,7	127:11 130:23	151:16	64:11,12 66:1	95:5 107:3
little 22:20	155:21	mailed 71:19	66:3 74:22	115:21 136:12
39:11 53:5	looked 131:5	mails 151:16	113:22,24	141:20 144:4
64:17 81:6	136:21 137:10	Main 1:20 2:20	117:22 118:3	151:8,12
110:4 111:7	137:10 139:1	major 104:20	133:1 144:16	158:10 165:9
153:9 159:1	<b>looking</b> 53:16	make 6:13,22	149:22 152:22	maybe 30:24
162:24	81:8,9	7:4 10:1 14:3	160:11 161:11	76:24 85:13
live 78:8 79:18	looks 57:16	16:11 30:2,3	161:13	137:18 164:10
80:18 86:10	losing 49:21	32:21 35:13	manner 6:15	164:18
154:2,4,4	lot 25:2 61:9	36:7 37:21	Manual 18:2	mayor 26:3
155:11,16	83:11 89:1,10	38:6 39:6	many 54:24 65:3	28:11 38:22
156:5	145:17	47:23 53:5,8	76:18 80:17	49:5,12,15
living 87:1 94:19	Louis 78:15 81:1	57:10,12 78:19	83:16 85:4	61:24 62:13
<b>LLC</b> 1:7,14 2:18	81:3 88:20,21	78:20 81:16,19	120:1,3 121:8	77:12 80:6,8
16:6,13 17:7	89:3 91:9	84:5 97:16	121:21 144:11	82:2,4 88:13
17:15,24 19:5	Louis's 154:24	108:1 115:13	145:6,10	89:11 102:22
33:6,15 34:2	lower 78:3	116:19 129:3,6	mark 65:18,20	102:22 142:22
36:14,15,20	125:15	129:10,14	66:9 125:7	142:23 143:2,6
109:9 122:22	lunch 78:18 92:9	137:9 139:15	marked 5:3 8:5	143:10,20,23
128:14 130:6	93:17	139:15 143:3	17:1,9,18 18:3	145:24 154:2
<b>LLC's</b> 34:10		146:9 150:18	49:23 50:1,12	154:19,21,22
loads 85:12	<u>M</u>	151:6 152:10	59:6,7 66:10	155:5
<b>lobby</b> 87:17	M 48:12 52:16	152:12,18,21	66:15 105:3,5	McReynolds
local 37:17	58:20 64:15	158:10,16,17	108:6,7,10	8:15 40:17
41:20 42:5,18	71:6 94:13	makes 43:16	124:23 125:9	67:5,8 112:16
43:16,19 59:20	119:17 132:1	making 30:4	125:14,15	117:14,23
63:10 67:21	147:9	74:6 97:12	material 45:13	118:7,7,12
103:2	M-E-R-T-Z-K	118:19 130:21	materials	129:6,9,14,17
locate 81:3	83:3,5	man 153:3	148:23	130:13,21
located 6:9	M-I-L-E-R	management	matter 25:4	131:11 132:19
location 16:5	75:13	46:11 51:9	37:10 60:10	133:4
19:6 34:11	ma'am 85:23	56:7	104:15 121:24	me 8:13 27:20
54:8 55:18	<b>Madame</b> 158:22	manifest 37:5,14	136:21 150:7	27:20,21 28:4

October 28, 2014

				Tage 100
28:7 29:6,7,10	15:1 18:20,21	36:23 102:12	Mitchell 40:22	103:8 111:10
29:17 30:22	19:3,11,15	Mertzke 83:1,3	62:15 131:3	115:22 120:11
33:19 47:5	25:17 26:3	83:5,6	Mitchell's 113:4	120:13,15,19
49:15 57:11	28:11 38:22	messages 82:7	117:4 131:4	120:15,15,15
58:1 60:10	50:9,22 51:21	met 41:24	Mm-hmm	144:22 145:4
67:13 69:15	52:19 62:4,9	102:18,18	120:17 126:22	147:22 163:19
75:10 79:13	62:10 63:1,16	118:20 122:1	modified 113:19	164:11 165:3
80:12 82:1	74:9,12 75:14	mice 86:18	moment 66:16	165:18
86:24 91:11	75:15 76:3,5,7	Michael 62:14	106:1	<b>morning</b> 6:1
96:24 97:1,4	80:7 83:12,12	91:23,23	Monday 23:14	8:15 12:2
98:3 104:8,22	89:14 99:7	Michigan 2:3	money 84:5	15:20 45:7
105:23 107:6	100:24 101:2	microphone	86:24 89:16	57:10 65:12
109:13 115:6	101:18 102:13	94:2	91:19,20	75:12 92:6
120:4,14 121:4	102:21 103:1,4	middle 101:5	155:19	Morrison 87:7,8
126:5 129:21	102.21 103.1,4	might 57:12	Monroe 33:17	87:9
		144:21 155:24	168:21	most 40:10 77:3
131:15,17 133:7 134:12	103:19 109:13		month 101:5	
	110:11,24	158:8 164:11	155:24	77:16 78:4
137:17,17	111:15,18	165:2 <b>Mike</b> 40:21		motel 59:13,14
143:8 148:3	112:13 114:21		moot 25:14,16	59:16
150:5 152:6	115:3 116:13	113:4 117:3	25:16 28:8	motion 1:18
153:12 154:3	119:23 122:4,6	131:3,4	29:24 Managa 2:7 4:11	14:12,12 15:3
156:22 157:1	122:8,8,13	miles 33:23 65:3	Moran 2:7 4:11	22:3,7,12,16
mean 38:23	123:5 146:19	65:6	4:17 7:11,11	23:2 25:5,14
39:13,16 43:21	157:15	Miller 75:9,10	32:6,7 37:21	28:8 29:24
53:3 73:16	meetings 19:9	75:12 82:24	37:23 45:7	30:6 31:14,15
81:9 90:20	61:17 78:24	88:17	46:4 50:4 51:9	31:18 34:16,19
102:8 107:15	156:16	mind 80:21	51:16 52:5,5,8	46:9,13,13,16
108:20 110:22	member 8:11,12	minimum 35:7	52:21 55:11,13	46:17 48:2
111:3 114:21	61:18,23 62:18	minor 42:21	56:12 66:4,6	55:17,21 56:1
121:10,11	145:24	minute 46:20,24	71:5,7 72:6	56:8 92:12,16
122:17 123:14	members 6:13	91:24	74:13,18,24	motions 12:8,10
126:22 133:11	6:20 49:6	minutes 50:9,22	98:23 107:20	move 31:2 55:13
137:15 138:24	75:20 77:13	52:9 56:2,4	114:4 115:9,12	74:22 75:17
139:13 143:21	83:20 90:7	74:9,12 95:22	115:19 125:20	146:5,17 156:3
144:19,20	93:16 100:24	95:22,23	131:24 132:2	moved 81:5
145:14 164:5	146:5	118:16 159:2	133:16 141:24	154:7
165:3,5	mention 114:9	mischaracteri	142:17 145:8	much 82:20
means 136:22	126:12 154:11	133:3	146:2 147:4,11	87:13 88:6
meant 83:11	155:18 156:13	misrepresenting	147:16 148:1,7	multiple 29:19
mechanism	mentioned	155:2	152:19 158:22	120:22,23
30:11	112:3 124:14	missing 21:13	159:7 163:7,16	must 35:10
medium 149:12	134:12	misstate 102:8	166:3,7 167:1	150:23
meet 121:21	mentioning	misstatement	167:6	my 6:2,14 7:6
meeting 11:15	154:3	102:5	Moran's 147:22	12:6,11 15:3
13:8 14:23,24	merely 14:13	mistaken 143:14	more 41:11 92:7	15:23 20:3,12
			<u> </u>	<u> </u>

October 28, 2014

				rage 100
20:14 22:6,11	necessary 134:3	153:22	134:11,20	numbers 16:22
23:2 25:13,23	need 8:5 10:4	nipped 100:11	135:21,23	125:16
26:20 29:14,22	11:3 19:22	nobody 86:19,22	136:4 150:16	
29:23 30:5,15	42:7 49:6,13	122:14 158:17	noticed 6:17	0
36:7 49:18	49:21 91:10,17	nod 149:8	11:15 96:7	<b>O</b> 48:12 52:16
53:13 57:22	134:11 152:11	non-applicants	135:7	52:16 58:20
59:13,18 68:16	154:10 164:18	138:1,3	notices 71:9,19	64:15,15 71:6
70:18 75:12,13	164:21 165:18	nonchalantly	71:23 97:13,18	71:6 94:13
76:13 77:23	166:14	42:9	134:2 135:10	119:17,17
79:7 87:9 91:8	needed 63:11,13	none 54:11	156:17	132:1,1 147:9
96:19 101:19	90:13 135:5	75:20 99:20	<b>noticing</b> 63:16	oath 147:15
102:2 106:17	<b>Negative</b> 149:8	noon 57:12	notification	148:16
115:5,6 121:4	negotiating	Nope 20:10	97:19	<b>object</b> 16:11
121:13 125:24	49:18	Norm 88:16	notified 29:10	46:4 56:17,17
137:16 139:13	neighbor 87:11	normal 149:6	134:14,17	56:19 74:1,24
143:11 144:1	neighborhood	normally 90:23	November 7:3	101:21 106:24
146:3,8 148:14	88:7	Norman 75:9,12	62:4 150:22	115:22 133:1
150:6 154:20	neighbors 88:7	82:23	151:1,2,4,13	139:12 141:4
165:17 168:10	neither 165:4	North 2:3,8	151:16 152:16	141:23 146:21
168:12,13	net 77:1	87:11,12	now 10:5 11:21	150:1 159:7,23
myself 76:20	neutral 6:14	Norton 91:23,23	14:24 25:18	161:7 162:24
mysen 70.20	never 11:12		26:20 27:18	objected 12:3
N	34:20 36:20,23	not-in-my-bac 153:14	30:4,5 35:21	106:24
N 2:1 4:1 48:12	41:23 77:4,5	notation 129:4,7	35:24 46:23	objecting 49:3,9
48:12 52:16,16	78:23	129:10,14,17	61:8 68:10	74:16 115:22
58:20,20 64:15	new 12:16 154:5	note 19:8 41:5	76:6 78:22	142:4
64:15 71:6,6	154:7 163:13	93:16 153:15	80:9,23 81:5	objection 16:12
94:13,13	News 60:4	154:15	82:19 89:6	17:4,5,12,13
119:17,17	newspaper 49:1	notes 168:11	92:5,17 94:4	17:21,22 18:6
132:1,1 147:9	59:21 60:1		96:3 98:7	18:7,16,17,23
147:9		nothing 25:3 32:7 35:24	113:4 114:4	18:24 19:8,11
N-O-R-M-A-N	71:10,15 135:23	36:2 43:21		20:9,16,17
75:13			117:3,16 119:7 124:21 125:5	43:18 98:21
name 6:2 7:6	newspapers 112:4	72:6,9 86:15 95:10 96:22	124:21 125:5	99:2,9 110:15
48:14 58:22	next 15:2 26:1	131:22 167:1		112:22 116:20
62:16 75:12,13		notice 17:8 20:5	133:12,23 139:3 159:15	117:8 142:7
86:1 94:15	27:12,13 49:9 49:14 67:11			144:16 147:14
names 83:1	84:10 92:6	34:18 35:5,10	159:17 160:2	147:16 148:10
135:4	107:6 125:7	35:15 39:24 40:8 47:18	161:7 163:1,1 164:6	149:19,21,22
narrow 61:19			number 11:14	149:24 160:12
narrowly 48:1	146:6 nice 19:12	48:24,24 60:2 63:12,22 70:4	15:14 16:12,18	161:16
nature 97:21		70:8 71:14	16:20 82:15	objections 9:16
105:1	<b>night</b> 11:10 14:15 29:14,19		105:9 121:9	9:23 140:24
necessarily	nine 63:21 98:1	72:3 97:15,16 98:12,20	125:7 152:7	obligation 84:1
51:22 161:2	98:3,5 136:17	106:10 112:5	159:8	obtained 34:4,6
01.22 101.2	70.3,3 130.1/	100.10 112.3	137.0	330000000000000000000000000000000000000
	I	l	l	I

October 28, 2014

				Tage 107
obviously 10:8	offering 74:13	164:21	58:5 61:4,23	107:18
45:3 60:9	114:20	ones 14:21 77:20	63:21 67:8,22	ordinary 132:13
147:17 159:12	offers 162:17	78:8 162:2,5	68:2,6,20	132:20
occasion 99:6	office 21:18	online 9:4	71:20,20 72:12	other 12:10
100:23	66:24 113:4	only 12:4 25:1	73:11 76:4,18	15:11 21:23
occur 160:10	115:4 117:4	29:6 33:15	78:18 80:11	23:22 26:5
occurred 25:18	130:24 131:2,4	37:2,19 56:1	81:12,20 82:5	30:21,22 37:9
70:15,23 84:19	132:13,21	57:16 65:11	85:11 97:24	41:10 45:13
October 1:22	134:15 149:1	68:23 73:13	99:19,22 102:3	46:15 52:12
6:5 61:22	157:14	74:5 76:1 77:7	109:20 110:10	61:17 62:7
150:20	Officer's 9:19	77:11 78:18	111:16 112:18	63:13,17 70:1
odd 162:24	23:9 107:12	81:19 82:10	113:11 114:18	81:12 82:10
odor 85:8	offices 61:6,7	83:20 84:6	116:15 118:10	95:21,24 98:8
off 15:24 46:20	official 168:13	98:2 103:3	119:23 121:22	98:10 99:23
46:21,23 47:6	officially 149:12	107:9 118:13	121:23,23	107:10,18,18
79:11,20 84:22	officials 97:5	156:24 161:20	134:9,14,19	109:23 123:1
88:16 91:24	oh 28:13 45:20	161:21	135:4 136:3	128:18 135:20
92:23 116:6	111:2,4 127:5	onto 78:5 80:4	137:3,6,19	138:20 145:24
154:2,4,5	133:10 157:9	open 154:23	138:2,20,21	146:13,17
offended 54:12	<b>old</b> 49:18	opened 131:6	139:15 141:1	147:12 151:18
offensive 155:14	<b>Older</b> 83:19	opening 14:3	143:4,4 144:13	154:1 160:24
offer 9:17,17,19	one 12:14 14:4	15:6,12 32:22	145:24 146:1	163:16 164:10
45:14 47:23	16:2 25:1,24	37:21 38:1,3,6	146:16 147:12	others 76:23
48:4 53:5	26:5 35:12	operation 84:3	148:2,7,22	159:19
74:21,23 100:4	36:19 41:6	operator 33:13	151:17,18	otherwise 31:15
100:14,17	42:4,16 71:23	opinion 29:23	152:11 156:18	115:21 137:20
107:21,23,23	75:21,22 77:13	76:13	163:12 164:10	ought 141:13
108:1 110:16	78:6,19,22	opinions 166:14	164:21	our 13:17,20,22
110:19 114:5	80:11 81:12	opportunity	order 9:20 23:9	13:23 25:4
114:14,19	82:10 87:16	33:4 45:11	23:22 29:4	37:8 49:5,11
115:5,15,21	91:14 96:3,7	49:20 75:11	136:17	49:17 56:8
116:5,6,14	97:3 98:3	134:22 138:6	ordered 25:21	75:14,15,20
117:15 119:12	100:9 103:22	141:3 161:24	orderly 6:15	76:9 77:23
119:13,16	107:9,10	opposed 51:13	orders 166:14	78:1,17 82:8
124:19,21,22	111:23 120:23	56:6	ordinance 42:19	86:12,13,14
125:1,5,8	122:1,6,6,8,8	or 6:22 8:5 9:4,4	103:22 104:1	87:2,4 88:7
128:9,11	122:12,23	12:24 14:5	104:21 105:9	92:6 97:3,5
149:17,19	123:1 125:16	16:1,22 21:5	105:10,14,15	149:19,23
150:3 153:20	126:14 127:21	22:21 23:21	106:8,11 107:3	154:5 155:23
159:24 160:23	127:23 133:24	24:6 28:4 29:8	112:8,9 123:10	161:7,18
161:5 162:24	135:12 136:8	30:12 33:6	123:13,23	166:19
163:2,21	142:18 146:18	36:6,17 37:17	124:4,8,12,15	ourselves 27:4
offered 74:21	149:16 151:9	38:21 39:9,21	124:20 125:3	out 12:21 14:14
114:10 117:22	151:18 158:17	45:17 46:16	126:13	16:21 38:14
117:23 159:24	163:7 164:10	47:20 48:2	ordinances	41:19 42:23
-				

October 28, 2014

				Page 100
49:15 68:3	86:5	90:22 91:1,2,9	107:18	<b>permit</b> 9:11
76:14 78:5,10	P-E-N-N-Y	109:8 154:3,20	passing 85:3	41:22,23,23
78:11 79:4,15	48:15	154:22,23,24	past 69:5	42:2,6
79:15 80:3,17	P-E-T 86:6,7	155:5	Patricia 87:7,8	permits 34:8
80:21,21 81:8	P-E-T-R-O-S	part 10:2 11:19	Paul 86:2	Perrin 2:16
81:11 82:2	86:3	15:15 46:7	pay 99:4	person 21:12
85:1 87:17	P-O-H-L-E-N-Z	61:12 65:24	PCB 1:4,11 6:3	24:9,12 26:8
90:5,6 96:12	7:8	73:10,13 75:5	16:4 46:8	27:13 30:9
114:4 125:17	<b>P.C</b> 2:19	75:7 77:23	PEDERSEN 2:7	67:18 82:10,12
129:16 135:10	<b>p.m</b> 11:24 26:4,6	100:20 115:5,6	Penni 2:15 7:19	95:15 117:14
144:24 157:4	92:7 93:10,15	119:12,12	14:12 65:19	118:13 147:12
165:10	126:24 127:15	124:20 125:8	Penny 4:2 45:24	152:5
outside 23:24	127:17 128:2	149:17 157:8	46:3,3,5,5	personal 116:21
82:5 115:14,21	144:4,6,10	157:11	47:15,17 48:5	130:4,9 168:12
116:4 119:13	151:5	part-time 40:23	48:9,15 51:18	personally 30:9
119:22 120:2	packed 76:22	parte 13:10,11	55:14 74:19	31:4 60:15
122:3 128:9,11	81:22 90:4,4	13:17 44:8	81:15,17 82:24	64:20
145:6,12	packet 80:9 81:8	61:14 99:12	153:13	personnel 117:6
163:10	page 4:3,8,14	100:10	people 14:15	persons 71:21
over 8:16 21:17	9:7 49:24	participant 7:8	39:8 42:12,13	99:7 134:19
53:1 77:18	50:18 51:6	33:11 37:10	61:10 76:1,4	142:19
80:18,19 86:17	125:16,23	46:6	77:1,19 79:17	persuade 43:1
92:9 106:1	126:2,21	participants	80:17 83:11,16	petition 16:4
124:16 125:24	127:21,23	32:24 151:12	83:17,19 84:4	22:2 46:17
149:19	164:23	participate	85:9 86:12,21	petitioner 1:3,11
overhead 77:14	pages 20:2	34:12	86:23 87:17	2:5,13 7:9
overnight 85:13	120:13,15,19	participation	89:1 90:5,14	11:16 12:23
overrule 133:12	127:11 165:4,7	167:5	97:15 102:17	19:10 22:3,15
Overruled	165:11,20,22	particular 73:19	103:3 104:23	26:19 29:5
116:22 142:15	166:8	110:13	114:24 118:9	33:12 151:6
147:2,2	paper 10:20,21	particularly	118:20 142:9	petitioner's
overview 108:15	26:20 53:9	55:24	144:11,13	151:1,3 152:15
overwhelmingly	papers 77:12	parties 7:4 8:9	145:6,7,11,17	petitioners
82:14	paragraph	8:17 11:23	146:18 155:2	12:14 13:9
own 34:7 51:11	49:24 50:15,17	14:5 15:22	155:14 161:24	43:8 47:18
59:2 63:15	51:6 52:3	16:15 20:9,20	163:5	61:8 153:7
87:11 115:1	126:11	22:9 71:21	perception	164:4
162:24	<b>Pardon</b> 120:14	134:3 135:4,7	143:11	Petroski 85:20
owner 33:13	133:7	151:14	perhaps 141:14	85:20,23 86:2
83:6	park 54:10	party 33:15 34:3	159:18 163:11	86:6,8,10
owners 35:6	76:12 79:24	34:5,9 46:7,18	peril 139:18	<b>phone</b> 51:8 56:5
	81:4 82:1,2,4	57:22 165:22	period 61:20	96:12,16,18,20
P	84:15 86:22	pass 43:1 125:17	94:24 95:3	152:7 168:22
<b>P</b> 2:1,1 86:2	88:22,23 89:4	125:19	99:17 140:1	photographs
P-A-T-R-O-S	89:12,18 90:19	passed 62:24	151:13	18:14

October 28, 2014

T				Page 169
physical 54:8	107:11 108:17	practicing 68:15	27:2 36:10	proceeding
83:18 117:3	131:14 133:19	pre-filing 17:8	136:22 137:5	14:14 16:23
physically 60:24	141:6,11	34:17 35:5	140:17,20	33:12 59:3
61:6	153:15 160:13	39:24	141:7,12	99:10,24 107:2
Piazza 22:17	161:18 163:19	prehearing 27:6	presents 85:9	111:7,12
26:3,19,23	pointed 96:12	32:9,12	139:17,17	151:15 159:13
27:14,23 31:2	pointing 14:14	prejudiced	presiding	proceedings
41:7 103:1	144:23	99:15	143:11,21,24	1:17 6:16
104:13 109:14	police 48:17	prejudicial	1 1	34:12 47:3
110:12 111:19	pollution 1:1,20	12:21	presumed 102:9 pretty 87:13	92:3 93:13
	*		88:5	
121:22 123:4	6:12,24 21:17	preliminary 7:23 15:11		95:11 167:3
156:14 157:22	23:18,24 33:3		previous 61:12	168:7,10
pick 79:5 161:24	35:11 37:12	21:23 30:23	129:21	process 13:22
164:10	79:1 86:16,17	134:19	previously 81:18	24:14 82:6
<b>picture</b> 100:13	86:20 105:11	premises 132:9	105:3 141:18	96:21,21,23
piggyback 88:16	108:15 152:2	prepare 71:8	primarily 21:10	97:7,8,20
88:17	158:14	prepared 70:5	principal 60:10	104:1,5 123:7
place 13:8 14:23	poor 83:21	104:7	Principally 63:8	123:10,15,20
62:4 101:12	84:17	preparing 166:5	principals 82:11	124:4 137:12
128:20	portion 70:1	present 3:1 8:3	printed 108:22	137:19 138:4
placed 38:19	portions 22:4	12:6 15:1 24:3	<b>prior</b> 16:16 23:9	153:17 156:21
41:2,2	position 107:4	24:9 33:1	57:9 62:1	159:3,16,21
plan 84:23	143:2 148:15	36:15 41:17	78:24	160:1,12 161:3
Planning 62:14	159:15,20	43:22 44:21	private 85:4	161:7,20
<b>plans</b> 36:17	positive 67:6,7	45:6,12,22	privilege 153:17	162:11
41:18	possibly 122:15	57:3 72:20,22	159:16 160:17	processes 37:17
PLC 2:2	post 43:10	89:8 100:14	161:3,12	produce 22:21
pleadings	post-hearing	102:23 103:20	162:11	produced 57:24
100:10	20:15 30:3	136:16 138:6	probably 76:3	120:4,16
please 7:4 28:7,7	37:8,10 43:10	138:17,23	76:24 87:16	product 121:7
30:22 48:7,14	45:3 153:5,11	139:6,18 140:5	<b>problem</b> 90:2,3	production 23:8
49:24 51:6	158:9 163:20	140:11 141:20	90:12	57:23
58:15 66:9	posted 150:21	148:23 150:14	problems 78:1	prohibited
70:18 81:15,15	poster 77:15,19	presentation	80:16 84:7	54:16,18
94:8 125:6,19	potential 84:6	62:6 103:24	procedural 6:20	137:19
126:4,20	<b>Power</b> 43:15	104:11 159:1	7:1 63:18 64:6	project 62:8
127:20 151:10	103:24 104:4	presented 14:1	97:10 123:24	105:1 111:1
<b>plus</b> 130:23	104:10 107:11	18:10 34:4,6	124:4 150:24	<b>proof</b> 9:17,17,19
131:15	108:17	34:22 35:16,17	165:18	36:1 41:15,16
<b>point</b> 41:19	practice 29:16	37:6 45:18	Procedure	47:24 48:4
43:15,20 64:24	29:16,20 68:11	84:1,2 119:13	105:11 108:16	53:5 70:5,9
67:24 72:24	68:17,24	141:14,17,17	procedures	97:18 100:4,15
81:19 82:1	132:13,17,21	162:9 163:12	63:15	100:17 107:21
98:15 103:24	132:24	presenting	proceed 93:20	107:23,24
104:4,10	practiced 68:24	13:16 24:5	139:9,11	108:1 110:17

October 28, 2014

				Page 190
110:19 114:5	provided 8:18	publications	52:13,15 61:15	reach 138:18
114:14,19,19	16:15,16 20:8	37:1	64:13 71:4	reached 139:22
114:20 115:5	55:14 102:9,11	publish 59:21	75:20,21 100:4	read 9:14 15:24
115:15,21	104:14,16	published 60:2	100:18 111:8	39:17 51:5
116:5,6,14	105:15 106:7	71:10,15	111:10 113:21	106:10 133:5,8
117:15 119:15	109:13 134:18	135:23	114:17 115:2,6	151:12
119:16 124:19	135:9	pull 29:15 78:11	124:5 141:24	real 40:18
		_		realize 81:20
124:21,22	provides 35:9	<b>purpose</b> 6:14 36:7	147:5 148:8,22 155:17 156:14	
125:1,5,8	providing 9:10			really 29:3 43:8 78:22 87:15
128:9,12	provisions 42:3	<b>purposes</b> 166:12	160:10 162:2,5	
149:17,19	public 6:21,22	pursuant 6:18	162:12	104:8 113:18
150:3 153:21	7:2 15:16	6:19 9:19	quite 78:16	138:22 143:22
159:24 160:23	18:10,21 19:9	12:17 24:19	98:16 145:15	144:2 145:16
161:6 162:17	20:7 22:10	97:13 101:11	157:1	156:17,18
162:24 163:2	32:24 33:4	<b>put</b> 10:17 16:11	<b>Quoin</b> 51:12	157:18 163:4
163:21	34:22 36:7,16	34:1 39:4	R	reason 19:9
proper 23:17	37:2,3,17,19	40:18,20 42:1	$\frac{\mathbf{R}}{\mathbf{R}}$ 2:1 48:12	56:21 101:7
97:13	38:22 39:8	43:15 78:16	52:16 58:20	121:11 149:4
properly 31:23	41:5 42:10	79:5 87:3	64:15 71:6	159:8,9
34:4,6	48:24 52:18	89:23 104:22		reasonable
properties 87:2	61:17 62:9,10	113:3 128:16	94:13 119:17	52:22
87:12	62:15 63:1,12	131:5 140:22	132:1 147:9,9	reasonably
property 35:6	63:18 71:9,15	153:24 155:10	R-A-D-E-R	52:23
79:10 83:6	71:19,24 73:7	putting 94:1	62:17	reasons 37:9
85:15 86:14	73:9,13 75:8		Rader 62:17	41:7 82:15
87:11 154:14	76:7,13 77:9	Q	raise 32:4 33:3	146:16 159:8
154:15,16	81:16 82:23	quality 85:8	39:2	159:22 163:8
proposal 53:17	84:8 92:7	quash 15:3	raised 13:9	rebuttal 73:20
75:16	93:16,17	22:16 25:5,14	27:21 29:12,12	recall 61:3 65:8
proposed 11:11	107:18 112:5	29:24 30:6	34:15,19 36:5	67:19 101:2
12:2 33:23	119:23,23	question 14:4	46:13 55:17	103:3,21 110:2
35:7 51:9	120:3 122:3	24:9 30:8	61:9 98:8,9	112:17 124:10
55:19	128:21,23	52:22 53:11,13	114:23 137:15	124:16,17
proposing	134:2 135:10	66:16 67:13	160:18 161:3	133:22 135:12
109:23	136:4,11,23	68:16 70:18	raising 39:10,23	137:21 138:8
protect 77:23	140:5,12	91:8 102:1,15	114:24 160:12	138:12 140:7
158:14	141:20,21	115:3,14 116:1	rambling 156:8	140:15,16,18
<b>Protection 33:8</b>	142:20 143:6	116:7 123:16	ran 41:9	146:20
35:9 42:1,3	143:16,24	124:20 129:21	range 101:12	receipt 36:1
protesting 80:19	144:3 145:19	133:2 142:2,14	rate 155:18	40:1,16 59:12
proud 94:6	150:22,23	147:24 148:12	rather 123:20	60:9 69:20,24
provide 15:18	151:11,13,16	149:10 164:3	rats 86:18	74:2 114:20
15:20,21 29:5	153:10 156:6	questioning	re-ask 116:7	130:5
101:10 145:20	156:17 158:17	161:8	re-examine	receive 54:4
165:13	publication 18:1	questions 46:12	159:18	117:21 135:21

October 28, 2014

				Page 191
received 12:17	58:23 60:13	related 34:8	48:7 58:15	139:3
25:19 51:7	66:1 73:11	63:17 101:22	94:8 95:16	respectfully
72:4 96:4	75:5,7 82:23	102:2 138:19	105:3 158:7	37:11
111:17,24	91:24 92:5,23	142:1,14 159:3	168:6	respond 12:8
112:1,14	92:24 93:15,16	161:2 163:12	represent 7:8	22:9,10 31:15
113:12,15	94:16 102:6	relates 124:12	33:10 51:16	38:3,12 45:17
117:17,18,24	110:6 114:18	163:14	56:6 90:7	135:3 153:9
126:6 127:16	118:11 128:21	relating 52:23	representative	164:20 165:20
128:6 129:5,6	128:23 141:5	159:11	103:2	responded 135:6
129:7,10	155:9,21 157:4	relationship	representatives	Respondent
receiving 25:21	163:10 164:19	46:11 82:13	62:5	2:18,22
52:5 114:17,18	records 15:14	99:23	represented	respondent's
115:4 126:8	96:8 120:5,5	relevance 24:4	151:14	151:2
recent 164:11	120:10 121:9	relevancy	representing	respondents 1:8
recently 87:23	158:2	110:16	51:8	1:15 38:4
recess 92:18	redacted 120:5	relevant 16:22	represents 82:17	45:18
recollect 60:15	120:9	47:21 52:23	Republic 103:2	responding
recollection	redactions 96:9	53:7 55:20,24	request 20:20,20	164:5
96:19 101:19	redirect 4:18	56:9 58:8 74:5	46:7 55:11	response 164:21
117:16,19	147:7	99:24 100:6	88:24 147:17	responses 25:23
124:8 146:3,8	reduce 29:7,8	118:10	149:18 158:24	164:22
148:14	refer 11:3	relied 56:8,10	requested	rest 44:18 81:7
recommendati	reference	relying 130:20	101:23	resume 93:18
146:9	120:23 131:2	remember 65:11	requests 37:11	retired 84:2
reconsidered	referenced	82:22 96:5	47:22	retry 38:18
141:13	26:18 128:4	98:2 126:8	required 23:24	returned 81:2
reconvene 93:10	references 8:8	135:16 138:13	35:6 97:7,9	reveal 121:9
record 6:15 7:5	26:22 120:22	155:7	137:14,20	reverse 37:12
7:24 9:3,13	120:24 126:12	remind 58:1	research 49:15	review 16:4 49:6
10:13,14 13:24	126:14	150:5	139:20	121:3,6 134:22
15:15,16,24	referred 160:13	remove 35:14	reserve 13:24	reviewed 15:22
16:3 18:12,14	referring 108:17	removed 11:16	38:2 45:2,3,17	25:1 26:12
19:13,15,21	reflect 52:7,8	12:13,14,16	152:14,19,23	134:24 137:21
20:21,23,24	56:4	render 37:3	158:8 166:13	reviewing 12:5,5
21:13 22:4	reflected 18:15	rendered 37:4	residents 76:16	Riechmann's
24:24 25:2	regard 137:9	repeat 53:11	76:17,20,23	18:11
26:23 31:2,16	146:10	82:22	77:16,24 78:8	<b>right</b> 10:9 19:23
31:21 33:2	regarding 51:9	rephrase 102:15	79:13 81:22	27:8,13 31:20
34:19 38:19	51:15 104:2	148:4	84:14 90:6	32:21 34:11
39:5,7 40:17	regardless 91:18	reply 151:3	resolving 49:18	38:2,17 39:2
40:20 41:1,4,9	registered 71:20	REPORT 1:17	respect 13:23	45:3,17 46:6
41:11 43:5	136:3	reported 3:2	16:1 23:1,2	46:23 52:11
46:20,21,22,23	regular 78:14	51:7 137:22	24:5,6 36:3	53:20 55:4,6
47:5,6 50:9	132:12,17,20	168:6	73:10 98:1	59:15 60:23
53:14 57:20	relate 111:11	reporter 11:21	133:20 137:11	67:1 68:9 69:1
	<u> </u>			

October 28, 2014

				Page 192
69:5,18 72:23	144.0 11 12	77:20 90:16,24	155:4,19 166:3	40:17 42:9
72:24,24 78:16	144:8,11,13 145:5,11	98:13 109:20	166:15	43:9 44:7,11
80:10,18 82:19	146:23 149:11	112:7,19 118:6	saying 41:13	50:4 55:2 66:1
92:4,5 93:22	154:16	118:11,12,12	42:9 46:17	66:5 77:4,16
95:7 97:15,22	<b>Roxanna</b> 1:2 2:6	122:4 130:21	140:18 145:5	77:17,21 78:7
98:7,17 101:17		147:22 155:2,3	154:13 162:6	80:15 84:19
103:13 106:7	6:3 7:5,9 9:11	155:12 159:10	162:12 163:23	87:24 88:7
	18:9 20:6,15	160:19 165:12		93:4,7 98:16
106:10,13,14 106:18 109:1	22:1,1,15 33:10,11,12,18	168:10	says 23:13,17	100:12 105:14
	33:22 34:11,15		24:17 31:11,12 35:19 69:18	112:14 128:18
109:11 110:1,5		saith 48:11		
110:6,8 115:24	37:11 38:1	58:19 94:12	86:19 105:9,10	130:10,13,16
118:3 120:7,9	45:16 56:13	same 14:17	109:9 124:12	137:16 138:20
125:4 127:13	102:3	79:21 106:24	126:11 131:8	139:2 145:16
131:4 138:9,10	rug 29:15	126:19 158:7	scenarios 109:24 122:4	153:22 155:8
140:24 145:2	rule 9:18 151:4	sample 104:1		155:21 162:10
150:3 153:3	151:17	sanctioned 56:9	schedule 12:7	seeing 56:4
157:21 160:22	ruled 34:20	sanctions 12:10	14:21 113:19	138:13
162:20 164:6	47:21 99:22	46:10 48:2	scheduled 97:3 144:4	seem 39:12 40:24 86:24
165:23 166:13	rules 6:18,20 7:1	55:17,22 56:1	*	162:23
167:2	24:1 31:8	sanitation 82:13	school 78:13,15	
right-hand 125:15	105:11 150:24	saw 48:24 95:15	scope 29:7,8	seemed 56:5
	165:13	98:15 137:15	142:3 144:17	154:9,12,12
rights 138:1,4,6 road 2:16 54:13	ruling 22:7,11 25:13 29:23	155:23	144:19 161:21	seems 40:20
		say 10:12 16:1	162:8	43:5 44:10
54:18,22 55:2	30:5 161:19	23:19 24:20	Scott 4:2 46:3	61:13 86:23
76:10,11 78:6	rulings 10:1,5	27:20 35:22,23	47:15,16 48:9	96:11 153:12
78:7,10,16,17	138:10	39:10 49:21 52:9 54:1 58:6	48:15 82:24 94:5 153:13	156:22 157:1 seen 80:12 98:12
79:12,14,22 81:6 84:16,18	run 15:17 running 38:22	64:3 67:17		112:6 132:8
85:1 87:18	Tunning 36.22	70:13,21 79:2	scouring 112:4 screen 77:15	160:3 162:15
124:9 153:23	S	87:5 88:18	seal 36:17	sees 162:17
154:1,4,5,5,7	S 2:1,15 5:1	89:2,5,6,14	seat 48:6 82:5	self-compacting
roads 85:11	52:16,16 64:15	90:14 91:10	seating 83:15	54:17
<b>Robert</b> 2:11	64:15 71:6,6	95:10,14 99:11	seats 76:24 77:7	seminar 95:15
7:13 8:14	119:17,17	103:10 104:13	77:8,10,11	95:16 96:1
rodents 85:8	132:1,1	104:20 118:9	second 76:3	send 35:6
Rogers 82:3	S-A-C-K-E-T-T	118:24 119:19	82:24 104:4	send 33.0 sending 82:7
88:14,15	7:7	122:11 129:8	126:10	135:10
roll 146:23	S-I-E-M-S-E-N	129:20,22	<b>Secondly</b> 159:14	<b>Senior</b> 94:5
rolls 68:13	59:1	132:16 138:24	<b>Section</b> 7:1 33:7	sent 9:5,9 16:21
room 1:21 76:15	said 29:18 38:13	139:18,23	35:8 106:11	71:24 97:4
76:22,22 77:11	41:16 48:3	140:9,19	150:24	126:3 127:2
77:18 81:21	49:6,17 54:24	142:22 143:5	Section's 6:19	134:3,11,24
82:3 83:17	60:12 66:24	143:17,20	see 9:7 24:23	separate 166:13
90:3 113:3	67:12,20 76:1	148:24 151:19	25:17 39:19	separately
				-1
	•	•	•	•

October 28, 2014

				Page 193
144:20 166:1	52.7 9 59.11	00.24 02.22	122.22 120.12	somobody (7.0
serve 136:3	52:7,8 58:11 73:4 81:10	80:24 83:23 84:8 88:20	122:22 128:13	<b>somebody</b> 67:9 146:1
served 23:5	82:1 87:3	89:6,22,22	130:1,7 132:4 133:20	somehow 102:1
24:13 30:9	103:22 124:20	91:15 96:12,14	siting 6:8 15:16	someone 24:3
31:4,7,8 71:11	134:10,16,19	96:17,20,24	16:13 17:7,15	47:11 57:20
134:20 135:5	135:1 142:5	98:12 101:24	18:1,20 33:5	67:4 68:1
156:21	146:5 149:19	104:14 109:23	33:15,20,22	98:22 99:19
server 24:14	156:19 159:13	119:22 121:1	34:1,7,9,17,20	143:22 146:12
156:21	162:9,9,13	121:10 122:5	35:2,5 36:12	151:15 156:24
service 20:4	164:13	130:14 131:15	37:16,17 41:20	something 9:3
33:14,16,20	shoulder 125:24	133:24 134:6	42:5,19 48:21	11:10 67:20
34:3,5 36:18	shouldn't	134:18 135:8	49:3 54:8	69:16 88:17
70:5,9 97:18	110:24 148:15	135:17,22	59:20 63:10	112:20 123:22
136:5	show 13:12 23:4	136:2,11,14	64:22 65:14	143:19 153:11
serving 142:19	28:4 29:20	137:4 139:4	66:19 67:21	154:13
set 8:2	34:15,23 35:19	141:6,12	69:13 70:2	Sometime 23:6
setting 39:13	35:24 39:8	147:13 148:3,8	105:10,12	101:4
setup 21:15	40:1 41:9	157:7	107:3 108:15	sometimes
79:19 106:21	49:22 50:7	Siemsen's 40:15	122:9,13	120:23
several 12:8	59:5 65:24	122:17 129:4	123:10,23	sorry 46:1 70:8
33:19 75:19	69:15 77:8	138:15	124:7,11,19	86:22 92:19,20
96:11	105:2 108:5	Sierra 137:23	125:2 138:4	93:1 99:4
she 15:2 21:12	showed 66:2	sight 80:21	sitting 57:17	124:5,6,19,24
21:14 22:20	77:13 78:2	signature	75:22 80:6	127:17 128:7
26:8,10 39:23	90:11	168:13	145:15 146:22	sound 41:13
41:9,16 99:10	showing 50:5	significant	154:16	100:6
99:11,16	66:14 70:14,22	154:8 163:17	six 90:12	Sounds 44:4
110:23,23,23	83:21 124:22	simple 14:9	size 149:12	<b>South</b> 1:20
112:3,4,4	125:13 164:13	simply 37:6 38:2	<b>slides</b> 109:18	space 7:7
113:5 118:8	shown 105:4	115:19	slip 40:24	speak 25:11
129:7 141:2	shows 60:13	Simpson 98:12	small 69:6 83:15	67:4 75:11,18
154:21 155:3,3	side 79:10 85:1	since 12:23 13:9	84:3	77:6 86:11
155:9,12,13,17	98:9,10	30:8 72:24	<b>solely</b> 36:13	speaker 82:23
155:18,19	Siemens 118:6	73:24 76:2	some 6:21 9:23	speaking 75:19
156:17,20,20	<b>Siemsen</b> 3:1 4:7	93:5 96:1	27:6 46:12	speaks 39:6
159:1,9,10	16:16 27:21	102:10 117:17	47:21 49:15	special 19:2
she's 21:15	35:21 38:20	122:14 148:21	61:15 62:7	specialist 49:13
short 150:16	39:2,24 42:10	162:15 164:20	64:5 69:3	specific 64:1
162:1	42:11 44:12	<b>single</b> 36:18	83:17 92:10	122:18,23
shorthand 168:5	57:9 58:11,17	sir 56:23 150:10	97:5 98:15	123:16,16
168:7	58:24 59:2	sit 70:12,20	120:22 123:1	134:5 137:8
shortly 112:18	66:14 68:10,16	76:18 82:5	131:14 137:15	138:13 140:14
<b>should</b> 9:3 13:11	70:19 71:8	site 6:9 16:5	141:14,16	specifically
16:7 21:4,5	72:11 73:20	19:5 34:10	145:24 153:8	18:13 23:11
30:12,15 31:1	75:14 79:2	54:8 92:12,22	154:12 158:10	67:18 124:9,12

October 28, 2014

T				Page 194
135:19 148:23	58:22 68:11,14	step 42:4 67:11	submitted 10:2	   summarize
	68:18 69:1	<b>Steven</b> 3:3 168:5	10:2 18:13	46:21 47:5
Speculative 116:21		168:20	25:20 34:23	82:16
	92:24 94:15			
spell 83:2 85:21	102:5 126:17	still 32:11	36:24 37:9	summary 51:20
86:1	141:4 143:19	107:20,22,23	44:18 77:12,14	70:13
spew 157:4	154:20 168:1	110:19,19	97:2 132:15	<b>Super</b> 59:13,16
spoke 39:8 49:8	stated 39:22	164:9 166:13	subpoena 12:18	Superintendant
67:9 82:11	138:2,3	stipulated 14:24	15:3 22:16	62:15
96:20	statement 14:3	100:20 118:2	23:4,7,7,8,12	supervisor
<b>spot</b> 141:1	32:22 37:22	stipulating	23:15,18,19,20	77:22
<b>Sprague</b> 2:10,11	38:7	11:20	24:13,15,20	supplement
7:13,13	statements 15:6	stipulation	25:8 27:23	20:24
<b>SS</b> 168:2	39:6 51:21	11:13,14,16	28:1 30:10	supplemented
<b>St</b> 6:10 30:13	states 33:21	15:10 25:17	31:4,9,10 46:5	20:21
33:17 40:22	<b>station</b> 1:7,14	stipulations 8:2	57:21,22,22	<b>support</b> 138:17
54:20 71:16	2:18 6:5,8 7:21	8:3 11:4,11,12	96:4 101:11,22	suppose 166:2
78:15 81:1,3	16:6,13 17:7	11:23,24 12:1	101:23 102:2,4	supposed 83:13
88:20,21 89:3	17:15,24 19:5	12:16 29:12,17	121:3,6 156:19	112:20
91:9 135:24	33:5,14,18,21	stop 11:21 31:1	156:21 158:2	sure 9:8 10:11
154:23	33:24 34:2,10	44:2	subpoenaed	26:24 60:5
stamp 36:2	36:14,15,20,20	<b>stored</b> 85:13	13:3,5 29:6	83:1 97:12,17
129:2,3 132:14	48:22 51:10,12	straying 43:23	subpoenas	100:4,5 123:12
132:22 133:19	51:13,15 62:7	street 1:21 2:8	23:11,23 24:17	125:20 142:6
stamped 36:17	63:10 67:22	2:11 79:11	subsequent 97:6	158:16 160:16
41:17 69:24	89:23 90:9	80:19 83:7	122:2 124:1	164:9
stand 47:10 48:6	91:4 93:19	87:1 89:24	substance 27:5	surrounding
82:5 83:19	96:23 104:5	90:9,10 91:16	63:20 97:23,24	93:5
85:22 93:23	109:9 122:21	168:21	112:11 123:13	Susan 22:17
94:1 163:21	128:14 130:6	strictly 124:3	140:7	26:3,19 27:14
167:4	132:5 155:10	strike 46:16	substantive	27:23 31:2
standard 123:19	156:1	129:21 156:4	123:20 124:2,3	41:7 103:1
123:21 124:2	<b>Station's</b> 92:12	striving 89:12	suburban 59:19	110:12 112:2
153:5	stations 103:23	study 78:2	89:7 91:2,6	121:22 156:14
standards 41:24	statute 96:23	154:15	such 12:7,9	suspecting
123:14 124:1,1	97:7,13 137:11	stuff 79:8,14	23:12 70:11	158:10
standing 83:16	138:21	142:9 157:4	83:21 99:15	sustained 98:24
153:3	statutes 137:10	subject 39:11	107:24 150:16	99:2 112:24
standpoint	statutes 137.10	147:20 149:18	159:16	swear 38:23
97:10	statutory 130.17 stay 59:13 89:7	subjected 36:21	sudden 12:3	39:3 48:7
start 29:21	90:8,8	subjects 103:21	sudden 12.3 sued 154:22,22	58:15 94:8
58:11 107:1	90.8,8 staying 59:15	subjects 103.21 submission	sued 134.22,22 suggest 165:10	switched 83:14
started 12:13	91:16	132:14	00	switched 83.14 sworn 48:11
125:1		submissions	suggesting 104:17	58:19 83:24
	steadily 87:19			
starting 64:24	stenographic	132:22	Suite 2:3,8	94:12 137:14
state 48:14	168:11	<b>submit</b> 6:23 8:9	168:21	147:19 148:19

October 28, 2014

				Page 195
Sylvester 154:22	57:23 96:4	107:1 117:23	40:8 42:6 52:9	112:2 121:3
Sylvester 154:22	101:11 102:5		54:12 64:23	122:1 133:6
	158:2	118:15,22 119:3 133:3,9	65:17 67:3,7	152:6,10
T 5:1 48:12,12		136:16 137:14	,	154:18 158:3
52:16 58:20,20	tell 29:8 30:22		68:9 69:2 71:2	
64:15 71:6	39:3 41:21	138:17 140:12	75:7 82:19	161:4
94:13,13	44:11 57:11	140:17 141:7	87:4 88:3	then 9:4,9,22
119:17 132:1	67:12 84:1	141:13,17	90:18,20,21	10:1 14:16
147:9,9	96:17 102:20	142:1 148:15	91:12,21 96:13	16:1 19:21
T-Z-K-E 83:4	109:4 113:20	153:13 154:6	106:3 108:22	22:15 23:6
tailored 48:1	121:12,15	154:13 156:11	109:1 119:1	29:13,20 30:8
	139:4,7 145:14	159:23 162:18	122:11 126:18	31:1,15 41:16
take 10:19,19	telling 39:4 82:7	163:4	129:8,12	42:5 46:20
39:14,20 48:6	139:21	text 82:7	131:18,20	54:4 55:3 75:1
49:23 73:7,8	ten 23:24 26:1	texting 73:2	132:7,11	75:19 79:20,21
75:8 76:8	tender 8:4	than 24:1 28:5	133:10 135:11	89:4 97:5
79:13 80:13,15	term 146:22	36:8 41:12	138:24 139:8	100:15,16
81:10 84:12,15	terminology	61:17 82:11	139:23 142:21	109:4 110:23
84:20,23 85:2	10:11	95:21 103:8	144:9 145:9	112:2,8 115:16
85:5,7,10,14	terms 97:7	115:22 120:11	146:8 149:3	117:5 118:20
85:15 86:18	104:16 124:18	120:13,15,19	157:21 158:1,4	119:16 122:2
91:3,5,5,6,14	151:12	121:16,20	162:21 163:7	124:2 127:4
91:15 92:8,18	testified 36:23	123:20 128:18	163:16	139:4 143:5
105:13 108:19	40:17 64:18	145:6 146:13	their 7:4 12:5	150:19 160:13
114:14 126:4	66:23 67:11	165:4	25:20 39:9,21	165:1,5,7,11
130:13 156:5	117:14 118:7,8	thank 7:10,22	39:21 42:15	Thenian 88:10
165:8	142:9 157:19	21:20 22:13	51:11 54:22	88:11
taken 13:1,8	testify 12:22,23	32:13 37:20	63:15 78:3,4,5	there's 41:16
47:1 69:4 92:1	22:21 32:18,19	38:8,11 56:23	78:11 100:6	thereafter
93:11 168:11	35:21 40:5	56:24 57:4	104:12 115:1	112:18
taking 39:1	98:22 113:20	64:13 66:3,6	132:17 148:15	Therriault
101:12	142:5 154:17	71:5 72:6,10	153:17 161:5,8	152:5
talk 29:16,17,20	testifying 27:18	74:7 75:10	163:2 165:20	Therriault's
42:18 76:17	47:19 141:24	81:12,13,17	them 8:20 15:18	152:6
78:23 79:1	142:14 163:9	82:19,21 85:17	15:19,19,20,21	these 9:13 15:22
80:5 156:23	testimony 8:10	85:18 87:5,6	15:24 21:13,14	19:9 27:6
talked 97:11,19	13:1 23:15,20	88:8,9 91:22	21:14,17 28:12	34:12 50:9
112:6,15 155:9	24:3 27:16	93:9,22 113:17	29:9,18 36:6,6	56:2 73:10,10
155:13	31:9 36:18	113:24 131:24	42:20 47:23	73:17 77:24
talking 44:2	38:21,24 39:21	150:9,11,15	48:3 49:8	79:13,17 85:2
95:21 131:3	40:15 42:14	152:22 166:6	61:11 67:12	85:12,15 104:2
talks 124:8	43:12 44:19	167:4,6,7	68:3 72:14	107:5 108:21
tax 89:20 90:17	53:3 55:14	<b>Thanks</b> 21:21	76:18 77:3	114:10
tecum 12:18	57:21 64:19	that's 14:1 16:21	79:4,5,5 80:11	they're 15:23
13:5 22:16	65:13 73:22	27:12 31:10	80:22 81:7	41:7 73:12
23:7,13 31:10	74:10,18 93:6	38:17 39:3	96:8 102:13,18	82:19 84:18
	,			
				-

October 28, 2014

				Tage 170
100:8 117:17	those 8:11,16	162:10	14:1 16:16	tractor 78:18
162:2 163:1	12:14 15:17	throughout 82:6	22:5 24:9 28:5	79:5
166:18	18:13 20:19,21	thrown 12:11	32:24 33:4	traffic 77:24
thing 10:24	20:23 29:17	thrust 104:20	34:12 35:18	78:2,14 79:20
24:21 41:21	36:8 71:20,23	thumbs 82:8	36:10 39:2	80:1 154:8,10
49:14 56:2	75:21 77:10	Thus 37:8	43:12 44:6,21	trailers 78:19
78:22 89:16	83:1 96:13,16	til 26:1	45:6,22 64:18	79:5
104:4,10 151:9	96:18 97:18	time 7:3 15:18	66:23 70:12,20	transactions
156:4,12	98:5 103:3	15:19 26:2,6	79:1 82:1,17	49:17
things 12:10	107:7,9 120:9	27:13,22 31:16	87:9 90:1,21	transcribed 19:9
14:15 47:17	121:9 126:16	45:13 55:2,6	91:18 93:6	168:11
54:24 58:8	134:10 135:10	57:13 61:20	95:12,23	transcriber
79:14 80:22	136:8 138:5	62:1 63:22	141:18 153:9	19:10
81:7,11 85:16	140:7 142:4	65:7,9 75:8	154:13,17	transcript 18:19
96:3,7 97:20	143:12 151:14	76:2 78:6,20	158:18	19:2 150:20
123:14 134:1	153:10 159:18	80:13,15 81:11	together 39:5	158:11 168:9
153:8 159:10	159:22 161:20	81:13 83:12	43:15 77:3	transcripts 8:9
165:19	163:4,9 164:5	87:15 92:8	160:15 165:6	8:11,16 9:9,14
think 12:4 27:4	though 25:19	94:24 95:3,14	165:19,20	34:23 35:18
30:12,14 38:17	thought 11:17	98:16 99:17	told 24:2 29:6	39:18 44:18
43:9,12 55:20	12:21 42:17	113:10 117:15	76:1 97:1 98:3	153:18 159:3
55:23 58:12	83:21 93:3	119:20 122:1	101:6 112:2	transfer 1:6,14
60:12 73:12,13	100:20 103:22	127:2,8 128:24	131:11,15,16	2:18 6:5,8 7:21
82:17 89:2	108:18 155:18	129:19,23	137:17 139:8	16:6,12 17:7
92:16,17 97:4	155:19	153:15 158:7	140:10,14,16	17:15,24 19:5
100:11,12	three 8:3 12:12	158:20 161:21	140:22 141:6	33:5,14,18,21
102:24 103:3	12:12 33:16	162:19 167:3	157:14	33:23 34:2,10
111:5,6 112:3	49:24 50:15	timeframe 162:1	tons 79:23	36:14,14,19,20
114:21 118:24	51:6 76:19	timely 118:23	too 80:24 83:14	48:22 51:10,11
120:10,18	87:12 103:11	159:14	87:17 88:1	51:15 62:7
133:2 138:24	103:21 109:23	times 29:19	106:16 123:13	63:9 67:22
139:23 140:14	127:11	33:19 76:14	164:3	84:21 89:23
142:5 143:22	three-ring 60:20	83:10 85:11	took 14:23 42:10	90:9 91:3
144:16,18	through 6:19	119:24 120:1,3	62:4 78:6	92:11 93:19
145:22 146:4,6	15:17 27:6	121:8,16,21	80:11	96:22 103:23
153:4,11 154:7	34:22 35:18	141:18	top 49:16 50:17	104:5 109:8
155:20 160:21	54:10,11 61:21	timesheet	105:9 126:20	122:21 128:14
161:1 162:8,12	64:17 78:19,21	120:24	131:6	130:6 132:5
164:9	79:11 80:3	timesheets 120:7	total 131:18	155:10 156:1
thinking 161:5	87:22 104:9	120:19	touch 78:23	transmit 60:14
thinks 118:6	105:1 109:12	tiny 146:23	township 75:14	transmittal
<b>third</b> 104:10	109:18,18	tipping 54:5	76:12 77:2,22	20:13
126:20 137:22	125:16 132:9	title 47:8	78:9 80:1	transparency
Thirty-nine	140:12,17	titles 47:14	81:24 84:15	142:4 162:7
94:23	150:4 152:10	today 6:21 8:10	86:11	Transportation

October 28, 2014

22:18	125:22 127:4	understandably	<b>uphold</b> 21:14	152:8 160:6
trash 51:12	127:20	158:11	<b>upload</b> 15:19	161:2
54:17 79:4	turned 80:20	understanding	upon 38:18	vexatious 25:7
84:24 85:13	81:2,4	15:23 23:3	55:16 56:8	views 138:15
86:12 87:4,22	turning 26:20	76:21 98:18	71:11 149:10	Village's 12:18
88:4	126:19 127:10	106:17 143:17	URBAN 2:10	161:8
treat 166:18	turns 12:21	understood	us 18:1 21:6	visit 92:12,23
treated 23:12	two 11:14 16:12	103:1 122:7	35:24 46:10	voted 19:4 80:7
trial 43:10	39:18 47:17	undertake 137:2	49:19 56:9	80:10,10
tried 42:24	76:1,19 77:12	unfair 37:4,18	87:1 91:18	voting 80:14
160:8	78:18,18,24	41:13 157:1	96:17 102:20	81:8,9,11
<b>tripod</b> 77:18	80:6 83:20	unfairness	103:21 104:14	vs 1:4,12
truck 82:13	84:16 89:15	13:21 14:14	104:20 109:5	
Trucking 87:20	90:11 103:11	24:7 33:2	113:20,20	W
trucks 54:9,16	107:6,9 111:23	34:14 36:4,9	144:11 145:10	wait 19:14 27:15
54:17,17 78:13	121:16,20	36:11 38:16	156:24 165:2	28:2 87:24
79:4,14,15	122:1 127:11	40:19 41:8	use 23:18	waiting 57:17
84:21 85:1,3	142:18 154:9	43:4 61:12,13	used 60:14	145:12
85:12 87:19,22	159:22 161:20	84:11	107:14 135:9	waive 153:16
true 50:21 51:20	164:3,21 165:2	Unincorporated	usually 166:11	160:17 161:12
66:17 126:5	165:6 166:13	81:24	utilities 154:6	161:14
127:1,7,15,24	two-hour 92:18	unless 41:24		<b>waived</b> 159:17
128:3 132:12	type 122:4	unsworn 136:16	V	161:18
168:8	types 104:2	138:17 140:12	vacant 77:9,11	waiving 159:2
trustee 77:8		140:17 141:7	<b>valid</b> 137:6	159:20
80:13	U	141:13	valuable 161:1	<b>walk</b> 64:17
trustees 1:6,13	Um 164:24	until 23:5 25:23	<b>value</b> 78:3 86:14	163:3
39:18 76:8	<b>unable</b> 145:11	28:5 29:19	154:14,15,16	walked 68:3
77:10 80:6	unacceptable	57:11 95:5	values 85:15	Walter 8:12
81:7 89:15	141:8	119:21	variety 36:4	62:19
90:11,13	unavailability	<b>up</b> 12:12 29:13	varios 141:19	want 10:12,20
110:11 153:21	26:23	30:2 41:1	various 141:18	14:4 24:3 27:6
159:10,19	unaware 112:1	49:20 63:16	venue 141:19	29:15,19 30:2
163:9	under 24:16	67:14 72:14	146:17,22	30:22 49:22
truth 38:24,24	33:7 35:8,8	77:9,13 79:5	version 147:22	53:9 61:10,15
39:3,4 84:1	42:17 76:21	79:16,17 84:24	versus 6:4	66:1 74:11,21
119:1	83:24 106:11	85:22 87:3	137:23	76:6 78:23
try 57:15 73:3	137:11 147:15	89:13 90:11	very 37:23 41:21	81:12 88:7
trying 42:23	148:16 159:24	106:15 112:8	43:16 46:22	89:2,14,18,24
51:11 82:8	164:13 168:11	121:10 129:16	49:7 55:16	90:20 91:10,11
89:13,13 99:11	understand	131:6 164:13	60:9 79:12	91:12 98:11
104:20 111:1	14:17 16:14	upgrade 54:22	82:20 83:21	103:10 114:13
158:13 159:9	76:3 93:8	upgraded	97:12 104:6,8	121:14 144:20
turn 28:12 79:21	100:1 142:7	153:23 154:1	108:18 134:1,6	146:24 153:9
90:15,23 111:1	155:24 165:14	upheld 35:11	144:7 147:18	155:10 156:1
		1		
	-	-	-	-

October 28, 2014

1				Page 196
158:16 161:4	99:7,13,23	100:11 156:24	109:18 113:20	83:7 89:1,10
163:2,19	100:24 102:4	website 150:21	130:23 142:8	89:15,16 90:7
164:11 165:3	100.24 102.4	Wednesday	West 2:20	90:8 91:16
166:1	wasting 31:16	46:14	168:21	98:3 100:5
wanted 31:21	watch 77:15,15	week 13:5,6,14	whatever 53:8	126:11,12
41:7 75:16	water 79:9	25:24 26:5	112:5 131:7	131:5 137:15
88:12 89:10	Watt 8:14 133:3	41:3 43:6	141:5	145:15 154:2
103:20 109:17	wau 8.14 133.3 way 12:11 13:6	157:1		
	24:14 29:14		whatsoever 130:18	<b>Whereupon</b> 47:1 48:8
114:8 139:8,11 141:5 161:24	32:12 54:7	<b>weight</b> 37:5,14 54:16 78:4	when 10:13	58:16 92:1
<b>wanting</b> 75:18 86:11	73:14 79:19	79:22,23 153:6	11:10 13:6	93:11 94:9
	80:22 81:12	WEILMUEN	14:14 19:3	wherewithal
wants 86:23	103:6 118:15	2:19	23:6 39:8,17	104:24
139:17 158:17	129:8 132:8	welcome 150:17	42:6 43:7 44:2	whether 16:1
wash 79:3	137:5 138:22	well 9:15 12:15	44:9 46:21	61:3,4 67:8
washed 79:8	138:23 139:6	13:4,23 21:7	47:9 55:2	111:16 112:1
<b>Washington</b> 2:11 54:10	139:17 142:2,3 144:17 151:18	22:6 25:15	60:18 63:14	113:11 114:7
		27:22 29:22	66:22,23 67:1	115:20 116:15
76:12 79:24	159:12	30:24 32:17	67:14 75:19,23	129:17 137:4
81:4,24 82:2,4	we'd 9:24 72:16	34:9 38:14	79:7,13 80:7,7	144:12,13
84:15 86:22	we'll 11:3 13:24	40:14 41:14	80:13 81:5,21	146:5 148:22
88:22,23 89:4	15:5,5 27:5	43:4 49:17	84:19 85:11	162:18
89:12,18 90:19	37:7 38:14	52:2,22 53:2	87:15 89:14	which 11:14
90:22 91:1,2,9	40:16 42:17	56:1,9,19	95:23 96:19	13:5 15:2,2
109:8 154:3,20	55:3 73:8	57:14 58:10	99:13 101:2	17:8,24 18:1
154:21,23	119:16 150:19	60:8 62:3 63:8	104:12 106:10	23:13 25:24
155:5	155:8 163:21	67:13 72:21	112:19 113:6	29:17 36:7,24
wasn't 13:19	165:15	74:18 98:9	116:2 117:16	39:15,17 47:2
23:4 38:15	we're 13:15 24:2	100:8 111:23	121:3 128:19	55:17 60:21
55:5,7 74:21	24:4 27:4	112:7 114:6,14	130:23 131:2,5	62:6,16 74:2
77:10 82:24	31:16 32:11	115:7,24 120:4	133:18 148:23	78:16 81:23
87:15 91:1	36:10 38:17	123:3,9 124:17	155:9,9,12,21	83:11,14,20
116:5,5 117:18	43:21,23 44:17	133:11 140:9	156:20 157:12	84:3,13 85:6
142:14 143:3	46:22 47:4	140:14 142:8	157:19,22	92:2 93:12
147:15 148:16	49:12 52:24	142:10 143:14	158:1 159:17	99:20 102:4
148:17,19	57:17 78:24	143:20 145:16	160:23 161:5	104:6 109:12
154:18 155:14	86:16,17 87:14	152:19 155:8	162:17 164:9	113:4 117:23
161:1 164:9	89:12,12,13,19	155:11 157:16	166:11,18	131:4 134:9
165:3	94:1 111:5,6	158:8 160:18	where 14:17,22	136:11 137:24
waste 22:18	114:4 131:8	162:14 163:18	18:20 27:20	154:9 157:11
28:10 42:19	142:2 144:23	165:16 166:3	32:24 40:19	159:11 163:1
44:13 46:11	146:22 153:4	went 61:7 66:24	41:1 43:6,19	165:12
48:22 51:8	162:6,12 165:7	68:5 76:14	56:2 62:4	while 11:24 28:9
54:3 56:7 79:9	we've 52:24	81:1,3,5 88:20	69:15,18 77:18	36:4 39:12
91:3 96:22	55:16 84:17	96:23 109:18	79:8,24 82:19	45:9

October 28, 2014

Ī				Tage 177
<b>white</b> 18:11	155:24 163:5,8	18:10 29:5,9	Wow 25:6	103:17 105:21
108:24	widen 84:18	30:1 44:16	write 69:11	106:5,9,17
who 14:21 21:8	will 6:13,18 7:2	45:10,24 46:8	153:11 164:19	108:20 109:12
21:13 25:1	10:10 12:23	47:7,19,19,24	165:3 166:18	111:20,21
26:8 29:5,6	14:2 15:1,24	48:6,10 50:5	writing 128:17	113:13,16
30:9 34:3,5	16:23 20:24	50:14 53:10	128:21 164:4	114:3,12,16
38:22 46:2	22:5 28:15	56:21,23,24	written 6:23	116:12,17
47:7 49:16	32:19 33:3	58:15,18 65:24	20:15	117:2 119:4,9
56:5 57:20	34:23 35:12,13	71:4 92:7 94:1	wrong 79:19	120:8 121:20
58:1,7 62:11	39:2,7 40:4	94:11 95:18	146:3	120.8 121.20
68:11 71:21	43:22 45:8	99:1 106:4	wrote 69:9,16	122:11,17,19
76:17 79:15	46:20 47:23	113:1 117:1,9	127:5,8	126:18,22
80:18 82:12	48:7 51:15,16	119:4 133:14	127.3,6	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	144:21 145:3	X	127:3,9 130:3 132:7 133:15
86:18 88:19	78:1 79:20		$\overline{\mathbf{X}}$ 4:1 5:1 48:12	
92:14 97:14	80:16 84:6,7	148:12,13,18	52:16 58:20	134:12,21
101:17 102:2	84:21 85:3,10	149:15 168:13	64:15 71:6	135:15 136:1,6
102:20 103:1	85:12,14 87:24	witnesses 24:15	94:13 119:17	136:9,13
104:23 112:16	90:9,10,19,20	24:18 38:23	132:1 147:9	139:22 140:6
134:9,13,16,19	91:14 92:8,17	45:12 121:13	132.1 147.7	146:14 164:1,7
135:4,20,22	93:10,15,18	138:10 160:20	Y	166:20
136:2 140:23	94:8 106:1	161:9,20	yeah 9:6 10:17	yesterday 25:24
141:19 142:19	107:5 125:7	woman 26:7	11:1 27:22	26:6 27:20
143:9,12,22	137:23 143:1	155:3	50:7 121:2	95:22
144:14 145:20	143:13 144:2	won't 28:18	147:8,16 152:4	yet 34:24 41:8
146:18 150:4,5	148:11 150:21	56:17,18 78:11	year 55:5 68:20	111:24 133:6,9
151:12 155:15	151:4 152:2,6	86:15 91:15	113:9	138:14
162:2 164:4	152:14 153:22	wondering	years 84:18	you'd 53:7 72:19
who's 118:13	156:9 160:9,10	164:18	94:23	113:23
whole 15:6	164:4,4 167:3	word 36:18	yes 8:1 11:8,9	you'll 39:19
38:24 143:1,12	willing 161:13	86:19	19:16 32:23	40:16 41:5
143:13 144:2	window 67:15	words 26:5	41:16 45:6,21	43:9,12 107:17
155:21 156:13	67:18	41:11 46:15	52:11 53:18	155:20 162:10
162:13	windows 67:1	63:13 67:22	55:7,12 56:15	you're 30:4
<b>whom</b> 97:16	wisely 61:14	146:17	57:8 58:24	42:23 52:11
134:10	wish 45:13	work 78:17	59:12,24 61:19	67:6 68:10
<b>why</b> 19:9 21:14	wished 145:20	82:18 83:12	62:23 63:5	74:6,15 80:13
33:10,10 35:4	within 25:21,22	87:10 152:1,9	64:7 66:21	90:1,11 91:3,4
35:22 52:19,19	34:18 35:6	workers 79:2	68:5,6,7,19,20	91:5,6 124:5
53:14 59:15	60:21 86:10,13	working 28:4	69:14,18 71:5	127:7 130:20
82:16 85:15	86:16 87:2,4	76:2,4	72:2,5 73:18	131:3 142:22
87:3 88:24	110:19 126:16	Works 62:15	74:22 85:23	143:8 150:17
89:3,3,4,7,8	without 24:17	worse 87:20,21	86:6,8 95:19	155:2 158:23
90:16,18,21	36:5,16,16,17	wouldn't 45:9	96:10 99:8	165:10
91:8,9,12,13	81:9	101:9 103:10	101:1,16	you've 156:15
133:11 146:16	witness 4:2,7,13	139:11 149:4	101.1,10	your 14:12
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

October 28, 2014

24:23 27:23	1	79:22 80:4	<b>24</b> 24:2 28:5	<b>50</b> 76:16 165:4
30:3 32:10	1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	<b>16</b> 25:23	<b>24th</b> 12:3 41:10	165:11,22
42:5,7,23	15:9 49:23 50:2	161 2:8	<b>250</b> 35:6	166:8
44:15,22 48:14	50:13 72:12	16th 18:19	<b>250,000</b> 42:21	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	74:9 75:2,2		,	<b>50-page</b> 164:19 166:5
53:5,17 57:4	<b>1:00</b> 57:13,15	<b>18th</b> 6:11 11:15	106:12	
58:22 59:23	92:7 93:10,15	14:24 25:18	<b>257-2222</b> 2:21	<b>50,000</b> 106:16
60:2 63:6,20	<b>1:14</b> 127:15,17	101:7 106:8	<b>26</b> 2:11	<b>52</b> 4:5
63:22 64:13,19	<b>1:30</b> 32:19 57:11	110:7 121:23	<b>261-2149</b> 2:9	<b>56</b> 5:10
64:24 65:13	<b>10</b> 59:14	122:10,13	<b>2700</b> 2:3,8	<b>5701</b> 2:16
66:18 69:5	<b>10:00</b> 57:16	132:3,10 151:4	<b>27th</b> 20:14	<b>58</b> 4:9
70:1 80:12	<b>101</b> 5:12	157:13,15	<b>285</b> 65:6	<b>5th</b> 62:4
81:13 82:15	<b>101.600</b> 6:19	19 33:23	<b>28th</b> 1:22 6:5	6
85:22 86:1	<b>101.628</b> 7:1	<b>19th</b> 126:3,24	<b>29th</b> 9:11 18:11	
91:14 92:22	150:24	127:6	20:7 36:12	65:14 108:6,11
93:8,21 94:15	<b>101.632</b> 6:20	2	51:16 90:4,14	108:14 109:2
96:8,14 98:17	<b>104</b> 5:13,14		136:12 141:20	114:10 150:4
107:11 108:1	<b>10th</b> 35:3 40:2,6	<b>2</b> 5:10 59:6,8	144:4	<b>6:07</b> 11:24 29:14
113:14,19,24	40:14 59:17	65:22 72:13,15	3	29:19
114:15 119:2	60:7,11,14,16	73:20 74:2		60601 2:4,9
120:7 121:3,6	61:21 64:20	75:3	<b>3</b> 5:11 66:9,11	60603 168:22
123:15 124:8	65:1 70:6,15	<b>2:30</b> 23:6	66:15,17 69:8	<b>618</b> 2:12,17,21
125:22,24	70:24 73:21	<b>2:34</b> 126:24	72:12,13 75:3	<b>62208</b> 2:16
126:13,19	98:14,19	127:6	<b>30</b> 76:24	<b>62220</b> 2:12
127:4,7 129:13	112:21 113:12	<b>2:37</b> 23:5	<b>312</b> 2:4,9 168:22	<b>62226</b> 2:21
130:20,21	113:15 117:5	<b>2000</b> 68:22	<b>31st</b> 150:20	<b>628-7700</b> 2:17
131:10,11,19	117:18 118:24	<b>2007</b> 168:21	<b>3201</b> 2:20	<b>63</b> 5:11
132:4 133:17	121:23 128:15	<b>2013</b> 95:5	<b>39.2</b> 33:7 35:8	<b>64</b> 4:10
133:23 136:7	130:5,16 131:7	<b>2014</b> 1:22 9:11		<b>6th</b> 19:2,15,21
138:15 139:20	131:17 149:5	11:15 18:19	4	61:22 95:6
143:17 146:23	<b>11:00</b> 92:6	19:2 20:14	<b>4</b> 5:12 105:3,6	
149:1 156:10	<b>11:19</b> 126:3	35:3 36:12	105:20 106:22	7
157:19 158:8,9	<b>111</b> 79:20 84:22	50:10 51:16	114:9 150:4	75:15 125:10,14
161:19 162:24	<b>119</b> 4:16	52:19 59:14	<b>4:30</b> 151:5	126:20 127:12
163:20 167:4	<b>121</b> 5:15	61:22 64:20	<b>419-9292</b> 168:22	128:18,23
yourself 29:20	12th 7:3 150:22	70:6,16,24	<b>45</b> 155:24	<b>71</b> 4:11
41:6	151:13,16	95:6 101:4	<b>47</b> 5:9	<b>75</b> 76:16
	<b>131</b> .13,10 <b>132</b> 4:17	122:10,13	<b>48</b> 4:4 121:4	<b>7th</b> 50:10,24
Z	<b>132</b> 4.17 <b>14</b> 5:5	126:3,24		52:19 151:1
<b>zoning</b> 40:21,22	<b>14</b> 3.3 <b>147</b> 4:18	127:18 128:1	5	152:16
40:23 41:2	147 4:18 14th 35:21 151:3	128:15 130:6	<b>5</b> 5:13 106:11	
62:14		131:7 132:4,10	108:6,8 109:4	8
	<b>15</b> 5:6,7,8	168:15	109:13 114:10	<b>8</b> 59:13,16
0	<b>15-65</b> 1:4 6:3	<b>20th</b> 127:18	<b>5:00</b> 26:1,4,6	168:21
<b>084-004675</b> 3:4	<b>15-69</b> 1:11 6:3	<b>233-8383</b> 2:12	83:10 144:4,6	<b>81st</b> 87:1,11,13
168:23	<b>150</b> 2:3	<b>23rd</b> 128:1	144:10	9
	<b>157</b> 54:15,19		<b>5:27</b> 128:2	<del></del>
	1	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	1

October 28, 2014

Page 201 **9:00** 1:22 6:6 **90** 41:12 **909** 1:20 **94** 4:15 **985-5900** 2:4